



Implications of LHCb measurements and future prospects

Summary for input to the European Strategy Preparatory Group

The LHCb collaboration
and
various theorists [†]

Abstract

During 2011 the LHCb experiment at CERN collected 1.0 fb^{-1} of $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ pp collisions. Due to the large production cross-sections, these data provide unprecedented samples of heavy flavoured hadrons. The first results from LHCb have made a significant impact on the flavour physics landscape and have definitively proved the concept of a dedicated experiment in the forward region at a hadron collider. This document, which is a summary of a more detailed article [1], discusses the implications of these first measurements on classes of extensions to the Standard Model, bearing in mind the interplay with the results of searches for on-shell production of new particles at ATLAS and CMS. The physics potential of an upgrade to the LHCb detector, which would allow an order of magnitude more data to be collected, is emphasised.

[†]Still need to decide exactly how the author list should appear.

1 Background to the document

2 During 2011 both the LHC machine and the LHCb detector performed superbly, allowing
3 LHCb to accumulate 1.0 fb^{-1} of $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ pp collisions that is available for physics
4 analysis. Due to the large production cross-sections, these data provide unprecedented
5 samples of heavy flavoured hadrons. The first results from LHCb have made a significant
6 impact on the flavour physics landscape and have definitively proved the concept of a
7 dedicated experiment in the forward region at a hadron collider.

8 The results to date cover several topics in the core flavour physics programme of LHCb
9 in rare decays and CP violation. This programme is focussed on searching for physics
10 beyond the Standard Model (SM) – referred to as “New Physics” (NP) – with an approach
11 that is complementary to that used by the ATLAS and CMS experiments. While the high-
12 p_T experiments search for on-shell production of new particles, LHCb can look for their
13 effects in processes that are precisely predicted in the SM. In particular, the SM has a
14 highly distinctive flavour structure, with no tree-level flavour-changing neutral currents,
15 and quark-mixing described by the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa quark mixing matrix [2]
16 with a single source of CP violation, that is not necessarily replicated in extended models.
17 Historically, new particles have first been seen through their virtual effects since this
18 approach allows to probe beyond the energy frontier. For example, the observation of
19 CP violation in the kaon system [3] was, in hindsight, the discovery of the third family of
20 quarks, over 30 years before the observation of the top quark. Crucially, measurements
21 of both high- p_T and flavour observables are necessary in order to understand the origin
22 of NP.

23 In many channels, the LHCb results are already the world’s most precise, and begin
24 to reach the sensitivity where small deviations from Standard Model predictions may be
25 observed. In several areas hints of large anomalies from previous measurements have
26 not been confirmed: the branching fraction of $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ [4], the forward-backward
27 asymmetry of $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$ [5] and the CP -violation phase in B_s^0 oscillations [6] are
28 all found to be consistent with the Standard Model, within current uncertainties. The
29 situation regarding flavour-specific CP asymmetries in the B^0 and B_s^0 system is still
30 ambiguous [7]. Nevertheless, in all these cases more precise measurements are mandatory.
31 Moreover, in other channels there are strengthened, or new, hints of unexpected effects:
32 for example evidence of CP violation in the charm system [8] and anomalous isospin
33 asymmetry in $B \rightarrow K\mu^+\mu^-$ decays [9]. These also demand to be followed up with more
34 data, and with studies of complementary decay channels.

35 The physics output of LHCb also extends beyond this core programme. Examples of
36 other topics include measurements of the production of electroweak gauge bosons in the
37 forward kinematic region covered by the LHCb acceptance [10], studies of double parton
38 scattering [11], and searches for exotic hadrons [12], for lepton number violation [13, 14],
39 and for long-lived new particles [15].

40 In order to discuss the implications of its first measurements, and possibilities for
41 future analysis directions, the LHCb collaboration arranged two workshops with theorists
42 as satellite meetings of the series on implications of LHC results for TeV-scale physics.

43 The first was held on 10–11 November 2011, the second on 16–18 April 2012. These
 44 meetings focussed on the observables that are sensitive to physics beyond the Standard
 45 Model, such as models that predict new particles at the TeV scale – they were arranged
 46 in three main strands: rare charm and beauty decays, CP violation in the B system and
 47 mixing and CP violation in the charm sector. The interplay with the results of searches for
 48 on-shell production of new particles at ATLAS and CMS is therefore highly relevant and
 49 was discussed both in the LHCb meetings as well as in the main series of “Implications”
 50 workshops.

51 The full version of this document [1] gives a detailed summary of these workshops. It
 52 highlights the impact of the first results from LHCb, and emphasises the need to exploit
 53 fully the flavour physics potential of the LHC. This motivates a reassessment of the
 54 potential sensitivity of the upgraded LHCb experiment in the light of the latest results,
 55 as discussed below.

56 **Highlights of LHCb measurements and their implications**

57 **Rare decays**

58 Among rare decays, the LHCb limit on the rate of the decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ [4] places
 59 stringent limits on NP models that enhance the branching fraction. The measurement
 60 can be compared to the Standard Model prediction [16]:

$$\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) < 4.5 \times 10^{-9} \text{ (95 \% confidence level),} \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)_{\text{SM}} = (3.53 \pm 0.38) \times 10^{-9}. \quad (2)$$

61 It should be noted that the measured value is the time-integrated branching fraction, and
 62 the SM prediction should be increased by around 10 % to allow a direct comparison [17].
 63 This constraint effectively rules out large values of $\tan\beta$, *i.e.* of the ratio of vacuum
 64 expectation values of the Higgs doublets, in constrained supersymmetric models see, for
 65 example, Refs. [18, 19]). As a consequence, there is – within such models – a tension
 66 between the limit on $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ and the measurement of the anomalous magnetic
 67 moment of the muon [20]. Further measurements are needed to resolve the situation.
 68 In addition, models where the branching fraction is reduced below its SM value due to
 69 interference effects are starting to receive serious consideration.

70 The measurement of the forward-backward asymmetry in $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$ [5] has to
 71 be viewed as the start of a programme towards a full angular analysis of these decays.
 72 The measurements that will be obtained from such an analysis, as well as similar studies
 73 of related channels, such as $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi\mu^+\mu^-$ [21], allow model-independent constraints on
 74 NP, manifested as limits on the operators of the heavy quark effective theory (see, for
 75 example, Ref. [22]). Studies of radiative decays such as $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi\gamma$ [23] provide additional
 76 constraints since they allow to measure the polarisation of the emitted photon. Similarly,
 77 studies of observables such as isospin asymmetries [9] are important since they allow to
 78 pin down in which operators the NP effects occur.

79 ***CP* violation in the *B* sector**

80 Measurements of the neutral *B* meson mixing parameters provide an excellent method
81 to search for NP effects, due to the low theoretical uncertainties associated to several
82 observables. The measurements of $\Delta\Gamma_s$ and ϕ_s [6, 24–26] by LHCb significantly reduce
83 the phase space for NP. However, deviations from the SM are still possible and improved
84 measurements are needed to reach the level of sensitivity demanded by theory. In par-
85 ticular, to understand the origin of the anomalous dimuon asymmetry seen by D0 [27],
86 improved measurements of semileptonic asymmetries in both B_s^0 [7] and B^0 systems are
87 needed. Moreover, a constraint on, or a measurement of, the rate of the decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$
88 is important to provide knowledge of possible NP contributions to Γ_{12} .

89 Among the B^0 mixing parameters, improved measurements of both ϕ_d (*i.e.*, $\sin(2\beta)$)
90 and $\Delta\Gamma_d$ are needed. Reducing the uncertainty on the former will help to improve the
91 global fits to the CKM matrix [28, 29], and may clarify the current situation regarding the
92 tension between measurements of $\sin(2\beta)$ and $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu)$. Another crucial observable
93 in this respect is the angle γ , which provides a benchmark measurement of *CP* violation.
94 The first measurements from LHCb already help to improve the uncertainty on γ [30]:
95 further improvements are both anticipated and needed.

96 Knowledge of γ from tree-dominated processes is also essential to test the consistency
97 with measurements from loop dominated processes. In particular, the study of $B_s^0 \rightarrow$
98 $K^+ K^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays [31], which are related by U-spin, allows a powerful test
99 of the consistency of the observables with the SM. Similarly, the U-spin partners $B_s^0 \rightarrow$
100 $K^{*0} \bar{K}^{*0}$ [32] and $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \bar{K}^{*0}$ are golden channels to search for NP contributions in
101 $b \rightarrow sq\bar{q}$ penguin amplitudes. Another important channel in this respect is $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi\phi$ [33],
102 for which the *CP* violating observables are predicted with low theoretical uncertainty in
103 the SM.

104 **Charm mixing and *CP* violation**

105 In the charm sector, the evidence for *CP* violation in the observable ΔA_{CP} [8] has provoked
106 a large amount of theoretical work. The emergent consensus is that while an asymmetry
107 of the order of 1 % is rather unlikely in the Standard Model, it cannot be ruled out that
108 QCD effects cause enhancements of that size. Further measurements are needed in order
109 to establish if NP effects are affecting the charm sector. Among the anticipated results
110 are updates of the ΔA_{CP} measurement as well as of the individual *CP* asymmetries in
111 $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$. It is of great interest to look for direct *CP* violation in
112 decays to other final states, and in decays of other charmed hadrons.

113 The Standard Model predictions are somewhat cleaner for indirect *CP* violation effects,
114 and therefore it is also essential to search for *CP* violation in charm mixing. New results
115 from time-dependent analyses of $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ [34] and $D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ will improve the
116 current knowledge, and additional channels will also be important with high statistics.

117 Several authors have noted correlations between *CP* violation in charm and various
118 other observables. These correlations appear in, and differ between, certain theoretical
119 models, and can therefore be used to help identify the origin of the effects. Observables

120 of interest in this context include those that can be measured at high- p_T experiments,
121 such as $t\bar{t}$ asymmetries, as well as rare charm decays. Among the latter, it has been
122 noted that CP asymmetries are possible in radiative decays such as $D^0 \rightarrow \phi\gamma$, and that
123 searches for decays involving dimuons, such as $D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ [35] and $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\mu^+\mu^-$ are
124 well motivated.

125 **Measurements exploiting the unique kinematic acceptance of LHCb**

126 The workshops mentioned above focussed on the observables, mainly in the flavour sector,
127 most sensitive to physics beyond the Standard Model, but the physics programme of
128 LHCb includes additional topics. These will continue to be important in the upgrade era,
129 since the unique kinematic region covered by the LHCb acceptance enables measurements
130 that cannot be performed at other experiments. These include probes of QCD both in
131 production, such as studies of multi-parton scattering [11,36], and in decay, such as studies
132 of exotic hadrons like the $X(3872)$ [37] and the putative $Z(4430)$ state. Conventional
133 hadrons can also be studied with high precision: one important goal will be to establish the
134 existence of doubly heavy baryons. LHCb may also be able to make a unique contribution
135 to the field of heavy ion physics, by studying soft QCD and heavy flavour production in
136 pA collisions. The first pA run of the LHC will clarify the potential of LHCb in this field.

137 Measurements of production rates and asymmetries of electroweak gauge bosons in the
138 LHCb acceptance are important to constrain parton density functions (PDFs) [10]. With
139 high statistics LHCb will be well placed to make a precision measurement of the sine of
140 the effective electroweak mixing angle for leptons, $\sin^2\theta_{\text{eff}}^{\text{lept}}$, from the forward-backward
141 asymmetry of leptons produced in the $Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decay. Improved knowledge of PDFs, as
142 can be obtained from studies of production of gauge bosons in association with jets [38],
143 will help to reduce limiting uncertainties on the measurement of the W boson. These
144 studies are also an important step towards a top physics programme at LHCb, which will
145 become possible once the LHC energy approaches the nominal 14 TeV.

146 The forward geometry of LHCb is advantageous to observe new long-lived particles
147 that are predicted in certain NP models. Although limits can be set with the current
148 detector [15], this is an area that benefits significantly from the flexible software trigger of
149 the upgraded experiment. Similarly, the efficiency to trigger on signatures of central ex-
150 clusive production will be increased, allowing studies of various hadronic states, including
151 the $X(3872)$.

152 **Sensitivity of the upgraded LHCb experiment to key observables**

153 Given the strong motivation to exploit fully the flavour physics potential of the LHC, it
154 is timely to update the estimated sensitivities for various key observables based on the
155 results to date. A detailed description of the upgraded LHCb experiment can be found in
156 the Letter of Intent (LoI) [39], complemented by the recent framework technical design
157 report (FTDR) [40], which sets out the timeline and costing for the project. The upgrade is
158 necessary to progress beyond the limitations imposed by the current hardware (“level 0”)

159 trigger that, due to its maximum output rate of 1 MHz, leads to a maximum instantaneous
 160 luminosity at which data can most effectively be collected. To overcome this, the upgraded
 161 detector will be read out at the maximum LHC bunch-crossing frequency of 40 MHz so
 162 that the trigger can be fully implemented in software. With such a flexible trigger strategy,
 163 the upgraded LHCb experiment can be considered as a general purpose detector in the
 164 forward region. The upgraded detector will be installed during the long shutdown of the
 165 LHC planned for 2018.

166 Several important improvements compared to the current detector performance can
 167 be expected in the upgrade era, as detailed in the LoI and FTDR. However, the sensitivity
 168 studies that have been performed assume detector performance as achieved during 2011
 169 data taking. The exception is in the trigger efficiency, where channels selected at level
 170 0 by hadron, photon or electron triggers are expected to have their efficiencies double
 171 (channels selected by muon triggers are expected to have marginal gains, that have not
 172 been included in the extrapolations). Several other assumptions are made:

- 173 • LHC collisions will be at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV, with heavy flavour production cross-sections
 174 scaling linearly with \sqrt{s} ;
- 175 • the instantaneous luminosity in LHCb will be $\mathcal{L}_{\text{inst}} = 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$: this will be
 176 achieved with 25 ns bunch crossings and an average number of visible interactions
 177 per crossing $\mu = 2$;
- 178 • the external crossing angle of the beams will be in the vertical plane (as already
 179 implemented for 2012 data taking);
- 180 • LHCb will change the polarity of its dipole magnet with similar frequency as in
 181 2011/12 data taking, to approximately equalise the amount of data taken with each
 182 polarity for better control of certain potential systematic biases;
- 183 • the integrated luminosity will be $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = 5 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ per year, and the experiment will run
 184 for 10 years to give a total sample of 50 fb^{-1} .

185 The sensitivity to various flavour observables is summarised in Table 1. This is an
 186 updated version of a similar summary that appears as Table 2.1 in the LoI [39], and
 187 also appears in the FTDR [40]. The measurements considered include CP -violating ob-
 188 servables, rare decays and fundamental parameters of the CKM Unitarity Triangle, and
 189 are described below. The current precision, either from LHCb measurements or averaging
 190 groups [28, 29, 41] is given and compared to the estimated sensitivity with the upgrade. As
 191 an intermediate step, the estimated precision that can be achieved prior to the upgrade is
 192 also given for each observable. For this, a total integrated luminosity of 1.0 (1.5, 4.0) fb^{-1}
 193 at pp centre-of-mass collision energy $\sqrt{s} = 7$ (8, 13) TeV recorded in 2011 (2012, 2015–17)
 194 is assumed. Another assumption is that the current efficiency of the muon hardware trig-
 195 ger can be maintained at higher \sqrt{s} , but that higher thresholds will be necessary for other
 196 triggers, reducing the efficiency for the relevant channels by a factor of 2 at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV.

Type	Observable	Current precision	LHCb 2018	Upgrade (50 fb ⁻¹)	Theory uncertainty
B_s^0 mixing	$2\beta_s(B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi)$	0.10 [24]	0.025	0.008	~ 0.003
	$2\beta_s(B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi f_0(980))$	0.17 [26]	0.045	0.014	~ 0.01
	$A_{\text{fs}}(B_s^0)$	6.4×10^{-3} [41]	0.6×10^{-3}	0.2×10^{-3}	0.03×10^{-3}
Gluonic penguin	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi\phi)$	–	0.17	0.03	0.02
	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\bar{K}^{*0})$	–	0.13	0.02	< 0.02
	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B^0 \rightarrow \phi K_S^0)$	0.17 [41]	0.30	0.05	0.02
Right-handed currents	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi\gamma)$	–	0.09	0.02	< 0.01
	$\tau^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi\gamma)/\tau_{B_s^0}$	–	5%	1%	0.2%
Electroweak penguin	$S_3(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-; 1 < q^2 < 6 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4)$	0.08 [42]	0.025	0.008	0.02
	$s_0 A_{\text{FB}}(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-)$	25% [42]	6%	2%	7%
	$A_1(K\mu^+\mu^-; 1 < q^2 < 6 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4)$	0.25 [9]	0.08	0.025	~ 0.02
Higgs penguin	$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\mu^+\mu^-)/\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+\mu^+\mu^-)$	25% [43]	8%	2.5%	$\sim 10\%$
	$\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$	1.5×10^{-9} [4]	0.5×10^{-9}	0.15×10^{-9}	0.3×10^{-9}
	$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)/\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$	–	$\sim 100\%$	$\sim 35\%$	$\sim 5\%$
Unitarity triangle angles	$\gamma(B \rightarrow D^{(*)}K^{(*)})$	$\sim 10\text{--}12^\circ$ [28, 29]	4°	0.9°	negligible
	$\gamma(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s K)$	–	11°	2.0°	negligible
	$\beta(B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0)$	0.8° [41]	0.6°	0.2°	negligible
Charm CP violation	A_Γ	2.3×10^{-3} [41]	0.40×10^{-3}	0.07×10^{-3}	–
	ΔA_{CP}	2.1×10^{-3} [8]	0.65×10^{-3}	0.12×10^{-3}	–

Table 1: Statistical sensitivities of the LHCb upgrade to key observables. For each observable the current sensitivity is compared to that which will be achieved by LHCb before the upgrade, and that which will be achieved with 50 fb⁻¹ by the upgraded experiment. Systematic uncertainties are expected to be non-negligible for the most precisely measured quantities.

197 The extrapolations assume the central values of the current measurements, or the
 198 Standard Model where no measurement is available. While the sensitivities given include
 199 statistical uncertainties only, preliminary studies of systematic effects suggest that these
 200 will not affect the conclusions significantly, except in the most precise measurements,
 201 such as those of $A_{\text{fs}}(B_s^0)$, A_Γ and ΔA_{CP} . Branching fraction measurements of B_s^0
 202 mesons require knowledge of the ratio of fragmentation fractions f_s/f_d for normalisation [44].
 203 The uncertainty on this quantity is limited by knowledge of the branching fraction of
 204 $D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$, and improved measurements of this quantity will be necessary to avoid
 205 a limiting uncertainty on, for example, $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$. The determination of $2\beta_s$ from
 206 $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ provides an example of how systematic uncertainties can be controlled for
 207 measurements at the LHCb upgrade. In the most recent measurement [24], the largest
 208 source of systematic uncertainty arises due to the constraint of no direct CP violation that
 209 is imposed in the fit. With larger statistics, this constraint can be removed, eliminating
 210 this source of uncertainty. Other sources, such as the background description and angular
 211 acceptance, are already at the 0.01 rad level, and can be reduced with more detailed
 212 studies.

213 In the Standard Model (SM), the parameters of CP violation in B_s^0 mixing are highly
 214 constrained to be close to zero by global fits to the CKM matrix. LHCb has measured
 215 the mixing phase $2\beta_s = -\phi_s$ in both $J/\psi \phi$ and $J/\psi f_0(980)$ final states, with results that
 216 are consistent with the SM within the uncertainties [24, 26]. However, tensions in the
 217 global CKM fits (see, for example, Ref. [45]) suggest that deviations may be present at
 218 the level of a few degrees (~ 0.04 rad, in the units of Table 1), motivating much more
 219 precise measurements. A complementary measurement, $A_{\text{fs}} = A_{\text{sl}}$, can be made using
 220 semileptonic decays. This analysis requires excellent control of systematic uncertainties
 221 to be sensitive to small deviations from the SM prediction of $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$.

222 Decays dominated by $b \rightarrow s$ loop (penguin) transitions provide additional sensitivity to
 223 contributions beyond the SM. The B_s^0 decays to $\phi\phi$ and $K^{*0}\bar{K}^{*0}$ final states, both already
 224 observed at LHCb [32, 33], are particularly interesting since these effects could appear
 225 in both time-dependent and angular distributions. Another important measurement is
 226 that of the time-dependent decay distribution in $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi\gamma$ decays. Determination of the
 227 effective CP -violation and lifetime parameters provides the most promising way to study
 228 the polarisation of the emitted photon, and is therefore uniquely sensitive to models that
 229 predict new right-handed currents.

230 Rare decays involving dimuon pairs constitute a significant part of the flavour physics
 231 programme of the LHCb experiment, and this will remain the case in the upgrade. As
 232 statistics increase, a larger set of angular observables in $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$ decays can be
 233 measured. The first measurement of the zero-crossing point (s_0) of the forward-backward
 234 asymmetry in this decay has recently been presented by LHCb [42], demonstrating the
 235 potential for the upgrade to fully explore the phase space for contributions beyond the
 236 SM. Another important observable with low theoretical uncertainty is the transverse po-
 237 larisation asymmetry, which is probed by the parameter S_3 .

238 LHCb has also recently published the world's most precise measurements of isospin
 239 asymmetries in $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ decays [9]. These have generated significant interest in the

240 theory community, and this sector will be further explored as more data are accumu-
 241 lated. Similarly, the first observation of $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ [43] shows the potential for a
 242 measurement of $|V_{td}/V_{ts}|$ in loop-mediated transitions. Note that some values quoted in
 243 Table 1 are integrated over the dimuon invariant mass range $1 < q^2 < 6 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$ to give
 244 a representative estimate of the sensitivity – however the full differential distribution will
 245 be studied.

246 The rare decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ is a golden channel to search for effects beyond the
 247 SM. Although the latest measurement from LHCb [4] rules out new contributions of
 248 comparable size to that from the SM, much more precise measurements are well motivated
 249 since the theoretical prediction for the branching fraction has low uncertainty and the true
 250 value may be smaller than the SM expectation. With the 50 fb^{-1} data set it will also be
 251 possible to measure the rate of the B^0 decay to two muons down to the SM prediction: the
 252 ratio of B^0 to B_s^0 branching fractions is given by $|V_{td}/V_{ts}|^2$ in the SM and any extension
 253 with minimal flavour violation, making this a crucial channel to diagnose the origin of
 254 any non-SM contributions.

255 The measurement of the angle γ of the Unitarity Triangle from $B \rightarrow DK$ decays is a
 256 SM benchmark. The first results from LHCb [30] already make a significant impact on the
 257 global averages – however, measurements at the degree-level of sensitivity are necessary
 258 to match the precision in lattice QCD. Similarly, improved measurements of the angle β
 259 will further constrain the global fits, and may reveal contributions beyond the SM if the
 260 “tensions” that are present in the current data persist.

261 In the charm sector, the evidence for CP violation from LHCb [8] has prompted a great
 262 deal of theoretical interest, which has highlighted several other observables that should
 263 be measured. Although hadronic uncertainties cloud the interpretation of the current
 264 measurement, when additional observables are measured it will be possible to constrain
 265 these effects, and hence to determine if the origin of the asymmetry is from physics beyond
 266 the SM. It is particularly important to be able to distinguish CP -violation effects from
 267 charm mixing and those from decay.

268 Although other experiments will study flavour-physics observables in a similar time-
 269 frame to the LHCb upgrade, the sample sizes in most exclusive B and D final states will
 270 be far larger than those that will be collected elsewhere, for example at the upgraded
 271 $e^+e^- B$ factories. The LHCb upgrade will have no serious competition in its study of B_s^0
 272 decays and CP violation. Similarly the yields in charmed-particle decays to final states
 273 consisting of only charged tracks cannot be matched by any other experiment.

274 **References**

- 275 [1] LHCb collaboration, LHCb-PUB-2012-006.
- 276 [2] N. Cabibbo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **10** (1963) 531; M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, Prog.
277 Theor. Phys. **49** (1973) 652.
- 278 [3] J. Christenson, J. Cronin, V. Fitch, and R. Turlay, Phys. Rev. Lett. **13** (1964) 138.
- 279 [4] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, arXiv:1203.4493.
- 280 [5] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 181806,
281 arXiv:1112.3515.
- 282 [6] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 101803,
283 arXiv:1112.3183.
- 284 [7] LHCb collaboration, LHCb-CONF-2012-022.
- 285 [8] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 111602,
286 arXiv:1112.0938.
- 287 [9] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, arXiv:1205.3422.
- 288 [10] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, arXiv:1204.1620.
- 289 [11] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, arXiv:1205.0975.
- 290 [12] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D85** (2012) 091103,
291 arXiv:1202.5087.
- 292 [13] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, arXiv:1201.5600.
- 293 [14] LHCb collaboration, LHCb-CONF-2012-015.
- 294 [15] LHCb collaboration, LHCb-CONF-2012-014.
- 295 [16] F. Mahmoudi, S. Neshatpour, and J. Orloff, arXiv:1205.1845.
- 296 [17] K. de Bruyn *et al.*, arXiv:1204.1735.
- 297 [18] F. Mahmoudi, arXiv:1205.3099, Proceedings of Moriond QCD 2012.
- 298 [19] O. Buchmueller *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. **C72** (2012) 1878, arXiv:1110.3568.
- 299 [20] Muon g-2 collaboration, G. Bennett *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D73** (2006) 072003,
300 arXiv:hep-ex/0602035.
- 301 [21] LHCb collaboration, LHCb-CONF-2012-003.

- 302 [22] W. Altmannshofer and D. M. Straub, [arXiv:1206.0273](#).
- 303 [23] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, , in preparation.
- 304 [24] LHCb collaboration, LHCb-CONF-2012-002.
- 305 [25] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 241801,
306 [arXiv:1202.4717](#).
- 307 [26] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, [arXiv:1204.5675](#).
- 308 [27] D0 collaboration, V. M. Abazov *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* **D84** (2011) 052007,
309 [arXiv:1106.6308](#), Submitted to *Phys. Rev. D*.
- 310 [28] CKMfitter group, J. Charles *et al.*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C41** (2005)
311 1, [arXiv:hep-ph/0406184](#), updated results and plots available at:
312 <http://ckmfitter.in2p3.fr>.
- 313 [29] UTfit collaboration, M. Bona *et al.*, *JHEP* **0507** (2005) 028, [arXiv:hep-ph/0501199](#),
314 updated results and plots available at: <http://www.utfit.org/UTfit/>.
- 315 [30] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Phys. Lett.* **B712** (2012) 203, [arXiv:1203.3662](#).
- 316 [31] LHCb collaboration, LHCb-CONF-2012-007.
- 317 [32] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Phys. Lett.* **B709** (2012) 50, [arXiv:1111.4183](#).
- 318 [33] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, [arXiv:1204.2813](#).
- 319 [34] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *JHEP* **04** (2012) 129, [arXiv:1112.4698](#).
- 320 [35] LHCb collaboration, LHCb-CONF-2012-005.
- 321 [36] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Phys. Lett.* **B707** (2012) 52, [arXiv:1109.0963](#).
- 322 [37] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, [arXiv:1112.5310](#).
- 323 [38] LHCb collaboration, LHCb-CONF-2012-016.
- 324 [39] LHCb collaboration, CERN-LHCC-2011-001. LHCC-I-018.
- 325 [40] LHCb collaboration, CERN-LHCC-2012-007. LHCb-TDR-012.
- 326 [41] Heavy Flavor Averaging Group, D. Asner *et al.*, [arXiv:1010.1589](#), updated results
327 and plots available at: <http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hfag/>.
- 328 [42] LHCb collaboration, LHCb-CONF-2012-008.
- 329 [43] LHCb collaboration, LHCb-CONF-2012-006.

- 330 [44] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D85** (2012) 032008,
331 [arXiv:1111.2357](#).
- 332 [45] E. Lunghi and A. Soni, Phys. Lett. **B697** (2011) 323, [arXiv:1010.6069](#).