





# SPL cavities design and construction; Design evolution for ESS needs

Ofelia Capatina (CERN), Juliette Plouin (CEA), Guillaume Olry (IPNO) on behalf of the SPL cavity development team



#### Overview

- Collaboration: who is doing what
- SPL equipped cavities
- SPL beta=1 CERN cavities
- Cavity design evolution from SPL to ESS
- Summary

#### COLLABORATION: WHO IS DOING WHAT?

#### Beta 0.65

IPN Orsay: 1 cavity with Titanium Helium vessel

#### **Beta 1.0**

**CEA/Saclay:** 1 cavity with Titanium Helium vessel

Stainless Steel Helium vessels and tuning systems for the

**CERN** cavities

**CERN:** 4 cavities with Stainless Steel vessels

2 # RF coupler types

**BNL:** 1 « Universal » cavity

#### **HOM**

Rostock U.: HOM coupler design

Royal Holloway U. of London: Cavity-to-cavity coupling effects

BNL: Cavity-to-cavity coupling effects & HOM coupler design


#### **SHORT-CRYOMODULE**

**CERN & IPN Orsay** 



## SPL equipped cavities

- Beta = 0.65
  - RF design done by IPNO
  - Mechanical design done by IPNO
  - Titanium helium tank
  - 1 niobium cavity to be manufactured by IPN Orsay
  - To be tested in the "CRYHOLAB" at CEA Saclay
  - CEA tuner
  - CEA main coupler



Configuration to be tested in CRYHOLAB



### **BETA 0.65**


### RF design


#### → Operating gradient: 19 MV/m

**Epk/Eacc<2.6** (<-> Epk=50 MV/m) **Bpk/Eacc<5.2 mT/**(MV/m) (<-> Bpk=100 mT)

#### **EM** calculations

1/ in 2D with SUPERFISH
2/ in 3D with CST MWS → RF coupler integration






f (MHz)	704.0
Epk/Eacc	2.63
Bpk/Eacc (mT/MV/m)	5.12
K (%)	1.45
r/Q (Ohm)	275
G (Ohm)	197
Vacc @βg & 1 Joule (MV)	1.11
Qo (@2K, Rres=2nΩ)	3.9 10 <sup>10</sup>
Transit Time Factor	0.65



### **BETA 0.65**

### Mechanical design


☐ Von Mises stresses for 1.5 bar @ 300K < **50 MPa with 4mm** 



Cavity walls = 4mm

→ Niobium cost ~70 k€


□ Lorentz forces detuning factor: K<sub>L</sub>~ -1.6 Hz/(MV/m)<sup>2</sup>





# SPL equipped cavities

- Beta = 1, CEA cavity
  - RF design done by CEA (will be compared later in this talk to ESS design)
  - Mechanical design done by CEA
  - Titanium helium tank
  - 1 niobium cavity to be manufactured by CEA
  - To be tested in the "CRYHOLAB" at CEA Saclay
  - CEA tuner
  - CEA main coupler



Configuration to be tested in CRYHOLAB







energie atomique • energies atternative

## BETA 0.65 & BETA=1 COMMON FEATURES

- Orders: end of 2011
- Same helium vessel design and same end-groups



→ Final test in horizontal cryostat with the same auxiliary components (tuning system + RF coupler)







# SPL equipped cavities

- Beta = 1, BNL cavities
  - 1 copper cavity and 1 niobium cavity designed and manufactured by BNL
  - Will be presented by Rama Calaga today at 14h00




OC, 08/December/2011 SLHiPP-1



# SPL equipped cavities


- Beta = 1, CERN cavities
  - RF design done by CEA
  - Mechanical design done by CEA and CERN
  - Stainless steel helium tank
  - 2 copper cavity manufacturing ongoing at CERN
  - 5 niobium cavities to be manufactured by end 2012
    - 4 in industry (Research Instruments)
    - 1 at CERN
  - To be tested in the short cryo-module at CERN
  - CEA tuner
  - CERN main coupler (talk of Eric Montesions tomorrow)



Configuration to be tested in cryo-module



 A string of 4 "equipped beta=1 cavities" + main coupler to be installed into a short cryo-module by 2013




Short cryo-module design to be done by IPN Orsay (see talk tomorrow by Vittorio Parma)

Schematic view of string of 4 "equipped cavities" and main coupler

OC, 08/December/2011 SLHiPP-1 11




 SPL beta = 1 cavity + helium tank + tuner + main coupler to be installed and tested in cryo-module at CERN





SPL beta = 1 cavity + helium tank + tuner + main coupler to be installed and tested in cryo-module at CERN




13



- RF design
  - Done by CEA Saclay in 2009
  - Comparison RF design SPL / ESS later in this talk


OC, 08/December/2011 SLHiPP-1 14

- Mechanical dimensioning
  - Static (quasi-static)
    - Lorentz detuning
    - Maximum pressure / sensitivity to fluctuation
    - Deformation for tuning
    - Handling configurations
  - Natural vibration modes
  - Bucking






- Helium tank
  - Two configurations designed and partially tested / interfaces:
     Titanium and Stainless steel
  - Stainless steel helium tank chosen as baseline for CERN cavities
  - Helium ports dimension:
    - The helium ports dimensions were calculated with respect to maximum heat flow to be evacuated through




For details see Ofelia Capatina's presentation in the frame of the  $4^{th}$  collaboration meeting in Lund

Some theory concerning Hell






 Helium tank + tuner act as boundary conditions to cavity => different stiffness gives different deformation of cavity due to Lorentz forces




- Zero tank + tuner stiffness equivalent to free-free BC for cavity
- Infinite tank + tuner stiffness equivalent to fix-fix BC for cavity

OC, 08/December/2011 SLHiPP-1










Lorentz detuning => min helium tank stiffness 100 kN/mm





- Manufacturing
  - 4 niobium cavities by industry and 1 niobium cavity by CERN by end of 2012
    - Talk by Gonzalo Arnau concerning materials
    - Talk by Said Atieh concerning manufacturing and R&D
  - 2 copper cavities manufacturing ongoing
    - Help to adjust manufacturing parameters and identify possible
    - 1 cavity will be used for HOM measurements
    - 1 cavity will be used for sputtering tests
    - Talk by Nuria Valverde concerning manufacturing
- Cavity preparation
  - Talk by Leonel Marques concerning Electro-Polishing plant at CERN
  - Field flatness measurement system and tuning system still to be designed SLHiPP-1



# Cavity design evolution from SPL to ESS



# Cavity RF design : SPL vs ESS

	RF frequency	704.42 MHz
33	Cavity geometrical beta	1
끧	Accelerating gradient	25 MV/m
ME		
<b>PARAMETERS</b>	Maximum surface E field	40 MV/m
PA	Average pulse current	40 mA
Z	Peak RF power	1 MW
DESIGN	Repetition frequency	50 Hz
۵	Duty cycle	5%
	Operating Temperature	2 K


	RF frequency	704.42 MHz
RS	Cavity geometrical beta	0.86
믵	Accelerating gradient	18 MV/m
PARAMETERS	Q <sub>0</sub> at nominal field	> 6 10 <sup>9</sup>
RA	Maximum surface E field	40 MV/m
PA	Average pulse current	50 mA
Z <sub>D</sub>	Peak RF power	900 kW
DESIGN	Repetition frequency	14 Hz
	Beam pulse length	2.86 ms
	Operating Temperature	2 K


SPL

S	Bpk/Eacc [mT/(MV/m)]	4.20
#	Epk/Eacc	1.99
Σ	G [Ohm]	270
RF PARAMETERS	Cell to cell coupling	1.92 %
F P/	r/Q [Ohms]	566
~	$L_{acc} = Ngap.\beta.\lambda/2 [m]$	1.0647

ESS

	Bpk/Eacc [mT/(MV/m)]	4.3
IRS	Epk/Eacc	2.2
Ē	G [Ohm]	241
<b>PARAMETERS</b>	Cell to cell coupling	1.8 %
PAR	r/Q [Ohms]	477
<b>R</b>	$L_{acc} = Ngap.\beta.\lambda/2 [m]$	0.915
	Cell wall angle	>8°







Juliette Plouin CEA-Saclay

# Coupled RF/mechanicals design

Nominal wall thickness [mm]	3
Cavity stiffness Kcav [kN/mm]	3.84
Tuning sensitivity ∆f/∆z [kHz/mm]	164
K <sub>L</sub> with fixed ends [Hz/(MV/m) <sup>2</sup> ]	-0.55
K <sub>L</sub> with free ends [Hz/(MV/m)²]	-5
Pressure sensitivity K <sub>P</sub> [Hz/mbar] (fixed ends)	1.2

Nominal wall thickness [mm]	3.6
Cavity stiffness Kcav [kN/mm]	2.59
Tuning sensitivity $\Delta f/\Delta z$ [kHz/mm]	197
$K_L$ with fixed ends $[Hz/(MV/m)^2]$	-0.36
$K_L$ with free ends $[Hz/(MV/m)^2]$	-8.9
Pressure sensitivity K <sub>P</sub> [Hz/mbar] (fixed ends)	4.85







SPL and ESS cavities will be equipped with Saclay V type tuners for slow and fast tuning 24




# Mechanicals design





- All flanges made of Nb or Nb/Ti
- except the FPC flange, in stainless steel with copper gasket, to be compatible with the HIPPI coupler, and for safety reasons
  - intermediate piece in Ti needed



- Helium tank in Titanium (limits the differential shrinkage with Nb during cooling down)
- All flanges made of Nb or Nb/Ti

The position of the HOM ports could change after the prototypes, in relation with HOM couplers studies (SPL and ESS)



- ESS cavity without extremity "cones"
  - + easier to extract the HOM propagating in a larger tube
  - + easier for the manufacturing
  - + easier for electro polishing and more generally for cavity cleaning
  - + more rigid, thus less sensitive to Lorentz forces
  - longer cavity (for larger tubes one should increase the length to avoid the fundamental mode to be dissipated on the inter-cavities bellow)
  - bigger flanges
  - a priori more difficult to mount the tuning system




# Equipment for cavity preparation

SLHiPP-1



- Vertical electro-polishing (installed and tested at Saclay)
- Ready to use for both SPL and ESS cavities

- Cavity tuning set-up for field flatness achievement at room temperature (under development)
- Cell by cell tuning between plates
- This set-up is adaptable for both SPL and ESS cavities



# Summary

- Several cavity developments all over the world, related to the SPL project
- They will be tested independently by each collaborator in their own testing facilities
- Cavities to be installed in the SPL cryo-module will be provided by CERN by end of next year:
  - 4 to be manufactured in industry
  - 1 (spare) to be manufactured at CERN
- SPL beta=1 cavity design by CEA was used as basis for beta=0.86 cavity design for ESS