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## What we said

- We presented a very high-level view of HEP event-processing frameworks.
- Description was sufficiently generic to cover (almost?) all our frameworks.
- We concentrated on explaining the features we thought had. not previously been made clear to the CnC team.
- We had a long discussion (more than an hour) to clarify the issues involved.
- The slides we used for that presentation are posted to the Indico site.


## What they said: the easy part

- They are clearly interested in the type of problem we're solving, and are willing to work with us on an attempt to use CnC in the framework design.
- They already have many of the features we need:
- "Mags" to label computed data products, to distinguish between instances of the same class.
- Most (all?) data elements are collections.
- Created data are immutable.
- "Modules" never communicate directly.
- If modules are internally thread-safe, parallel computation is guaranteed to be safe.
- One can use TBB parallelism inside CnC programs.
- Nested CnC parallelism is planned, but not (fully?) implemented.
- They support reductions, which will be important (e.g. for combining histograms).


## What they said: the hard part

- Some elements are challenging: primarily, loading a "framework configuration" at runtime, rather than determining it a compile-time.
- The CnC team are working on a workflow analogous to ours (encoding the processing graph we used as an example in our explanation), in which the graph will be described at runtime not at compile time. This requires some work on their part.
- It is unclear how they will deal with loading modules from dynamic libraries; we are hoping this will be solved in their demonstration.


## The workflow we presented

- Circles represent data products.
- Rectangles represent processing modules.
- Any or all data products may be written to an output file.


