Heavy Flavor Results from ALICE

Zaida Conesa del Valle (CERN & IPHC/CNRS-IN2P3) for the ALICE Collaboration





OUTLINE



- * Introduction
- * Overview of heavy flavor measurements in ALICE
- * Results in proton-proton and lead-lead collisions
 - Cross sections in pp collisions
 - Nuclear modification factor: electrons, muons, D^0 , D^+ , D^{*+} , D_s^+
 - Azimuthal anisotropy:
 - v₂ in semi-central collisions: electrons, D⁰, D⁺, D^{*+}
 - $D^0 v_2 v_3$. centrality and $R_{AA} v_3$. Event Plane
- * Summary







[Dokshitzer and Kharzeev, PLB 519 (2001) 199. Armesto, Salgado, Wiedemann, PRD 69 (2004) 114003. Djordjevic, Gyulassy, Horowitz, Wicks, NPA 783 (2007) 493...]



Why charm and beauty ?

- * Production in hard partonic collisions
 - Production time $\tau_p \sim 1/m_Q \sim 0.05 0.15 \text{ fm/c}$

```
\Rightarrow Tool to test pQCD calculations
```



[Dokshitzer and Kharzeev, PLB 519 (2001) 199. Armesto, Salgado, Wiedemann, PRD 69 (2004) 114003. Djordjevic, Gyulassy, Horowitz, Wicks, NPA 783 (2007) 493...]



Why charm and beauty?

- * Production in hard partonic collisions
 - Production time $T_p \sim 1/m_Q \sim 0.05 0.15 \text{ fm/c}$

 \Rightarrow Tool to test pQCD calculations



- * Nuclear environment influence: p-A collisions $\Rightarrow \Rightarrow p$ -Pb data coming in Jan. 2013
 - Shadowing (PDF modifications in nuclei) and Gluon saturation
 - \Rightarrow Tool to study high density small-x gluons

[Dokshitzer and Kharzeev, PLB 519 (2001) 199. Armesto, Salgado, Wiedemann, PRD 69 (2004) 114003. Djordjevic, Gyulassy, Horowitz, Wicks, NPA 783 (2007) 493...]



Why charm and beauty?

- * Production in hard partonic collisions
 - Production time $T_p \sim 1/m_Q \sim 0.05 0.15 \text{ fm/c}$

\Rightarrow Tool to test pQCD calculations





- ★ Nuclear environment influence: p-A collisions ⇒ ⇒ p-Pb data coming in Jan. 2013
 ▶ Shadowing (PDF modifications in nuclei) and Gluon saturation
 - \Rightarrow Tool to study high density small-x gluons
- * Effects in a QGP: A-B collisions
 - Thermalisation in the QGP (low p_T)
 - Medium transport properties
 - ► Energy loss in the QGP (high p_T)
 - Medium density and size
 - Color charge (Casimir factor) : $\Delta E_{u,d,s} < \Delta E_g$
 - Parton mass (dead cone effect) : $\Delta E_b < \Delta E_c < ...$

\Rightarrow Probe of the QCD medium

 \Rightarrow Pb-Pb data in 2010 + 2011

- \Rightarrow dN/dpt, RAA, v₂
- \Rightarrow dN/dpt, R_{AA}, v₂
- \Rightarrow compare to light hadrons
- \Rightarrow compare c and b production

W. Horowitz, Tu, Plenary, 12:30

[Dokshitzer and Kharzeev, PLB 519 (2001) 199. Armesto, Salgado, Wiedemann, PRD 69 (2004) 114003. Djordjevic, Gyulassy, Horowitz, Wicks, NPA 783 (2007) 493...]

Z. Conesa del Valle

















HEAVY FLAVOR MEASUREMENTS



| LHC Run | Data sample | HF electrons | Beauty electrons | HF muons | ${ m D}^{0}/{ m D}^{+}/{ m D}^{*+}$ | ${ m D_s}^+$ |
|---------|----------------|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| 2010 | pp, 7 TeV | 2.6 nb ⁻¹ (MB trig) arXiv: 1205.5423 | 2.2 nb ⁻¹ (MB trig) arXiv:1208.1902 | 16.5 nb ⁻¹ (Muon trig) PLB 708 (2012) 265 | 5 nb ⁻¹ (MB trig) JHEP 01 (2012) 128 | 5 nb ⁻¹ arXiv:1208.1948 |
| 2010 | PbPb, 2.76 TeV | 2.0 μb ⁻¹ (0-80%) MB trig. | chà là mart | 2.7 μb ⁻¹ (Muon trig) arXiv:1205.6443 | 2.12 μb ⁻¹ (0-80%) arXiv: 1203.2160 | _ |
| 2011 | pp, 2.76 TeV | 0.5 (11.9) nb ⁻¹ of MB(EmCal) trig | 0.5 (11.9) nb ⁻¹ of MB(EmCal) trig | 19 nb ⁻¹ (Muon trig) arXiv:1205.6443 | 1.1 nb ⁻¹ (MB trig) JHEP 07 (2012) 191 | _ |
| 2011 | PbPb, 2.76 TeV | 22 (37) μb ⁻¹ in 0-10% 6 (34) μb ⁻¹ in 20-40% MB (EMCAL) trig. | dia franti | die geweiten | 28 μb ⁻¹ (0-7.5%) 6 μb ⁻¹ (15-50%) | 28 μb ⁻¹ (0-7.5%) |

* List of HF talks:

- D. Caffarri: D mesons v₂
- A. Grelli: D mesons RAA
- G.M. Innocenti : D_{s}^{+} meson in pp and Pb-Pb
- * List of HF posters:
 - T. Aronsson : B electron vertexing in pp
 - S. Bjelogrlic : D^{*+}-hadron correlations
 - D.A.M. De Godoy : HF electron v_2 at high p_T •
 - B.R. Hicks : HF electrons in pp at 2.76 TeV
 - M. Kweon : Beauty electrons

- S. Sakai : HF electron R_{AA} and v_2
- X. Zhang: HF muon RAA
- G. Luparello : D*+ meson v2
- T. Rascanu : HF electron v2 background
- R. Russo : D⁺ meson in pp and Pb-Pb
- T.S. Sinha : J/ψ and HF muon vs multiplicity in pp
- D. Thomas : HF electron-hadron correlations

Proton-proton Results

 $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV } \& \sqrt{s} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$



HF DECAY LEPTONS (e,µ), PP $\sqrt{s=7}$ TeV





HF decay lepton differential cross sections are well described by pQCD calculations (FONLL)

[Cacciari et al arXiv:1205.6344 (2012)]

BEAUTY DECAY ELECTRONS, PP $\sqrt{s=7}$ **TeV**



[ALICE Coll. arXiv:1208.1902 (2012)]

- Measurement of $B \rightarrow e^{\pm}$, |y| < 0.5₩
 - B hadrons ст ~ 500µm \Rightarrow Impact parameter cut (d₀) e.g. $|d_0| > 250 \mu m$ for $p_T \sim 2.5 \text{ GeV/c}$
 - Subtraction of remaining background electrons with a cocktail.
- Total beauty cross section
- Evaluation of the $c \rightarrow e^{\pm}$ cross section by subtraction from the inclusive heavy flavor electrons



[Cacciari et al arXiv:1205.6344 (2012)]

D MESONS, PP $\sqrt{s=7}$ TEV

* p_T -differential cross sections of D⁰, D⁺, D⁺⁺ measured in the 1 < p_T < 24 GeV/c range



[Cacciari et al arXiv:1205.6344 (2012)] [Kniehl et al, arXiv:1202.0439]

ALICE

D MESONS, PP $\sqrt{s=7}$ TeV





[Kniehl et al, arXiv:1202.0439]

D MESONS, PP $\sqrt{s=7}$ TeV





MEASUREMENTS IN PP $\sqrt{s=2.76}$ TeV



[ALICE Coll. arXiv:1205.6443 (2012)]



Well described by pQCD calculations

REFERENCE FOR PB-PB MEASUREMENTS



HF muons: pp data at 2.76 TeV

- HF electrons and D mesons:
 7 TeV data scaled to 2.76 TeV
- Scaling: ratio of FONLL cross sections at the two energies
- D mesons and HF electron data at 2.76 TeV are compatible with 7 TeV data scaled to 2.76 TeV

HF electrons, |y| < 0.7



REFERENCE FOR PB-PB MEASUREMENTS



- HF muons: pp data at 2.76 TeV
- HF electrons and D mesons:
 7 TeV data scaled to 2.76 TeV
- * Scaling: ratio of FONLL cross sections at the two energies
- D mesons and HF electron data at 2.76 TeV are compatible with 7 TeV data scaled to 2.76 TeV



Pb-Pb Results

$\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$



HEAVY FLAVOR ELECTRONS, 0-10%



- Data: 2011 Pb-Pb run,
 EMCAL + centrality triggers
 (normalization with MB sample)
- * Electron identification: TPC+EMCAL
- Subtract background electrons
 from the inclusive electrons
- * Background electrons:
 - π^0 + Dalitz(π^{\pm} ,n) + γ -conversions via invariant mass analysis
 - Plus J/ψ cocktail based on pp data
 with (0.2 < R_{AA}(J/ψ) < 0.8)
- * pp reference:
 - 7 TeV pp data scaled to 2.76 TeV
 - + FONLL at high pT



[ALICE Coll., arXiv:1205.5423 (2012)] [ATLAS Coll., PLB 707:438-45]



Z. Conesa del Valle

HEAVY FLAVOR ELECTRONS, 0-10%





ALI-PREL-31917



HEAVY FLAVOR MUONS, 0-10%



- * Data: 2010 Pb-Pb run, MB + muon triggers
- * Subtract background muons from π , K decays
- * Background muons: π , K extrapolated from mid-rapidity measurements. Consider $R_{AA}^{\pi}(y=0)$, $R_{AA}^{K}(y=0)$, and let vary 0 < $R_{AA}^{\pi,K}(y-forward)$ < 2 $R_{AA}^{\pi,K}(y=0)$
- * pp reference: pp data at 2.76 TeV

X. Zhang, Thu, Parallel 7A, 17:50

[ALICE Coll. arXiv:1205.6443 (2012)]

HEAVY FLAVOR MUONS, 0-10%





Suppression by a factor of 2-4 in 0-10%

X. Zhang, Thu, Parallel 7A, 17:50

[ALICE Coll. arXiv:1205.6443 (2012)]





ALI-PREL-32410

* Data: 2011 Pb-Pb run, MB + centrality triggers

- Prompt D mesons = inclusive D mesons D mesons from B decays
- * pQCD-based subtraction of D from B decays, with the constrain 1/3 < R_{AA}(DfromB)/R_{AA}(D) < 3</p>
- * pp reference: 7 TeV data scaled to 2.76 TeV + high p_T -pQCD-extrapolation

D^{o} , D^{+} , D^{*+} MESONS, O-7.5%



ALI-PREL-32410

- * Data: 2011 Pb-Pb run, MB + centrality triggers
- Prompt D mesons = inclusive D mesons D mesons from B decays
- * pQCD-based subtraction of D from B decays, with the constrain 1/3 < R_{AA}(DfromB)/R_{AA}(D) < 3</p>
- * pp reference: 7 TeV data scaled to 2.76 TeV + high p_T -pQCD-extrapolation

Z. Conesa del Valle

D^{o} , D^{+} , D^{*+} MESONS, O-7.5%



ALI-PREL-32410

- * Data: 2011 Pb-Pb run, MB + centrality triggers
- Prompt D mesons = inclusive D mesons D mesons from B decays
- * pQCD-based subtraction of D from B decays, with the constrain 1/3 < R_{AA}(DfromB)/R_{AA}(D) < 3</p>
- * pp reference: 7 TeV data scaled to 2.76 TeV + high p_T -pQCD-extrapolation

Z. Conesa del Valle





A. Grelli, Thu, Parallel 6A, 14:00

D^{o}, D^{+}, D^{*+} MESONS, O-7.5%



D°, D+, D*+ MESONS, O-7.5%



- Extended measurement to 1<pr<36 GeV/c</pre>
- Suppression by up to a factor of 5 at $p_T \sim 10$ GeV/c in 0-7.5%

FIRST D_s^+ MESON MEASUREMENT, 0-7.5%



Expectation: relative enhancement of the strange/non-strange D mesons at intermediate p_T - charm in-medium hadronization ?



- First measurement of D_s⁺ dN/dp_T and R_{AA}
- Suppression by a factor of 3-5 for p_T~8-12 GeV/c
- → Similar to that of the D^0 , D^+ , D^{*+}

G.M. Innocenti, Wed, Parallel 4A, 12:20

[I. Kuznetsova, J. Rafelski, Eur.Phys.J.C51:113-133 (2007)] [M. He, et al, arXiv:1204.4442] [A. Andronic, et al, arXiv:0708.1488v3]

First D_s^+ meson measurement, 0-7.5%



Expectation: relative enhancement of the strange/non-strange D mesons at intermediate p_T - charm in-medium hadronization ?



- First measurement of Ds⁺ dN/dp_T and RAA
- Suppression by a factor of 3-5 for p_T~8-12 GeV/c
- → Similar to that of the D^0 , D^+ , D^{*+}

G.M. Innocenti, Wed, Parallel 4A, 12:20

[I. Kuznetsova, J. Rafelski, Eur.Phys.J.C51:113-133 (2007)] [M. He, et al, arXiv:1204.4442] [A. Andronic, et al, arXiv:0708.1488v3]



- * Heavy flavor is suppressed up to high pt... Azimuthal dependence?
- * Address path length dependence of HQ energy loss at high p_T ?
- * Collective motion (flow) at low p_T ?



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}\varphi} = \frac{N_0}{2\pi} \left(1 + 2v_1 \cos(\varphi - \Psi_1) + \frac{2v_2 \cos[2(\varphi - \Psi_2)]}{2\pi} + \dots\right)$$



HF ELECTRON V_2 , 20-40%





HF ELECTRON V_2 , 20-40%



Heavy flavor electron v₂>0 at low p_T
 (>3σ effect in 2<p_T<3 GeV/c)



★

*

*

D MESON V2



 Ψ_{EP}

- * Data: 2011 Pb-Pb run, MB + centrality triggers
- * v_2 measured with the event plane method



- Consistency among D meson species (D⁰, D⁺, D^{*+})
- → Indication of non-zero D meson v_2 (3 σ effect in 2<p_T<6 GeV/c)

D. Caffarri, Thu, Parallel 6A, 14:40

1 $\pi N^{\text{In-Plane}} - N^{\text{Out-Ot-Plane}}$

 $v_2 = \frac{1}{R_2} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{N^{\text{In-Plane}} + N^{\text{Out-Ot-Plane}}}$

R₂: event plane resolution

D MESON V2





- Consistency among D meson species (D⁰, D⁺, D^{*+})
- → Indication of non-zero D meson v_2 (3 σ effect in 2<p_T<6 GeV/c)
- Hint of centrality dependence at low pT

Z. Conesa del Valle

D. Caffarri, Thu, Parallel 6A, 14:40

D° RAA VS EVENT PLANE, 30-50%



D. Caffarri, Thu, Parallel 6A, 14:40



- Larger suppression OutOfPlane than InPlane up to $p_T \sim 10 \text{ GeV/c}$
 - might indicate elliptic flow at low pt
 - might indicate longer path length at high p_T

Comparison with data and models





RAA CENTRALITY DEPENDENCE





 \rightarrow D mesons and HF muon R_{AA} at high-p_T show a similar centrality trend

RAA CENTRALITY DEPENDENCE





[ALICE Coll. arXiv:1203.2160 (2012)] [ALICE Coll. arXiv:1205.6443 (2012)]

- D mesons and HF muon R_{AA} at high-p_T show a similar centrality trend
- Data not conclusive on charged particles $R_{AA} < D$ mesons R_{AA}

RAA CENTRALITY DEPENDENCE





- → D mesons and HF muon R_{AA} at high-p_T show a similar centrality trend
- → Data not conclusive on charged particles R_{AA} < D mesons R_{AA}
- → Non-prompt J/ ψ (CMS) consistent with HF muon R_{AA}

RAA OF OPEN AND HIDDEN CHARM





- Similar trend of D mesons and J/ψ at low and high p_T
 - $2 < p_T < 5$ GeV/c D (|y| < 0.5) vs inclusive J/ ψ (ALICE, 2.5 < y < 4.0)
 - p_T≈6 GeV/c D (|y|<0.5) vs prompt J/ψ (CMS,|y|<2.4)</p>



Similar HF decay e (|y|<0.6) and μ (2.5<y<4.0) R_{AA} in 0-10%



[[]ALICE Coll. arXiv:1205.6443 (2012)]



Similar HF decay e (|y|<0.6) and µ (2.5<y<4.0) R_{AA} in 0-10%

[[]ALICE Coll. arXiv:1205.6443 (2012)]



Similar HF decay e (|y|<0.6) and µ (2.5<y<4.0) R_{AA} in 0-10%

- → they are also comparable with D mesons R_{AA} (|y|<0.5) in 0-7.5% considering the semileptonic decay kinematics ($p_T^e \sim 0.5 p_T^B$ at high p_T)
- ⇒ D R_{AA} shows a similar trend as charged particles and π^{\pm} in 0-10%

[ALICE Coll. arXiv:1205.6443 (2012)]







- Similar HF decay e (|y| < 0.6) and μ (2.5<y<4.0) R_{AA} in 0-10%
- → they are also comparable with D mesons R_{AA} (|y|<0.5) in 0-7.5% considering the semileptonic decay kinematics ($p_T^e \sim 0.5 p_T^B$ at high p_T)
- → D R_{AA} shows a similar trend as charged particles and π^{\pm} in 0-10%

[ALICE Coll. arXiv:1205.6443 (2012)]

MODELS DESCRIPTION OF RAA





ALI-PREL-34702

- → HF decay µ & D mesons R_{AA} suppression in the most central collisions can not be explained by shadowing alone for p_T>4 GeV/c
 ⇒ likely a final state effect
 ⇒ need pPb data to quantify initial state effects
- Models describe reasonably well both HF decay μ and D mesons RAA

MODELS DESCRIPTION OF RAA





- Models predict reasonably well both charged particles and D mesons RAA
- * AdS/CFT drag coefficients underestimate the charm R_{AA} and have limited predictive power for the light flavor R_{AA} .

HEAVY FLAVOR ELECTRON RAA & V2





\rightarrow The simultaneous description of HFe R_{AA} and v₂ is challenging

D MESON RAA & V2





\rightarrow The simultaneous description of D mesons R_{AA} and v_2 is challenging

SUMMARY



 HF e, HF µ & D mesons are suppressed in the most central collisions

In sum...

- First measurement of D_{s}^{+} meson in HIC
- Charged particles and pions have a similar p_T and centrality trend than D meson R_{AA}
- * HF azimuthal anisotropy:
 - v₂>0 for HFe (D mesons) at 2<p_T<3 GeV/c
 (2<p_T<6 GeV/c)
 - Hint of centrality dependence at low p_T (D⁰)
- HQ energy loss models reproduce reasonably well heavy flavor R_{AA} measurements. Challenging simultaneous description of R_{AA} and v₂



Z. Conesa del Valle

Backup



BEAUTY DECAY ELECTRONS, PP $\sqrt{s=7}$ TeV





- * Measurement of $B \rightarrow e^{\pm}$
 - B hadrons lifetime (cT ~ 500µm)
 ⇒ Selection on impact parameter (d₀)
 |d₀(µm)| > 64 + 780 exp(-0.56 p⊤(GeV/c))
 - Electron identification : TPC+TOF
 - Background subtraction : cocktail of measured π⁰, η, J/ψ, Y, D⁰, D⁺, D^{*+} + simulated light hadrons, γ, Λ_c,...



Z. Conesa del Valle

BEAUTY DECAY ELECTRONS, PP $\sqrt{s=7 \text{ TeV}}$





CHARM & BEAUTY CROSS SECTIONS





[ALICE Coll. JHEP 07 (2012) 191]

- Evaluated the total charm and beauty production cross sections.
- Their cross section evolution with $\int s$ is well described by pQCD.

D MESON PP 2.76TEV, THE REFERENCE





[ALICE Coll. JHEP 07 (2012) 191]

Z. Conesa del Valle



HF ELECTRON BACKGROUND V2



HFE R_{AA} and V_2 at RHIC and LHC





- Similar magnitude of heavy flavor electron R_{AA} (3<p_T<9 GeV/c) and v₂ (1.5<p_T<4 GeV/c) at √s_{NN}=200GeV (PHENIX) and √s_{NN}=2.76TeV (ALICE)
- * Caveat: c/b contribution to the HF electron spectra may differ at RHIC and LHC

D MESON V₂ DETAILS









D MESON RAA





SYSTEMATICS ON D MESON RAA





ALI-PUB-14238

D^o R_{AA} vs Event Plane vs Theory







MODELS DESCRIPTION OF RAA

[ALICE Coll. arXiv:1203.2160 (2012)]



- * Models predicting reasonably well both charged particles and D meson R_{AA} :
 - I. Radiative energy loss + D meson in-medium dissociation (tuned to jet LHC data)
 - II. Radiative + collisional energy loss (tuned to RHIC data)
 - VII. Radiative + collisional energy loss (tuned to RHIC data)
- * AdS/CFT drag coefficients (III) underestimate the charm R_{AA} and have limited predictive power for the light flavor R_{AA} .

HEAVY FLAVOR ELECTRON RAA & V2



- * BAMPS model: HQ transport with collisional energy loss in expanding QGP. Seems to over-suppress HFe R_{AA} , while it is consistent with HFe v_2 .
- * Rapp: heavy quarks transport with in-medium resonance scattering and coalescence. Consistent with HFe R_{AA}, but seems to underestimate HFe v₂.
- The simultaneous description of HFe R_{AA} and v_2 is challenging



D MESON RAA & V2



- * Some models can describe v_2 but they seem to underestimate R_{AA} .
 - Models with collisional and radiative Eloss (Alichelin et al)
 - HQ transport with collisional energy loss in expanding QGP (BAMPS)
- * Others can describe R_{AA} but they seem to underestimate v_2
 - Evaluate energy loss but not the hydrodynamical expansion (WHDG and Beraudo et al.)
- * Others seems to underestimate v_2 and it slightly overestimates R_{AA}
 - HQ transport in expanding QGP with resonance scattering (Rapp et al.)

Challenging simultaneous description of D meson R_{AA} and v₂.

