# **TCT** measurements with strip detectors

## <u>Igor Mandić</u><sup>1</sup>, Vladimir Cindro<sup>1</sup>, Andrej Gorišek<sup>1</sup>, Gregor Kramberger<sup>1</sup>, Marko Milovanović<sup>1</sup>, Marko Mikuž<sup>1,2</sup>, Marko Zavrtanik<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Jožef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia

<u>20th RD50 Workshop, Bari, 30.5. – 1.6</u>

### Introduction:

- TCT with focused IR laser light Position Sensitive TCT
  - see the talk by G. Kramberger at RD50 meeting in Prague 2006: http://indico.cern.ch/getFile.py/access?contribId=41&sessionId=10&resId=1&materiaIId=slides&confId=1242
- focused IR laser light ( $\lambda$  = 1060 nm) directed on the surface of strip detectors
- Hamamatsu ATLAS07 mini strip detectors irradiated with neutrons in Ljubljana
- p-type, FZ, 320 µm thick, 1x1 cm<sup>2</sup>, produced by Hamamatsu
  - strip pitch: 74.5 µm
  - implant width: 16 µm
  - metal width: 22 µm
  - all zone 3
- detectors irradiated to  $2\cdot10^{14}$ ,  $5\cdot10^{14}$ ,  $1\cdot10^{15}$  and  $5\cdot10^{15}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup>
- 2.10<sup>14</sup> annealed 80 minutes, others 84 hours at 60 C!!
- measurements made at T = 20 C

#### Motivation: check the uniformitiy of response at high bias voltages





Metal: connected to same potential (HV supply)!
→ weighting field as when connected to multi-channel readout chip!

#### Detector before irradiation

• scan laser spot across the the surface, step 2.5 μm

Examples of signals induced on readout strip by laser beam at different locations:



t[ns]

5

#### Charge vs. x for irradiated detectors



In irradiated detectors non zero signal expected on neighbour strip

- G. Kramberger et al., *Influence of trapping on silicon micro-strip design and performance*, IEEE TNS, Vol 49 (2002) p1717
- G. Kramberger, D. Contarato, *Simulation of signal in irradiated silicon pixel detectors,* NIMA511 (2003) p 82

Induced signal from MIP impact on neighbour strip:



→ Because of trapping integrals of induced signal not 0!



- examples of events measured with SCT128,  ${}^{90}$ Sr,  $\Phi = 5e15$ , Bias = 1400 V
  - → large positive signals accompanied by negative signals on neighbour channels



9



#### • pulses for laser spot between the metal and the peak

at ~ 162 µm

 $\rightarrow$  second peak appears at ~162 um, laser spot ~ 6 µm away from the edge of metal



t[ns] 11



#### Charge vs. bias voltage measured at different impact point x

- each colour different x: black 168 μm, blue 188 μm (for 1e15)
  - $\rightarrow$  steep rise of collected charge with bias voltage at peak location (168 um) for 1e15



## • other fluences



Charge (arb.)

## Effect of non-uniform response on tracking efficiency

binary electronics: it depends on the threshold if non-uniformity affects the efficiency
 → more non-uniform after 1e15 than 5e15





## Summary

- preliminary results of measurements with focused IR laser beam directed on the surface of heavily irradiated strip detectors
- signal of opposite polarity induced on the neighbor strip
  - → expected because of trapping
  - $\rightarrow$  observed also in events measured with SCT128 chip (<sup>90</sup>Sr)
- multiplication seen in TCT signals: second peak in induced current
   → takes some time that electrons reach the region of high field
  - $\rightarrow$  movement of charge created in multiplication is seen as the second peak
- significant multiplication seen in detector irradiated to 10<sup>15</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup> in narrow region
   → narrower than in the detector irradiated to 5.10<sup>15</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup>
- response of detectors across the surface
  - $\rightarrow$  more uniform for detector irradiated to 5.10<sup>15</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup> than to 10<sup>15</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup>

## Sum of red and blue



x [µ m]



 $\rightarrow$  expected because of trapping

• pulse on neighbor strip changes polarity at high fluence  $\rightarrow$  need simulation to understand. 150 200 250 300 0.5 t[ns] 0.4 Bias = 1000 V 0.3 0.2 0.1 0 -0.1  $\begin{array}{l} \Phi_{\rm eq} = 5.0 {\rm x10^{15}} \ {\rm n/cm^2} \\ \Phi_{\rm eq} = 1.0 {\rm x10^{15}} \ {\rm n/cm^2} \\ \Phi_{\rm eq} = 5.0 {\rm x10^{14}} \ {\rm n/cm^2} \\ \Phi_{\rm eq} = 2.0 {\rm x10^{14}} \ {\rm n/cm^2} \end{array}$ -0.2 -0.3 -0.4 -0.5 0.5 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 1 4 4.5 5 I [V/50Ω]

35

## Compare SCT128 and TCT

- SCT128: charge measured with <sup>90</sup>Sr
- laser: average across high signal region

 $\rightarrow$  laser  $\rightarrow$  only shapes of curves can be compared, not values of charge



→ shape for 5e15 (blue) for laser and SCT128 somewhat different, other look similar