# OPERA/CNGS1

## Oscillation Project with Emulsion-tRacking Apparatus





- 1. Electronic detectors status of installation
- 2. DAQ and data analysis software
- 3. Results from the commissioning
  - of the electronic detectors
  - TT
  - · RPC
  - · HPT
- 4. Cosmic and CNGS run results
- 5. Brick processing & Emulsions analysis
  - Scanning facilities
  - Track matching and analysis
- 6. Test beam activities
- 7. Brick production and Detector filling: status
- 8. OPERA sensitivity updated
- 9. Conclusions

June 26th, 2007



June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007

Yves Déclais 2

## Completion of HPT installation





-March 2007: HPT 9&11 -May 2007: end of mass production -June 2007: HPT 10&12



## DAQ readout architecture

Each individual node runs a local 100MHz clock generated via a common 20MHz clock send from a precise and stable oscillator. The oscillator is plugged onto a dedicated PCI board which locks the clock signal on the GPS and encode specific commands (propagation delay meas., reset, reboot etc).



**OPERA** 



## Control room





### Currently in Hall B:

- DAQ servers
- Gateway server
- DAQ-MMS Database

## DAQ DB: migration to Oracle completed

## DAQ: event building concept





#### Trigger for sub-detectors :

 free running mode at the hardware threshold for TT, RPC & Veto

 Common stop formed by using RPC signals (dedicated electronics) for the HPT

Event building : by sorting in time individual hit from sub-detectors: • for each sub-detector in the

dedicated sub-detector DAQ machine + filtering algorithms

• between sub-detectors in the event builder machine + filtering algorithms

## Time stamp resolution





## Up-going muon selection using the time stamp





June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007

evenus



June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007

Yves Déclais 10

### Complete Calibration of the time distribution chain



1) Hertz and RS422 are emitted at T0. Measure the arrival times difference underground TB-TA



2) The components of the B arm are reshuffled to invert the direction the TB+TA is measured



Calibration of the paths for OPERA, BOREXINO, LVD towers 1,2,3 performed on July 06:

Measurement on two paths one of which is the standard one:

T1=TA+TB T2=TA-TB

### Solve for TA and TB

June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007

Slave clock delays:

Slave clock	Delay w.r.t clock 2
OPERA	40975.4 ns
BOREXINO	41729.5 ns
LVD Tower 1	42116.5 ns
LVD Tower 2	42063.8 ns
LVD Tower 3	42040.6 ns

## Absolute Time Comparison



600 ns offset, reason for additional 100 ns offset found at CERN

### → 500 ns offset remaining



New calibration campaign 2007:

July 2007 with a portable atomic Cs clock capable of providing a 1PPS signal synchronized with UTC and stable at the level of few ns over several days

✓ Measure the timing signals at various points of the chain at CERN and LNGS compared to the 1PPS of the Cs clock

→ absolute calibration transported in all the single points, check the calibrations performed last year with independent method

 ✓ Precise timing: implement a fast digitizer recording the proton current at the level of the BCT

## Computing framework



- The offline computing framework is a set of software C++ packages:
  - \* running on CERN Linux platforms (and partly on Windows)
  - \* managed by CMT and stored on a CERN CVS server
  - \* with built-in Doxygen documentation
- ROOT is used extensively: \* for data persistency with TTrees in files \* for detector description with TGeoManager \* for data simulation with Virtual Monte Carlo GEANT3-VMC \* for data analysis:



reconstruction in emulsions, ROOT macros, GUI for display

- The software team sticks continuously to the upgrades:
  - \* of platforms and compilers (Scientific Linux CERN SLC4, 64 bits) \* of softwares (ROOT 5)
- AMDA

## Analysis chain

- Data model and detector model are stable and robust with:
  - \* standardization of real data / simulated data access
  - \* description of the hall, Borexino, OPERA support structure, magnetic field, etc...
  - \* insertion of alignment effects
- Simulation chain

(generation-simulation-digitization) is under control with a production plan: beam events, rock events, cosmics

• Reconstruction and analysis algorithms are well advanced with:

- \* electronic detector reconstruction almost « final »
- \* several emulsion data reconstruction algorithms
- \* connection of electronic detectors data and emulsion data under study
- \* offline « heavy » event display and online « light » event display OED
- \* possibilities to perform analyses in the ROOT context (macros) and outside ROOT using the Standard Templated Library STL

June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007

)PER

POS Z TANI

CPOS Z OPDY

CTFA

CPOS\_Z\_CTF

RASE



### 3. Results from the commissioning of the electronic detectors

## Target Tracker efficiency





## using cosmic ray tracks



99%

Trigger rate:							
•	1	p.e.	→	20	cps	per	pixel
•	2	p.e.	→	10	cps	per	pixel



## **RPC** efficiencies

## using cosmic ray tracks





#### All problems have been cured

June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007

Yves Déclais 18

## **RPC** spatial resolution



#### Tracking resolution ~ 1.3 cm, Misalignments < 1 cm



June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007

using cosmic ray tracks

### Status of reconstruction for HPT



- Reconstruction running stable for data and MC events
- Timing corrections implemented
- Alignment ongoing, starting from TDA measurements
- Resolution of ~500 $\mu$ m in single planes for cosmic data (see plots below)
- Alignment will bring improvements (300 $\mu$ m prototype measurements)
- 80% track efficiency for cosmic data





June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007

Yves Déclais 21

## **VETO** commissioning











### 4. Cosmic and CNGS runs results



Involving representatives of the CNGS project and the LNGS experiments which can see the beam: OPERA, ICARUS, LVD, BOREXINO

#### Meeting regularly on the following topics:

Beam performance and scheduling Beam timing Beam geodesy (detector distances and orientations) Beam analysis and CNGS-LNGS data exchange Comparison of far detector results on beam monitoring Comparison of experimental simulation on beam muon fluxes

#### Beam timing:

Calibration of timing chain at CERN (kicker pulse tagging) Inter-calibration of GPS master clocks Calibration of optical fiber paths Calibration of OPERA slave clock



## **Beam Direction: August run**



Select events around beam ( $0 < \theta < 0.15$  rad) direction and check if there are on time

**CNGS** events



Total

(previous result)

30.3 (25)

89.1 (105)

49.6 (100)

56.5

225.5 (230)



June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007

Events with vertex outside OPERA with N.hits > 10integrated for  $N_{pot} = 7.60E17$  pot (August Run)

CC

27.7

89.1

49.6

55.1

221.5

NC

2.6

1

1

1.4

4.0

DIS CC

23.2

75.0

45.1

48.2

191.5

#### Momentum and sign analysis



Yves Déclais 27

### High energy muon charge ratio





Can be measured in using the OPERA spectrometers :

Overburden : ~3000 m.w.e. U Eµ underground : 300 GeV Eµ surface cut-off : 1400 GeV

### Muon multiplicity study: primary composition



Optimized Pattern Recognition to reconstruct muon bundles:

- Hough transformation to select the event direction
- local Pattern Recognition to select aligned hit in the selected slices



### Coincidence among LNGS experiments

LNGS : largest underground array of detectors:

- · OPERA  $\rightarrow$  T600 : ~90m
- · OPERA  $\rightarrow$  LVD : ~180m
- Eµ surface cut-off : 1400 GeV

 $\rightarrow$  Study of high P<sub>t</sub> events (Decoherence)

$$r \sim rac{P_t}{x_F^{\pi,K}E_0} H_{prod} \propto rac{P_t}{x_F^{\pi,K}E_0} \left(\log \sigma_{n-Air}^{inel} + const. 
ight)$$

SPSC

→ Minijet formation (high energy hadronic features)



June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007





### 5. Brick processing & Emulsion analysis



## Chemical plant







#### **Progress report:**

- Main control unit powered, working
- Demi water ready, 20°C ok (2)
- Filtered water available, 20°C ok (3)
- Collection tanks secured (4)
- A nice chemical Lab set aside 5 (small operations, & quality check! : thanks to LNGS Chemical Service)
- Big preparation tanks fully "dressed", cleaning to be started this week, pilot preparation and commissioning soon after... 6





#### **Progress report:**

- Climatization resumed, ok!
- Safelight darkroom ok
- 5 proc. lines cabled to front-end (6th suspended)
- Lines # 1 and 2 tested, ready and operational in automated mode
- →Lines # 3,4,5 to follow soon; some refinement suggested by tests
- →Control box for sliding carpet designed, waiting for delivery

→ Wait for chemical plant ready to do over-all "integrated" large scale tests

June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007

)PER/



### Automated Track Reconstruction and Vertex Search





June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007

Yves Déclais 36

## European Scanning System



- R&D on 20 cm2/h European Scanning System completed successfully (end 2004)
- 30 ESS distributed among 11 labs (22 ESS in 8 Italian labs): Bari, Bern, Bologna, Lngs, Lyon, Napoli, Salerno + Neuchatel, Roma, LNF, Padova
- Integrated in a online computers network : including DB, manager , on line computation , plate changer .....



![](_page_36_Figure_6.jpeg)

## Scanning capabilities in Europe

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

- 1. <u>CSD scanning</u>: 6 ESS at LNGS -> ~ 8CSdoublet/day (~ 5 microscopes missing )
- 2. Brick Vertex location and confirmation : Italy : 12 → 10 bricks/day
   EU : 6 ESS → 5 bricks/day
   plate changer in progress ( with dry and oil objectives )
- Dedicated to Special events (min. bias, decays, electrons, ...): Italy : 4 ESS → ~ 200 events/yr EU : 2 ESS → ~ 100 events/yr

### Scanning in Japan

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### CSD scanning

![](_page_38_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### <u>SUTS status:</u>

- first unit ready : 20 cm<sup>2</sup>/h
- R&D in progress in order to reach 60 cm<sup>2</sup>/h
   >X50 lens
  - >Optimized FPGA code
- 5 units in preparation → 300 cm<sup>2</sup>/h

Brick scanning

- Vertex finding performances:
- Single track scan back :
- Vertex finding:
  - $V_{\mu}CC$  : 15' for vertex finding
    - nx10' for vertex studies
  - $\cdot$   $v_{\mu}NC$  : 2-3  $\times$  CC vertex finding time

## Brick Tagging rehearsal with the OPERA detector

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Prediction accuracy**

(without final corrections):

- $\cdot \Delta x = 5.5 \text{ mm}$  (along the tray)
- $\cdot \Delta y = 0.4 \text{ mm}$  (vertical direction)
- $\cdot \Delta \theta x = 16.6 \text{ mrad}$
- $\cdot \Delta \Theta y = 24.8 \text{ mrad}$

#### Muon momentum:

- MCS (coordinate method) :  $6.4 \pm 1.$  GeV/c
- Spectrometer (RPC) : 7.05 ± 0.4 GeV/c

June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007

![](_page_39_Picture_12.jpeg)

October 2006: (very short) CNGS run:

- $\cdot$  CNGS induced rock muon selected by electronic detectors
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$  brick tagging using the reconstruction software
- CSD and brick analysed using the standard

![](_page_39_Figure_17.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_18.jpeg)

//c

SPSC

Details of the CS scanning showing the reconstructed grains.

Yves Déclais 40

## Brick finding commissioning with cosmic runs

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

Scanning acceptance

![](_page_40_Figure_4.jpeg)

Very low rate of events inside scanning acceptance < 1 event per day ~20 'good' bricks per month

> the predictions machinery and its automatization during the run were satisfactory

first data confirm the good accuracy of the ED predictions (3mm) including:

- Measured detector geometry
- Dynamic effects (wall elongation under the weight
- Interface with the BMS DB for the measured brick position train

June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007

## $TT \rightarrow CSd$ connexion

o ta na

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Brick flatness measured with cosmics

- $\cdot$   $\mu$  momentum measurement by MCS
- ·  $\tau$  kink search :  $\theta$  > 20 mrad )

![](_page_42_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Brick emulsion alignment with cosmics

CNGS rock muon analysis

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### 6. Test beam activities

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

### CERN exposures:

- = measurement of the  $\pi$  topological cross-section  $\rightarrow$  direct measurement of the hadronic background (thousand of interactions reconstructed);
- measurement of the large-angle  $\mu$  scattering off lead nuclei  $\rightarrow$  direct measurement of the main source of the background in the muonic channel;
- study of the momentum measurement by using the Multiple Coulomb Scattering;
- study of the  $\pi \rightarrow e$  mis-identification

#### DESY exposures:

availability of a pure electron beam  $\rightarrow$  electron identification studies and energy measurement

#### PSI exposures:

study of the  $\pi/\mu$  separation through the dE/dx method (about 60% of the stoping muons are identified, while about 3% of the pions are misidentified as muons)

#### LNGS tests:

by using cosmic-rays we studied the electronic detector predictions vs CS scanning. We managed to go from the cm precision scale of the electronic detector to the  $\mu m$  precision scale of the nuclear emulsions

#### PEANUT test experiment:

about 50 ECC have been exposed to the NuMI neutrino beam and several hundred of neutrino interactions collected. Very useful for vertex location and vertex reconstruction studies

## Electron/Pion separation

PUBLISHED BY INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS PUBLISHING AND SISSA

RECEIVED: January 18, 2007 ACCEPTED: January 29, 2007 PUBLISHED: February 1, 2007

#### Electron/pion separation with an Emulsion Cloud Chamber by using a Neural Network

L. Arrabito, <sup>f</sup> D. Autiero, <sup>f</sup> C. Bozza,<sup>k</sup> S. Buontempo,<sup>g</sup> Y. Caffari, <sup>f</sup> L. Consiglio,<sup>d</sup> M. Cozzi,<sup>4</sup> N. D'Ambrosio,<sup>4</sup> G. De Lellis,<sup>8</sup> M. De Serio,<sup>b</sup> F. Di Capua,<sup>8</sup> D. Di Ferdinando,<sup>d</sup> N. Di Marco,<sup>e</sup> A. Ereditato,<sup>e</sup> L. S. Esposito,<sup>a</sup> S. Gagnebin,<sup>k</sup> G. Giacomelli,<sup>d</sup> M. Giorgini,<sup>d</sup> G. Grella,<sup>k</sup> M. Hauger,<sup>h</sup> M. leva,<sup>b</sup> J. Janicsko Csathy,<sup>h</sup> F. Juget,<sup>h</sup> I. Kreslo,<sup>c</sup> I. Laktineh,<sup>f</sup> A. Longhin,<sup>i</sup> G. Mandrioli,<sup>d</sup> A. Marotta,<sup>g</sup> J. Marteau, <sup>7</sup> P. Migliozzi,<sup>8</sup>\* P. Monacelli,<sup>4</sup> U. Moser,<sup>6</sup> M. T. Muciaccia,<sup>b</sup> A. Pastore,<sup>b</sup> L. Patrizii,<sup>d</sup> C. Pistillo,<sup>e</sup> M. Pozzato,<sup>d</sup> G. Romano,<sup>k</sup> G. Rosa,<sup>j</sup> A. Russo,<sup>g</sup> N. Savvinov,<sup>c</sup> A. Schembri,<sup>j</sup> L. Scotto Lavina,<sup>g</sup> S. Simone,<sup>b</sup> M. Sioli,<sup>d</sup> C. Sirignano,<sup>k</sup> G. Sirri.<sup>d</sup> P. Strolin<sup>g</sup> and V. Tioukov<sup>g</sup> "Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso dell'INFN, 67010 Assergi (L'Aquila), Italy <sup>b</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Bari and INFN, 70126 Bari, Italy CUniversity of Bern, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland <sup>d</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Bologna and INFN, 40127 Bologna, Italy \*Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università dell'Aquila and INFN, 67100 L'Aquila, Italy <sup>f</sup>IPNL, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, 69622 Villeurbanne, France Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università Federico II di Napoli and INFN, 80125 Napoli, Italy h Université de Neuchâtel, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland 'Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Padova and INFN, 35131 Padova, Italy Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Roma "La Sapienza" and INFN, 00185 Roma, Italy \*Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Salerno and INFN, 84084 Fisciano, Salerno, Italy E-mail: migliozzi@na.infn.it

ABSTRACT: We have studied the performance of a new algorithm for electron/pion separation in an Emulsion Cloud Chamber (ECC) made of lead and nuclear emulsion films. The software for separation consists of two parts: a shower reconstruction algorithm and a Neural Network that assigns to each reconstructed shower the probability to be an electron or a pion. The performance has been studied for the ECC of the OPERA experiment [1].

The  $\rho/\pi$  separation algorithm has been optimized by using a detailed Monte Carlo simulation of the ECC and tested on real data taken at CERN (pion beams) and at DESY (electron beams). The algorithm allows to achieve a 90% electron identification efficiency with a pion misidentification smaller than 1% for energies higher than 2 GeV.

KEYWORDS: Particle tracking detectors; Particle identification methods.

 ${\sim}3.3\,X_0$  ECC exposed at DESY. Each segment corresponds to a base-track associated Figure 2. xz projection (left) and yz projection (right) of a reconstructed shower generated by a 6 GeV elecshower. with the reconstructed electromagnetic iron interacting in the SPSC

Z

![](_page_45_Picture_9.jpeg)

June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007

inst

## Neural network analysis

Input variables :

 $\cdot$  basetrack and film number

·Longitudinal and transversal profile

![](_page_46_Figure_4.jpeg)

June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007

Yves Déclais 47

ARRANGE STATE

OPERA

A A A A A A A A A

## $\pi/\mu$ separation using dE/dx and MCS

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)

## PEANUT status and objectives

### <u>Status:</u>

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

- About 100 bricks exposed and a few thousands interactions expected
- $\cdot$  20 bricks currently under analysis (1000 located v interactions expected)
- $\cdot$  The SFT predictions are stored in the DB (same OPERA schema)
- Emulsion to SFT alignment successfully done with expected performances
- Pilot vertex analysis for 2 bricks in general scanning mode is now completed.
- $\cdot$  Full Monte-Carlo simulation developed including SFT hit simulation

#### <u>Main goals:</u>

 Multiplicity studies for both biased (SFTmatching events) and unbiased samples
 De-convolution of the 3 cross-section components (QE, RES and DIS)

![](_page_49_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

### 7. Brick production and detector filling: status

![](_page_53_Picture_1.jpeg)

**Emulsions**: delivered in GS material for 154000 bricks (including CSd) : 75%

→ no room for finding new funds (Funding Agency Meeting, March 23, 2007)

- Lead:
  present production rate is 13000 pcs/day (~ 1 drum/day).
- by end of June production rate will go to 29000 pcs/day (~2.3 drums day).
- the production rate will be increased following the stability of brick production (BAM) and detector filling (BMS) rate.
- Lead mechanical quality is now good and stable. Air flow is still needed to secure single sheet pick up in BAM piling stations.
- Lead radioactivity

![](_page_53_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_10.jpeg)

	March-2006 average cts/d/cm2	July - 2006 average cts/d/cm2	September-2006 average cts/d/cm2	November-2006 average cts/d/cm2	January-2007 average cts/d/cm2
total	4,5	12	14,3	14,3	17,9
5-5.5 MeV	1,3	1,6	1,6	1	2,3

![](_page_54_Picture_0.jpeg)

1 Wrapping station

Drum loader (1 drum = 234 bricks)

![](_page_54_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### Achieved milestones:

- 10 Kbricks by last SPSC
- 2 drums/day in May → 20 Kbricks by end of may
- 2.5 drums/day in June

#### Next milestone:

- 3 drums/day from sept 1<sup>st</sup>
  - all technical aspects under control
  - but resource dependent !

brick production

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Brick Manipulator System

![](_page_56_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **BMS** : Insertion performances hanna and DPERA Running with 2 shifts per day - Max daily rate 815 -26000 bricks inserted since beginning of 2007 in the OPERA detector Daily rate from 21/10/06 to 21/06/07 Running staff: 1 expert and 1 operator per shift Verified performance (without failures or waiting time in drum exchange): insertion of 500 bricks in one shift June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007 Yves Déclais 58 SPSC

![](_page_58_Figure_0.jpeg)

• 2006 CNGS run : ~ .1  $10^{19}$  pot

• nominal CNGS year : 4.5 10<sup>19</sup> pot

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

### 8. OPERA sensitivity revisited

## **OPERA** sensitivity : nominal conditions

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_0.jpeg)

### 9. Conclusions

![](_page_62_Picture_1.jpeg)

The electronic detectors of the OPERA experiment are ready for data taking during the fore coming CNGS runs
 The brick production is launched and the detector could be filled before the physics run in 2008
 The data analysis chain has been validated for the :

 <u>electronic detectors</u>: during the CNGS beam periods in 2006 and also with cosmic rays in 2006 & 2007
 emulsion detectors: during many test runs, especially with real neutrino

event from an exposure in the NUMI beam

Caveat:
✓ the schedule is tight and <u>the resources limited</u>
→ any problem will now induce delays impossible to recover
✓ OPERA sensitivity is limited by the statistics
→ missing emulsions will seriously reduce its sensitivity