Beam diagnostics using lasers – Laser-wire beam profile monitor

### Laura Corner

## John Adams Institute for Accelerator Science Oxford University, UK





## Outline

- Colliders and diagnostic requirements
- Beam size profile monitors
- Principle of laser-wire
- Laser-wire experiments
- Case study: ATF2 laser-wire
- Design considerations for future laser-wires





## Linear colliders – ILC/CLIC



- New colliders e<sup>-</sup>/e<sup>+</sup> high precision machines.
- Two main current designs International Linear Collider (ILC) and Compact Linear Collider (CLIC).
- Extreme focusing/charge/luminosity requirements.
- Making focussed beams of 1 5 nm!
- Need high quality measurements of beam size, position, charge, duration.....





LA3NET school 17<sup>th</sup> October 2012



## Beam instrumentation requirements

Parameters	Requirem Where			Drive Beam injector		Drive Beam tunnel			Drive Beam total			
1 di dificiciti			Instrument	500GeV	3TeV	50	00GeV	3TeV	5000	GeV	3Te	۶V
			Intensity	14	28		48	288		62		316
Decitien	Decelerator	Der	Position	445	890		7392	44352	$\boldsymbol{<}$	7837	4	5242
POSITION	Decelerator	Re	Beam Size	13	26		146	876	<	159		902
Energy	Turn-around	Re	Energy	12	24		32	192		44		216
			Energy Spread	12	24		3	3		15		27
Bunch Length	Decelerator	Re	Bunch Length	10	20		32	192	42		212	
			Beam Loss /Halo	0	0		0	0		0		0
Phase Stability	Turn-around	0.1	Beam Phase				16	96		16		96
			Total	506	1012		7669	45999		8175	4	7011
				Table 2: Num	ber of Beam	Instru	ment for the I	Drive Beams				
				•				-				
Position	Main Linae	Pre	Instrument	Main Beam	Main	Bear	n tunnel	Main Beam to		m total		
Emittance / Size	BDS	Re	motrament	injector	500Ge	V 3TeV		500GeV 3T		3Te	V	
Emintance / 512e			Intensity	22	5	36	86	i	261		311	
Energy Spread	BDS	ΔE	Position	1539	) 1	860	6040	) 3	3399		7579	
Bunch Length	Bunch Compressor	Da	Beam Size	59	9	52	112	2	111 <		171	
		Re	Energy	19	9	16	56	i	35		75	
			Energy Spread	19	9	4	4		23		23	
Table 1: Lis Bunch Lengtl				20	0	6	e	;	26		26	
			Beam Loss /Halo		1	0	C	i i	4		4	
			Beam Polarization	19	9	4	4		23		23	
			Tune	8	3	0	C	1	8		8	
CLIC parameters: T. Lefevre et.al.			Beam Phase			2	2		2		2	
			Luminosity			4	4		4		4	
			Total	1912	2 1	984	6314	. 3	3896		8226	
			Wakefield monitor		23802	2	142812	23	3802	14	2812	
			Total with wake monitors	3824	4 27	770	155440	31	594	15	9264	



All these systems are research devices themselves!

LA3NET school 17<sup>th</sup> October 2012



### Beam profile monitors – emittance measurements

- Need to monitor beam size/emittance throughout machine to maintain luminosity.
- Standard options wire scanners or optical transition radiation (OTR) screens.
- Wire scanners simple, cheap, resolution > few μm, easily damaged in high charge beams, invasive.
  OTR relatively simple, destructive, resolution (although
- being addressed), damage to screen, radiation damage to optics/camera.





FACET OTR screen bunch damage

ATF2 OTR screen Laser damage





LA3NET school 17th October 2012

Ref. 11



### Laser-wire principle

#### Laser system – choice of parameters

Beam transport – how far? how many stations?



Post – IP – diagnostics, energy, beam dumping





## (inverse) Compton scattering

- Inelastic scattering of photon and electron photon upshifted and scattered.
- Scattering angle predominantly in  $1/\gamma$  cone .
- For relativistic e<sup>-</sup> photon scattered close to beam.

Thomson/Compton scattering

$$\frac{\sigma_C}{\sigma_T} = \frac{3}{4} \left\{ \frac{1+\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_1^3} \left[ \frac{2\epsilon_1(1+\epsilon_1)}{1+2\epsilon_1} - \ln(1+2\epsilon_1) \right] + \frac{1}{2\epsilon_1} \ln(1+2\epsilon_1) - \frac{1+3\epsilon_1}{(1+2\epsilon_1)^2} \right\}$$



•Cross section  $\sigma_{\rm C}$  related to low energy elastic Thomson scattering cross section  $\sigma_{\rm T}$ .

 $\epsilon_1\,\equiv\,\gamma h\nu_0/m_ec^2$ 



LA3NET school 17th October 2012



### Compton scattering cross section



What does this equation look like?

3 typical laser wavelengths

Cross section drops off with beam energy.

Need more photons (power) for same signal at higher energy.

For ILC (e<sup>-</sup> 250GeV)  $\sigma_C$  for 532nm only **30%**  $\sigma_T \sim 0.3 \times 0.66 \times 10^{-24}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> – pretty small.



Big impact on laser requirements





### Scattered photon signal



Photon energy for 1.3GeV e<sup>-</sup> (ATF2) Detect scattered photons

Photon energy for 250GeV e<sup>-</sup> (ILC) Detect electrons?

No. scattered photons given by: constant laser power

For Gaussian beams this reduces to:

$$\langle N_{\gamma}
angle = N_e rac{P_L \lambda}{hc} \sigma_C \int \int \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} 
ho_e(x,y,z) 
ho_L(x,y,z) \; dx \; dy \; dz$$

$$\langle N_{\gamma} 
angle = N_e \frac{P_L \lambda}{hc} \sigma_C \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_s} exp\left(-\frac{\delta y^2}{2\sigma_s^2}
ight)$$

 $\sigma_{s} = \sqrt{(\sigma_{L}^{2} + \sigma_{e}^{2})}$  $\delta y = y_{L} - y_{e}$ 



#### Want lots of photons and small beam sizes



### Measuring really small beams – interference fringe monitor



For <  $1\mu$ m beams need something different – scan interference fringes across beam and look for modulation in Compton signal. This monitor is \*really\* hard to align and make work well.....







### Laser-wire experiments

 Stanford Linear Collider – beam scanned across laser spot reflective focusing optics no astigmatism (laser beam stationary) 350nm THG Nd:YLF, 1mJ, 10MW, prototype (ref. 4).

 KEK ATF2 damping ring – cw (quasicontinuous DR bunches) cavity (enhance power) cavity on moveable table scanned through beam vertical design e<sup>-</sup> beam size σ = 8.8µm laser waist (determined by cavity) w<sub>o</sub> = 14.8µm, 532nm, 25mW input to cavity, stored power 1 – 3W measured e<sup>-</sup> beam size σ = 9.8 ± 1.5µm low signal counts, S/N ratio (ref. 14).

• Oakridge SNS



H<sup>-</sup> ion laser-wire, measure e<sup>-</sup>, ion bunch 50ps Nd:YAG, 1064nm, 30 Hz, 7ns active stabilisation of beam transport (> 200m), 2D scans over beam - translate final turning mirror 9 stations, 1 laser, beam sizes σ ~ 2 – 3mm (ref. 13).



### JAI laser-wire experiments – PETRA and ATF2

 PETRA – emphasis on usable system, 2D scanning (not simultaneous) 6 GeV e<sup>+</sup>, 130kHz, 40ps laser focus scanned by piezo mirrors, 2.5mrad, 1.25mm (V), 3.75mm (H) designed for larger beam sizes, runs remotely Q switched 6ns Nd:YAG, 532nm, 20Hz (upgrade: mode locked oscillator/amplifier, 200ps, 130kHz) knife edge scan to measure laser spot size w<sub>o</sub> = 9µm convoluted beam sizes σ = 30µm (V), 294µm (H), scans from 10µm to 10mm automated beam finding and scanning (ref. 12).

## ATF2 – case study (ref. 8)





### ATF2 laser-wire



- ATF2 major international collaboration scaled test of ILC optics.
- Aim electron beam size < 40nm.
- Major test of new diagnostics high resolution (< 5nm) bpms, fast feedback etc.
- Laser-wire designed for highest resolution measurement  $\sim 1 \mu m$ .



### Laser-wire specifications

- Laser-wire IP on extraction line of ATF2 .
- 1D vertical scanning system.
- Joint set up with OTR high resolution experiment.
- Beam energy 1.3 GeV.
- Pulse duration  $\sigma$  = 30ps.
- Single bunch, single train, 1.56Hz.
- Can run multibunch spacing ~ 154ns.
- Vertical beam size at IP  $1 10\mu m$ .

Beam delivery to final focus

Vacuum chamber

Electron beam line



Laser exit window

Beam from laser hut

Quadrupole magnet



14

## ATF2 laser system

#### SHG – 532nm, 100mJ

#### Linear amps up to 500mJ



Seed laser @ 357MHz locked to sub-multiple of accelerator frequency Seed pulse injected into Nd:YAG flashlamp pumped regenerative amplifier - 1.56Hz, 200ps, 10mJ



Issues – beam quality, pulse duration, reliability









### Perfect Gaussian beam focusing



Spot size (resolution) limited to  $\sim \lambda$ ('diffraction limited')

#### Want:

- short  $\lambda$
- short f
- large w<sub>in</sub>

High f/# optics – hard to achieve.

But small spots diffract more quickly – smaller z<sub>R</sub>.



#### Caution! $w = 2\sigma$ for Gaussian beams – endless possibilities for confusion.....



## Not quite that simple.....

No laser beam perfect – can be quantified by beam quality factor 'M<sup>2</sup>'.  $M^2 = 1 - perfect$  Gaussian beam.

New beam size W = Mw - need to consider effect on lens aperture. If aperture D fixed have to reduce w by factor M to fit (D >  $\pi w$ ) so at final focus:

 $W_{o} = \frac{M^{2}\lambda f}{\pi W_{in}}$ 





Large diameter, short f, corrected lenses very expensive! Want  $M^2 \sim 1$ .



## **ATF2** Focusing

- Special lens designed no spherical aberrations for smallest focus.
- Complex and expensive only fused silica for radiation hardness.
- Bolted to vacuum chamber whole lens + chamber system scanned vertically over electron beam.









### Laser propagation through focus

Can't measure beam at focus – too small. Can do similar measurement with longer lens to study laser propagation through focus. Not ideal.....





LA3NET school 17th October 2012

20

Iohn Adams Institute

## Focusing problems

Odd laser propagation makes it difficult to correctly predict beam size and intensity in beam transport and focusing – damage to lens and vacuum window despite careful design and high performance AR coatings.



Back reflection through IP focus on lens – small damage spot

#### Large damage spot on vacuum window





LA3NET school 17th October 2012



### Interaction point and overlap



Need to overlap laser/electrons beams in space and time (~  $10\mu m$ , 30ps).

Use signals from OTR near to electron beam and obscuring laser beam to achieve this.

Spatial: repeatable unless laser alignment changes. Temporal: every shift.

Hard – need to consider how this will be done as part of diagnostic design – automated?



LA3NET school 17<sup>th</sup> October 2012



### Detection

Photons extracted through 1mm Al window Detector placed next to window Dipole magnet separates electrons and photons



Cherenkov detector –  $\gamma$  converted to e<sup>-</sup>/e<sup>+</sup> pairs in lead, generate Cherenkov radiation in aerogel, guided to PMT below beam line.







## **Results and analysis**

Electron beam aspect ratio very large – cannot assume laser same size across particle beam.

Try to model laser propagation and solve full overlap integral for particle and laser beam distributions – complex analysis because of electron beam size and laser properties – not just simple adding of beam sizes in quadrature.



### **Preliminary scans and analysis**





Data: L. Nevay, A. Aryshev, L. Corner

### Improvements to ATF2 laser-wire

- Laser new fibre laser system: higher rep rate (intra train scanning), excellent beam quality, efficient, stable.
  - Subtraction of beam jitter bpm data.
  - Real time analysis and fitting of data.
  - Automated laser propagation measurement and laser energy normalisation.
    - Easy operation for non-specialist in control room.
      - Reduction of background, improving S/N.
      - Tests on larger/smaller electron beam sizes.





# Fibre laser and amplifiers





LA3NET school 17<sup>th</sup> October 2012



### Fibre laser development

- Laser at KEK not completely suitable for laser-wire.
- Poor spatial quality larger focus, worse resolution.
- Temporal profile (200ps) not well matched to electron bunch (30ps)
- Inefficient (flashlamp pumped), limited in repetition rate.



Project in Oxford to develop new fibre laser system for laser-wire.





Fibres: efficient, waveguides, excellent spatial mode quality, high repetition rate, diode pumped, no active cooling.

Standard SM fibre amplifiers – low energy. Solution – very large mode area photonic crystal fibre – still single mode, energy 100uJs/pulse.





### Fibre laser results



M<sup>2</sup> = 1.07 +/- 0.02 900 800 700 nm) 600 500 M<sup>2</sup> = 1.09 +/- 0.02 0.9 -400 þ 0.8 -300 0.7 200 0.6 100 0.5 120 140 160 11 04 보 0.2 0.1 120 140 160 180 200 220 240 260 280 300 Position (mm)

Ref. 2

Aim – better laser source for laser-wire, smaller laser spot, multibunch scanning. Spec – 100µJ @ 6.49MHz in ir, M<sup>2</sup> <1.1, 1 – 10ps,  $\Delta\lambda$  < 1nm in green. Expt – amplify commercial fibre laser in photonic crystal fibre, burst mode.

**Results** – pulses amplified from 1.4µJ to 268µJ in 70cm of PCF (gain 32dB/m).  $M_x^2 = 1.07 \pm 0.02$ ,  $M_y^2 = 1.09 \pm 0.02$ . Lower energy pulse in green  $\Delta \lambda = 0.5$ nm. Lower energy pulse compressed to  $\sigma < 1.5$  ps.









### Laser-wire design considerations

- Particle beam energy, size, duration, repetition rate.
  - Direct impact on laser choice: wavelength spot size, cross section.
  - **Power required** S/N, cross section, beam transport losses.
  - **Repetition rate** intratrain scanning? Scanning speed.
  - Pulse duration short for temporal profiling, too long wastes energy.
  - Focusing more difficult for smaller spot size (higher resolution).
  - **Detection** energy of scattered photons/electrons.
  - Accelerator design position of laser-wires, separation of beams.



### Choosing a laser – pick a nice colour?



- Laser properties temporal, spectral, spatial
- Temporal particle bunch length, repetition rate, scanning, CPA, bandwidth
- Spectral wavelength, cross section, resolution, frequency conversion, availability, bandwidth.
- Spatial resolution, focus size, focusing optics, mode quality, beam transport, damage

Total number of lasers – COST!





### Summary

- New generation of accelerators require high performance diagnostics.
- Development of these diagnostics major science research projects.
- Laser-wires provide non-invasive, high resolution beam size/emittance measurement.
- Demonstrated in number of facilities world wide:
  - Electron/positron/H- machines.
  - Multiple stations, 2D scanning, moving beam or laser.
  - Usable in standard machine running.
  - High resolution (<1 µm).
- Improvements needed in laser technology, data analysis, cost.
- Need to be integrated in new accelerator designs.
- Plenty of research still to do!



### References

1. ILC TDR, CLIC CDR.

2. 'Results from the Laser-wire at ATF2 and Development of a Fibre Laser for its Upgrade', L.J. Nevay, DPhil thesis, Oxford University (2011).

3. 'Beam emittance measurement with laser wire scanners in the ILC beam delivery system', Agapov et. al., PR STAB 10, 112801 (2007).

4. 'A laser based beam profile monitor for the SLC/SLD interaction region', Alley et. al., NIM A 379, 363 (1996).

5. 'Measurement of small electron beam spots', Tenenbaum et. al., Ann Rev Nucl Part Sci 49, 125 (1999).

6. 'Proposal of nanometer beam size monitor for e+/e- linear colliders', Shintake et. al. NIM A 311, 453 (1992).

7. 'A two-dimensional laser-wire scanner for electron accelerators', Bosco NIM A 3, 162 (2008).

8. 'Micron-scale laser-wire scanner for the KEK Accelerator Test Facility extraction line', Boogert et. al., PR STAB 13, 122801 (2010).

9. 'Photonic crystal fibre laser for electron beam emittance measurement', Corner et. al. Proc. IPAC2011 MOPO042 (2011).

10. 'Measurement of an electron beam size with a laser wire beam profile monitor', Sakai et. al., PR STAB 4, 022801 (2001).

11. 'First Observation of the Point Spread Function of Optical Transition Radiation', Karataev et. al., Phys. Rev. Letts. 107, 174801 (2011).

12. 'A two-dimensional laser-wire scanner for electron accelerators', Bosco et. al., NIM A 592, 162 (2008).

13. 'Laser wire beam profile monitor in the spallation neutron source (SNS) superconducting linac', Liu et. al., NIM A 612, 241 (2010).

14. 'Measurement of a small vertical emittance with a laser wire beam profile monitor', Sakai et. al., PR STAB 5, 122801 (2002).



John Adams Institute for Accelerator Science