Holographic Josephson Junction Networks

Vasilis Niarchos

Crete Center for Theoretical Physics, University of Crete based on 1105.6100, 1205.6205 with **Elias Kiritsis**

 Kolymbari ICFP, June, 2012

Many technological applications of superconductors and superconducting materials involve Josephson junctions (JJs)

Typical types of junctions: SIS, SNS (I: insulator, N: normal metal), or more exotic combinations (sIs, sId, dId,...) Each combination comes with its own special phenomenology, e.g. the typical current-phase relation $I = I_{\max} \sin \vartheta \;$ may vary.

Our interest.

Motivated by the physical significance of such configurations we would like to understand the properties of systems that exhibit:

- *i*) a layered structure,
- *ii*) are strongly coupled in the direction of each layer, and

iii) the interlayer interactions are weak

Dimensions can vary, more complicated networks can be imagined.

Physics of a single layer.

On each (2+1)-dimensional layer lives a strongly coupled (large-N) QFT. We assume this theory has a dual weakly curved gravitational description (a holographic superconductor, an example below...)

Physics of the interlayer coupling.

...

2-layer system: **w/o coupling** the total $QFT=QFT_1 \oplus QFT_2$ is described by the direct sum of actions $S = S_1 + S_2$.

The system has: 2 separate large-N gauge symmetries 2 separately conserved stress-energy tensors 2 separate sets of global R-symmetries

The dual gravity description is obvious: a trivial **bi-gravity (bi-string)** theory.

In **field theory** the *unique* type of inter-theory coupling that respects the separate gauge invariances of QFT_1 and QFT_2 is one effected by multi-trace deformations.

For example, if O_l is a scalar single-trace operator of QFT₁ and $O₂$ a scalar single-trace operator of QFT_2 then one can consider interactions of the form

$$
\int d^{(2+1)}x~W({\mathcal O}_1,{\mathcal O}_2)
$$

where *W* is multi-trace, *e.g.* a double trace of the form

$$
W = g_{12} \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2
$$

This coupling may be relevant or irrelevant, break relative symmetries etc... g_{12} scales as $O(N^0)$ and preserves the 1/N expansion.

What happens in gravity? **we designer multi-gravity**

The bi-gravity theory becomes non-trivial. Such bi-gravity theories have a well-known large-N description in the AdS/CFT correspondence.

At **tree-level** in gravity the boundary multi-trace interactions map to **mixed boundary conditions** for the dual fields.

Beyond tree-level massive gravity...

An illustrative model

Assume a large-N $(2+1)$ -dimensional QFT with a dual bulk gravitational description that can be reduced to the $(3+1)$ -dimensional Einstein-abelian Higgs model:

$$
S_{bulk} = \int d^{3+1}x \sqrt{-g} \left[R - \frac{1}{4} G(|\phi|) F^2 - (\nabla |\phi|)^2 - J(|\phi|) (\nabla \theta - qA)^2 - V_{bulk}(|\phi|) \right]
$$

R: Ricci scalar,

A: abelian gauge field with *F=*d*A* its field strength, ϕ : a charged complex scalar field with U(1) charge *q*, and $\ \phi = |\phi|e^{i\theta}$

G, J, Vbulk are model-dependent functions of |*φ*| (left arbitrary in our discussion).

Under gauge-gravity duality:

- *A* maps to a $U(1)$ current on the boundary QFT
- *φ maps to* a complex scalar operator *O* with scaling dimension Δ (*our `Cooper-pair' operator whose vev will break the U(1) leading to superfluidity/superconductivity*)

For asymptotically AdS4 solution near the boundary

Building a junction

On the QFT side the weak link is implemented via a multi-trace interaction

$$
S_{tot} = S_1 + S_2 + \int d^{2+1}x \ W({\cal O}_1, {\cal O}_2)
$$

On the gravity side *W* translates to scalar field mixed boundary conditions.

With asymptotics
\n
$$
\phi_1 \simeq \frac{\alpha_1}{r_1^{\Delta_1}} + \ldots + \frac{\beta_1}{r_1^{d-\Delta_1}} + \ldots
$$
\n
$$
\phi_2 \simeq \frac{\alpha_2}{r_2^{\Delta_2}} + \ldots + \frac{\beta_2}{r_2^{d-\Delta_2}} + \ldots
$$

W translates to the mixed bc's

$$
\beta_1 = \partial_{\alpha_1} W(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) , \ \ \beta_2 = \partial_{\alpha_2} W(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)
$$

Finding the ground state

Standard practice in field theory:

➠ compute and minimize the quantum effective potential.

Typically very hard.

More complicated at finite temperature and density but we can do it...

Gravity gives a tractable prescription.

For boost invariant planar solutions (*A=0, T=0*) in the above example

$$
V(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = W(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \widetilde{W}_i(\alpha_i)
$$

$$
\widetilde{W}_i(\alpha_i) = \frac{s_i \Delta_i}{3} |\alpha_i|^{\frac{3}{\Delta_i}}
$$

A holographic Josephson junction example

This quiver diagram refers to a QFT Lagrangian of the form

$$
\mathcal{L}_{tot} = \mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2 + g|\mathcal{O}_1|^2 + g|\mathcal{O}_2|^2 + h\left(e^{i\vartheta}\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2^{\dagger} + e^{-i\vartheta}\mathcal{O}_1^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_2\right) , \quad h \in \mathbb{R} \ , \quad \vartheta \in [0, 2\pi)
$$

which gives

$$
V(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(g|\alpha_i|^2 + \frac{s}{\delta}|\alpha_i|^{\delta} \right) + h\left(e^{i\vartheta}\alpha_1\alpha_2^* + e^{-i\vartheta}\alpha_1^*\alpha_2\right)
$$

The ground state is determined by solving the algebraic extremization equations

$$
g\alpha_1 + he^{-i\vartheta}\alpha_2 + \frac{s}{2}\alpha_1|\alpha_1|^{\delta - 2} = 0
$$

$$
g\alpha_2 + he^{i\vartheta}\alpha_1 + \frac{s}{2}\alpha_2|\alpha_2|^{\delta - 2} = 0
$$

In the algebraically simple case *δ=4*

$$
\alpha_2 = -h^{-1}e^{i\vartheta} \left(g + \frac{s}{2}|\alpha_1|^2 \right) \alpha_1
$$

(1)
$$
\alpha_1 = 0
$$
, (2) $|\alpha_1|^2 = \frac{2}{s} (\pm h - g)$, (3) $|\alpha_1|^2 = -\frac{1}{s} \left(g \pm \sqrt{g^2 - 4h^2} \right)$

Networks from designer multi-gravity

The framework can be generalized in a straightforward manner to describe networks of very diverse architecture and internal structure

Linear Josephson junction array as a simple model of a layered SC *(deconstructing an extra space dimension) z weak coupling* 2x1 strong coupling $V = \sum$ \boldsymbol{n} $g|\alpha_n|^2 +$ s δ $|\alpha_n|^{\delta} + h \left(e^{i \vartheta} \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1}^* + e^{-i \vartheta} \alpha_n^* \alpha_{n+1} \right)$

The vacuum is determined by solving the algebraic eqs of a discrete dynamical system

$$
g\alpha_n + h\left(e^{i\vartheta}\alpha_{n-1} + e^{-i\vartheta}\alpha_{n+1}\right) + \frac{s}{2}\alpha_n|\alpha_n|^{\delta-2} = 0
$$

The algebraically simple case *δ=4* has been studied extensively in the literature of dynamical systems (*see e.g. review by Tsironis, Hennig '99*) with applications in diverse condensed matter systems.

Rich solution space: complexity

1) Chaos and bifurcation.

2) Solitons (*pinned superconductivity***), kinks (***junction***)**

Outlook

Many possibilities for further work, *e.g.*

- 1) Physics of unconventional JJs
- 2) Magnetic fields/charge density/temperature
- 3) JJNs with different architectures
- 4) Time-dependence

5) ...