# Recent results on charmless semileptonic decays at BABAR

#### Florian U. Bernlochner



florian.bernlochner@cern.ch

on behalf of the BABAR collaboration

University of Victoria, British Columbia, Canada

July 5, 2012



ICHEP 2012

Melbourne Australia



#### Overview

- I. Why explore the Flavor sector of the Standard Model in the first place?
- II. Inclusive measurement of  $B \to X_u \, \ell \, \bar{\nu}_\ell$ [arXiv:1112.0702]
- III. Exclusive measurements for  $B \rightarrow h \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$  with  $h = \pi, \omega, \rho, \eta, \eta'$ [PRD:83032007], [arXiv:1205.6245], 2× [to be submitted]
- IV.  $|V_{ub}|$  from both approaches
- V. The status of charmless semileptonic decays at BABAR

### I.a Motivation

Why explore the Flavor sector of the Standard Model (SM) and measure charmless semileptonic decays?

cf. Backup A.a for a slightly longer introduction

I. Charmless semileptonic decay rate allows determination of  $|V_{ub}|$ 



- II.  $|V_{ub}|$  important input for global CKM fits.
  - a What are the contributions from the Flavor sector to CP violation in the Universe?
  - b Violation of CKM unitarity ⇔ new physics



#### III. Inclusive vs Exclusive measurements:

- a Fairly independent experimental and theoretical methods
- b Probe our understanding of non-perturbative and perturbative QCD

# I.b Semileptonic decays

#### Semileptonic $b \rightarrow u$ decays are characterized by

- a  $q^2 = (p_B p_X)^2 = (p_\ell + p_{\bar{\nu}})^2$
- b θ: Angular variables (helicity,...)
- c mX: Invariant hadronic mass

 ${\cal B}(B o X_u \, \ell \, ar 
u_\ell) \propto |V_{ub}|^2 imes$  'Something we can predict from Theory'

- a Challenges:
  - $\Rightarrow \mbox{Much more abundant } b \to c \ \ell \ \bar{\nu}_\ell \ \mbox{decays:} \\ \mbox{Force e.g. inclusive measurements into regions with larger theory uncertainties. Orbital or other modes poorly known.}$
  - $\Rightarrow$  Very low signal yields
- b Experimental techniques:
  - ⇒ Neutrino reconstruction:

$$(E_{\text{miss}}, \vec{p}_{\text{miss}}) = (E_{\text{beam}}, \vec{p}_{\text{beam}}) - \sum_{i} (E_{i}, \vec{p}_{i})$$
  
Missing mass squared:  $MM^{2} = E_{\text{miss}}^{2} - |\vec{p}_{\text{miss}}|^{2}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  Beam constraints:  $\Delta E$  and  $m_{ES}$ 

 $(E_B^*, \vec{p}_B^{*2}) = p_X + p_\ell + p_{\text{miss}}$  or inferred from the tag side if present; \* = in  $\Upsilon(4S)$  rest frame;  $E_{\text{beam}}^*$  beam energy





#### BABAR charmless overview:



### II. New inclusive measurement

At a glance:

- Full BABAR dataset of  $467.8 imes 10^6$   $Bar{B}$
- Inclusive  $B \to X_u \,\ell \,\bar{\nu}_\ell$  using hadronic tags to reconstruct  $p_B$ , require lepton
- $p_X = \sum_i p_i^{\text{tracks}} + \sum_j p_j^{\text{calo}}$

The sum excludes the lepton and all tracks and calo. clusters associated with the tagged B

 Cut based Bkg suppression, e.g. MM<sup>2</sup>, total charge, D\* veto based on partial reco.

- 
$$q^2 = (p_B - p_X)^2; m_X^2 = p_X^2; p_\ell:$$
  
 $P_+ = E_X - |\vec{p}_X|$ 

- tag Unbinned LH Fit in  $m_{ES}$  for comb. & continuum Bkg ( $\rightarrow$  top plot)
- $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{recoil} & \chi^2 \mbox{ Fit } (\rightarrow \mbox{List}) \mbox{ for signal and } B\bar{B} \mbox{ Bkg} \\ & \mbox{Step 1} & \mbox{Fit } \# \mbox{ of } B \rightarrow X_u \mbox{$\ell$ $\bar{\nu}_\ell$ evts. in given bins} \\ & \mbox{Step 2} & \mbox{Determine } \# \mbox{ of } B \rightarrow X \mbox{$\ell$ $\bar{\nu}_\ell$ evts. in reco sample} \end{array}$



Signal regions of phase-space:

- 1)  $m_{\chi} < 1.55 \text{ GeV}$ 2)  $m_{\chi} < 1.70 \text{ GeV}$ 3)  $P_{+} < 0.66 \text{ GeV}$ 4)  $m_{\chi} < 1.70 \text{ GeV} \& q^{2} > 8 \text{ GeV}^{2}$ 5)  $m_{\chi} - q^{2}, p_{\ell}^{*} > 1.0 \text{ GeV}$ 6)  $p_{\ell}^{*} > 1.0 \text{ GeV}$ 7)  $p_{\ell}^{*} > 1.3 \text{ GeV}$ \* = B rest frame
- $\Rightarrow \text{ Measure ratio } \Delta \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X_u \, \ell \, \bar{\nu}_\ell) / \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X \, \ell \, \bar{\nu}_\ell) \ (\rightarrow \text{ many systematics cancel})$

[arXiv:1112.0702]

#### II. New inclusive measurement

arXiv:1112.0702



#### II. New inclusive measurement

[arXiv:1112.0702]





The apex of the UT from the Moriond 2012 CKMFitter result is compared to the constraints from the new BABAR inclusive measurement as determined by the QCD calculation from [JHEP:0710:058],  $A = 0.812 \pm 0.022$ , and  $\lambda = 0.22543 \pm 0.00095$ .  $\rightarrow$  other calculations in Backup

- $|V_{ub}| = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta B}{\tau_B \,\Delta \Gamma_{theory}}}$  with  $\Delta \Gamma_{theory}$  from ADFR [EPJC:59;831], BLNP [NPB:699;335], DGE [JHEP:0601097], GGOU[JHEP:0710:058]
- $m_X q^2$ ,  $p_\ell^* > 1.0 \text{ GeV}$  vs  $p_\ell^* > 1.0 \text{ GeV}$

Events overlap completely; Very nice agreement between theory predictions and signal regions  $\rightarrow$  large region of phase space, very reduced sensitivity to non-pert. corrections ( $\cong$  shape function)

- 
$$m_X - q^2$$
,  $p_\ell^* > 1.0~{
m GeV}$  vs  $m_X < 1.7~{
m GeV}$ 

Large overlap, cut on  $m_X$  increases sensitivity to non-pert. corrections ( $\cong$  shape function)

$ V_{ub}   imes 10^3$	$p_l^* > 1.0~{ m GeV}$	$m_X - q^2$	$m_X < 1.7$ GeV <sup>is</sup>
ADFR	$4.3 \pm 0.3 ^{+ 0.2}_{- 0.2}$	$4.3 \pm 0.2 \substack{+ \ 0.2 \\ - \ 0.2}$	$3.7 \pm 0.2^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$
BLNP	$4.3 \pm 0.3 ^{+ 0.2}_{-0.2}$	$4.3 \pm 0.2^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$4.0 \pm 0.2 ^{+ 0.2}_{-0.2}$
DGE	$4.4 \pm 0.3^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$4.4 \pm 0.2^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$4.2 \pm 0.2 ^{+ 0.3}_{-0.2}$
GGOU	$4.4 \pm 0.3 ^{+ ar{0}. ar{1}}_{- 0.1}$	$4.4 \pm 0.2 ^{+ 0.1}_{- 0.1}$	$3.9 \pm 0.2 ^{+ ar{0}. ar{2}}_{- 0.2}$

#### BABAR charmless overview:



#### III.a New $B ightarrow h \, \ell \, ar{ u}_\ell$ measurement

to be submitted

At a glance:

- Full BABAR dataset of  $467.8 imes 10^6$   $Bar{B}$
- $B 
  ightarrow h \, \ell \, ar{
  u}_\ell$  with  $h=\pi,\eta,\eta',\omega$
- Untagged; loose neutrino reconstruction
- $q^2 = (p_B p_h)^2$ ,  $p_B$  from average over directions; resolution unfolded
- $q^2$  dependent selection ightarrow Figure
- Binned LH Fit in  $\Delta E m_{ES} q^2$ :  $h = \pi$ : Signal in 12 bins of  $q^2$ ; Bkg in two bins of  $q^2$   $h = \omega$ : Signal in 5 bins of  $q^2$ ; Bkg in one  $q^2$  bin  $h = \eta$ : Signal in 5 bins of  $q^2$ ; fixed or in one  $q^2$  bin  $h = \eta'$ : Signal in 1 bins of  $q^2$ ; Bkg fixed







cuts(q<sup>2</sup>) for  $MM^2/(2E_{\rm miss})$  and the cosine of the lepton helicity angle  $\cos \theta_I$ . The shaded region is excluded.





L

	$q^2/{ m GeV}^2$	$ V_{ub}  \times 10^3$
[PRD:73074502]	> 16	$3.47 \pm 0.13 ^{+ 0.60}_{-0.39}$
[PRD:830904021]	< 12	$3.46 \pm 0.10 ^{+ 0.37}_{-0.32}$
[arXiv:1203.1359]	0	$3.34 \pm 0.11 ^{+ 0.29}_{-0.26}$

b Combined lattice and data fit of whole  $q^2$  range with model independent form factor parametrization:

$$|V_{ub}| = 3.25 \pm 0.31 \times 10^{-3}$$

[PRD:56303], [PRD:80034026], [PRD:79054507]



#### BABAR charmless overview:



### III.c New $B \rightarrow \omega \, \ell \, \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ measurement

At a glance:

[arXiv:1205.6245]

- Full BABAR dataset of  $467.8 imes10^6$   $Bar{B}$
- Untagged  $B \rightarrow \omega \, \ell \, \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ ; loose neutrino reconstruction.
- $q^2 = (p_\ell + p_{miss})^2$
- Neural Network based selection to suppress continuum and  $B \to X_c \, \ell \, \bar{\nu}_\ell$





Signal in 5 bins of  $q^2$ ;  $B\bar{B}$  Bkg with true  $\omega$  in 5 bins of  $q^2$ 



### III.c New $B \rightarrow \omega \, \ell \, \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ measurement

At a glance:

[to be submitted]

- Full BABAR dataset of  $467.8 imes 10^6$   $Bar{B}$
- Tagged measurement of  $B 
  ightarrow \omega \, \ell \, ar{
  u}_\ell$
- Partial reconstruction of secondary B meson kinematic via  $B \to D^{(*)} \, \ell \, \bar{\nu}_\ell$
- Kinematics of *B*-mesons inferred from beam constraints +  $D^{(*)} \ell$  and  $\omega \ell$  candidates
- $q^2 = (p_B p_\omega)^2$ , meas. in *B* rest frame.
- Binned LH fit in  $\cos \phi_B^2 q^2$ :



 $\cos\phi_B$ : angle of tag B 3-momentum and the plane spanned by the  $D^{(*)}(n\,\pi)\,\ell$  and  $\omega\,\ell$  3-momenta

 $\frac{q^2/{\rm GeV}^2}{[{\rm PRD}:71014029]} \quad \begin{array}{l} |V_{ub}| \times 10^3 \\ {\rm full} & {\bf 3.39 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.65} \end{array}$ 



Fitted  $\cos \phi_B$  and  $q^2$  spectrum

### IV. Comparison of $|V_{ub}|$ from both approaches

- I. Good agreement between  $|V_{ub}|$  from different exclusive measurements. Unquenched Lattice predictions for form factors for  $B \to \omega \, \ell \, \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$  and  $B \to \rho \, \ell \, \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$  highly desirable, considerable width of  $\rho$  might make the  $\omega$  easier target.
- II. Tension between exclusive vs inclusive  $|V_{ub}|$

Many ideas floating in the room: poorly understood QCD ( $\rightarrow$  SIMBA), new physics (e.g. right-handed currents), poorly understood backgrounds (e.g.  $B \rightarrow X_c \ \ell \ \bar{\nu}_\ell$  which has its own mysteries), experimental uncertainties underestimated, etc.



 $|V_{ub}|$  from the combined data-lattice fit from page X for  $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$  is compared to the values for  $B \rightarrow \omega \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$  and  $B \rightarrow \rho \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$  determined using the Sum rule calculation of [PRD:71014029]; The right-hand side shows the inclusive result from page Y for two phase-space regions and using the QCD calculation from [JHEP:0710:058].

#### V. Summary: The status of charmless decays and $|V_{ub}|$

- I. Measurements using the full BABAR dataset for 4 exclusive modes Understand what makes up  $\approx 44\%$  of the inclusive  $B \rightarrow X_u \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$  spectra for  $m_X < 1.55$  GeV
- II. Inclusive  $b \rightarrow u \, \ell \, \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$  measurement using the full BABAR dataset

Tension between inclusive and exclusive values of  $|V_{ub}|$  remain:



Inclusive  $|V_{ub}|$  from [arXiv:1112.0702] from GGOU with  $q^2 - m_X$  fit with  $E_l^* > 1.0 \text{ GeV}$  is compared with the combined  $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$  data-lattice fit from slide 10. The CKMFitter result is from Moriond 2012 result.

Future plans at BABAR: tagged  $B \rightarrow \rho \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ ;  $B \rightarrow h\bar{h} \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$  with h = p, K, pi, ...Studies for a better understanding of exclusive  $b \rightarrow c \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$  background.

# Backup

#### Flavor sector of Standard Model (SM):

 $\mathcal{L} = \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} W^+_\mu \, \bar{u}'_L \, \gamma^\mu \, V_{\text{CKM}} \, d'_L + \text{h.c.}$ 

V<sub>CKM</sub> couples Weak and Mass eigenstates

$$V_{\mathsf{CKM}} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{array}\right)$$

 $V_{\mathsf{CKM}} \ V_{\mathsf{CKM}}^{\dagger} = 1 \rightarrow 6$  triangle equations, e.g.

Unitary triangle:  $V_{ud}V_{ub}^* + V_{td}V_{tb}^* + V_{cd}V_{cb}^* = 0$ 

### A.a Motivation

Complex phase of  $V_{CKM}$ :  $(\bar{\rho} + i\bar{\eta})$ 





#### m<sub>X</sub>-Spectrum: Inclusive vs Exclusive states

![](_page_17_Figure_11.jpeg)

 $\begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow \text{ 'Hybrid' simulation of } b \rightarrow u\,\ell\,\bar{\nu}_\ell\\ \Rightarrow \text{ Amplitudes can be predicted using QCD; fairly independent approaches for Inclusive vs Exclusive} \end{array}$ 

# A.b Experimental Methods

Reconstruction of  $q^2$ :

$$q^{2} = (p_{B} - p_{X})^{2}$$
$$= (p_{\ell} + p_{\text{miss}})^{2}$$

→ Tagged: full or partial reconstruct second *B*-meson:

$$p_B = \left( E_{B_{tag}}, -\vec{p}_{B_{tag}} \right)$$

Incl.:  $p_X$  from  $\sum$  over recoil side particles Excl.:  $p_X = p_h$  from  $h = \pi, ...$  candidate

→ Untagged: average over unknown direction of *B*-meson using beam constraints

or 
$$p_{\ell}$$
 + missing 4-momentum  $p_{\text{miss}}$  of event.

#### Isolation of signal decays:

![](_page_18_Figure_9.jpeg)

Loose neutrino reconstruction: (used in untagged )

Infer neutrino kinematics from missing 4-momentum:

$$(E_{\text{miss}}, \vec{p}_{\text{miss}}) = (E_{\text{beam}}, \vec{p}_{\text{beam}}) - \sum_{i} (E_{i}, \vec{p}_{i})$$

sum runs over reconstructed particles from all charged tracks and unmatched calorimeter clusters in event.

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Missing mass squared } MM^2 = E_{miss}^2 - |\vec{p}_{miss}|^2 \\ \rightarrow MM^2/(2E_{miss}) \mbox{ has better resolution} \\ \rightarrow \mbox{Multivariate techniques (NN); cut; or cut(q^2)} \end{array}$ 

#### Other Backgrounds

- $e^+ e^- 
  ightarrow q ar q$  cuts or NN; validation with off-resonance
- $e^+ e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  cuts or NN; validation with sidebands
  - $\rightarrow$  Semileptonic  $b \rightarrow c \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ 
    - $50-500 \times$  more abundant than signal decays
    - $m_{\chi} > 1.85$ ; lower lepton energy endpoint

Measurements in phase space regions where  $b \rightarrow c$  strongly suppressed or forbidden

 $\rightarrow$  inclusive decays: model dependence due to unknown parton distribution function

### B.a New inclusive $|V_{ub}|$ gallery

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

## B.b New inclusive $|V_{ub}|$ gallery

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)