Anisotropic Flow at RHIC and at the LHC

ICFP Kolymbari, Crete 2012

Raimond Snellings Utrecht University





What happens when you heat and compress matter to very high temperatures and densities?

This talk focusses on what we learned at RHIC and the LHC from anisotropic flow



high-pt physics in the next talk of B.Wyslouch

QCD on the Lattice



study phase transition in controlled lab conditions by colliding heavy-ions

CONTRACTOR OF A



Collision Centrality



very hot and dense nuclear matter in more central collisions while we approach "simple" nucleon-nucleon collisions in very peripheral collisions

The Reaction Plane



in non-central collisions the participant area is not azimuthally symmetric

Elliptic Flow

$$x = \frac{\langle y^2 - x^2 \rangle}{\langle y^2 + x^2 \rangle} \qquad v_2 = \langle \cos 2 y^2 \rangle$$

- in non central collisions coordinate space configuration is anisotropic (almond shape). However, initial momentum distribution isotropic (spherically symmetric)
- Interactions among constituents generate a pressure gradient which transforms the initial coordinate space anisotropy into the observed momentum space anisotropy → anisotropic flow
- self-quenching → sensitive to early stage



Elliptic Flow

8

Elliptic flow v₂ depends on fluid properties: the EoS via $c_s^2 = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \varepsilon}$, shear viscosity over entropy ratio η/s but also on: initial conditions: particular initial spatial eccentricity ε_2





Elliptic Flow at RHIC



for an ideal gas the elliptic flow would be almost zero while the observed elliptic flow is large

ideal hydro (η /s=0) predicts the v₂ magnitude for more central collisions

system behaves like an an almost ideal liquid, not an ideal gas!

RHIC Scientists Serve Up "Perfect" Liquid New state of matter more remarkable than predicted -raising many new questions April 18, 2005

Early Universe Went With the Flow



Between 2000 and 2003 the lab's Relativistic Heavy Ion Collide epeatedly smashed the nuclei of gold atoms together with such force hat their energy briefly generated trillion-degree temperatures. hysicists think of the collider as a time machine, because those extreme temperature conditions last prevailed in the universe less than 100 millionths of a second after the big bang

Early Universe was a liquid

Quark-gluon blob surprises particle physicists.

Mark Peplow

nature

The Universe consisted of a perfect liquid in its first moments, according to results from an atom-smashing experiment.

Early Universe was 'liquid-like'

Physicists say they have created a new state of hot, dense matter by crashing together the nuclei of gold atoms.

The high-energy collisions prised open the nuclei to reveal their most basic particles, known as quarks and gluons.

The researchers, at the US Brookhaven National Laboratory, say these particles Ine Impression is or inacted and more strongly interacting than were seen to behave as an almost perfect "liquid".



predicted

Universe May Have Begun as Liquid, Not Gas

Associated Press Tuesday, April 19, 2005; Page A05

The Washington Post

New results from a particle collider suggest that the universe behaved like a liquid in its earliest moments, not the fiery gas that was thought to have pervaded the first microseconds of existence.



from AdS/CFT to cold atoms

good fluids in nature have a kinematic viscosity η/s of order ħ/k_B

- calculable in perturbative
 QCD: η/s ~ I/g⁴ ln(I/g)
- calculable in a N=4 Super Yang Mills theory with large number of colors using a gauge gravity duality
 - $\eta/s = \hbar/4\pi k$

"The Illusion of Gravity" J. Maldacena

SCIENTIFIC

ERICAN

PANSPERMIA: Martian Cells Could Have

Reached Earth

\$4.99

NOVEMBER 2005

Holographic physics might explain nature's most baffling force

A test of this prediction comes from the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) at Brookhaven National Laboratory, which has been colliding gold nuclei at very high energies. A preliminary analysis of these experiments indicates the collisions are creating a fluid with very low viscosity. Even though Son and his co-workers studied a simplified version of chromodynamics, they seem to have come up with a property that is shared by the real world. Does this mean that RHIC is creating small five-dimensional black holes? It is really too early to tell, both experimentally and theoretically.

The Perfect Liquid?

model calculations suggest that the RHIC v₂ results are close to the ideal hydrodynamical limit.

these calculations place an upper limit on η /s which is smaller than ~ 4 x AdS/ CFT bound

main uncertainties on η/s due to uncertainties in the initial conditions and the unknown dependence of η/s versus temperature



Based on R. Lacey et al., Phys.Rev.Lett.98:092301,2007.

The Perfect Liquid?

What to expect at the LHC: still the perfect liquid or are we approaching the viscous ideal gas?

Can we get better constraints on η/s (constrain initial conditions and temperature dependence of η/s)?



The Perfect Liquid



The system produced at the LHC behaves as a very low viscosity fluid (a perfect fluid), constraints dependence of η/s versus temperature

v₂ as function of p_t



Elliptic flow as function of transverse momentum does not change much from RHIC to LHC energies, can we understand that from hydro?

v₂ for identified particles



Hydro: Shen, Heinz, Huovinen & Song, arXiv:1105.3226

hydro models predict larger mass splitting data follows this mass splitting and agrees well with hydro predictions for mid-central collisions

Better constraints on η/s (understanding the initial conditions)



H-J. Drescher et al., Phys.Rev.C74:044905,2006



T. Hirano et al., Phys. Lett. B 636 299 (2006) T. Hirano et al., J.Phys.G34:S879-882,2007

 $v_2 \propto \varepsilon$

- Estimates of the eccentricity vary significantly ~ 30%!
- Leads to large uncertainty in estimate of η/s



Flow Fluctuations

in limit of small (not necessarily Gaussian) fluctuations

$$v_n^2 \{2\} = \bar{v}_n^2 + \sigma_v^2$$
$$v_n^2 \{4\} = \bar{v}_n^2 - \sigma_v^2$$
$$i_n^2 \{2\} + v_n^2 \{4\} = 2\bar{v}_n^2$$
$$i_n^2 \{2\} - v_n^2 \{4\} = 2\sigma_v^2$$

in limit of only (Gaussian)fluctuations

 \mathcal{U}

$$v_n\{4\} = 0$$
$$v_n\{2\} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\bar{v}_n$$



M. Miller and RS, arXiv:nucl-ex/0312008 (2003) participant eccentricity PHOBOS QM2005: Nucl. Phys. A774: 523 (2006)

v₂ Fluctuations



behavior as expected when correlations are dominated by collective flow (difference between two- and multi-particle estimates mainly due to e-by-e fluctuations in the flow

v₂ Fluctuations



Fluctuations are significant and are for more central collisions not in agreement with the eccentricity fluctuations in MC-Glauber and MC-KLN CGC



initial spatial geometry not a smooth almond event-by-event (for which all odd harmonics and sin $n(\Phi-\Psi_R)$ are zero due to symmetry) may give rise to higher odd harmonics and symmetry planes in momentum space (detailed probes of initial conditions)

Shear Viscosity

Music, Sangyong Jeon



initial conditions

ideal hydro $\eta/s=0$ viscous hydro $\eta/s=0.16$



Larger η/s clearly smoothes the distributions and suppresses the higher harmonics (e.g. v₃)

Hydro: Alver, Gombeaud, Luzum & Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. C82 (2010) 22

the v_n's

The v_3 with respect to the reaction plane determined in the ZDC and with the v_2 participant plane is consistent with zero as expected if v_3 is due to fluctuations of the initial eccentricity

The $v_3\{2\}$ is about two times larger than $v_3\{4\}$ which is also consistent with expectations based on initial eccentricity fluctuations



ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:1105.3865 PRL 107 (2011) 032301

We observe significant v_3 and v_4 which compared to v_2 has a different centrality dependence (already strong constrain for η/s)

the v_n's



ATLAS-CONF-2011-074

Angular Correlations



ATLAS-CONF-2011-074

Two particle azimuthal correlations can be described efficiently with the first 6 v_n coefficients and naturally explain the so called ridge and mach cone structure first observed at RHIC which were thought to be due to jet induced medium modifications

Conclusions

- Anisotropic flow measurements provide strong constraints on the bulk properties of hot and dense matter produced at RHIC and LHC energies and have led to the new paradigm of the QGP as the so called perfect liquid
 - At the LHC we observe even stronger flow than at RHIC which is expected for almost perfect fluid behavior
- The first measurements of v₃ and higher v_n's have recently been made at RHIC and at the LHC and indicate that these flow coefficients behave as expected from fluctuations of the initial spatial eccentricity (geometry!) and a created system which has a small η/s
 - provide new strong experimental constraints on η/s and initial conditions

Thanks

Backup





u₁ > u₂ > u₃ shear viscosity will make them equal and destroy the elliptic flow v₂ higher harmonics represent smaller differences which get destroyed more easily, and which, if measurable, makes them more sensitive probes to η/s

First Pb-Pb collisions!



2010-11-08 11:30:46 Fill : 1482 Run : 137124 Event : 0x0000000003BBE693

ALICE

Angular Correlations at the LHC

$$C(\Delta\phi\Delta\eta) \equiv \frac{N_{\rm mixed}}{N_{\rm same}} \frac{{\rm d}^2 N_{\rm same}/{\rm d}\Delta\phi{\rm d}\Delta\eta}{{\rm d}^2 N_{\rm mixed}/{\rm d}\Delta\phi{\rm d}\Delta\eta}$$

Contributions to the two-particle $\Delta \Phi$, $\Delta \eta$ angular correlation come from anisotropic flow; v1, v2, v3, ..., Jets, resonances, HBT, etc



Angular Correlations



For very peripheral collisions or when triggered with a high-pt charged particle the dominant contribution to two particle angular correlations is due to jet-correlations More central heavy ion collisions look very very different!





v₂ as function of p_t



Elliptic flow as function of transverse momentum does not change much from RHIC to LHC energies, can we understand that?

v₂ for identified particles



v₂ for identified particles

35



at small $(m_t-m_0)/n_q$ the scaling in the data resemble the scaling as observed in hydrodynamics

at large $(m_t-m_0)/n_q$ the quark scaling seems to work better



v₂, v₃ and v₄ at the LHC

We observe significant v_3 which compared to v_2 has a much weaker centrality dependence The centrality dependence and magnitude are similar to predictions for MC Glauber with $\eta/s=0.08$ but above MC-KLN CGC with $\eta/s=0.16$



ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:1105.3865, PRL 107, 032301 (2011)

The v_3 with respect to the reaction plane determined in the ZDC and with the v_2 participant plane is consistent with zero as expected if v_3 is due to fluctuations of the initial eccentricity

v₂, v₃ and v₄ at RHIC



As at the LHC we observe at RHIC a significant v_3 and v_4 which compared to v_2 have a much weaker centrality dependence

v₂, v₃, v₄ and v₅ at the LHC



The overall dependence of v_2 and v_3 is described However there is no simultaneous description with a single η /s of v_2 and v_3 for Glauber initial conditions

Triangular Flow



The behavior of v_3 as function of p_t for pions, Kaons and protons shows the same features as we already observed for v_2

(we observe the mass splitting and, in addition, the crossing of the pions with protons at intermediate p_t , which for v_2 was considered as a signature for coalescence/recombination)

Other Harmonics



For central collisions at intermediate p_t the higher harmonics v_3 and v_4 cross v_2 and become the dominant harmonics

For more central collisions this occurs already at lower pt



40

Other Harmonics

41



$$C(\Delta\phi) \equiv \frac{N_{\text{mixed}}}{N_{\text{same}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\text{same}}/\mathrm{d}\Delta\phi}{\mathrm{d}N_{\text{mixed}}/\mathrm{d}\Delta\phi}$$



We observe a doubly-peaked structure in the azimuthal correlation function opposite to the trigger particle

The red line shows the sum of the measured anisotropic flow Fourier coefficients. Those flow coefficients give a natural description of the observed correlation structure (no need for Mach cones)