# Light neutralino dark matter in MSSM

# Nazila Mahmoudi CERN TH & LPC Clermont-Ferrand (France)

In collaboration with A. Arbey and M. Battaglia



36th International Conference on High Energy Physics

4 - 11 July 2012 Melbourne Convention and Exhibition Centre

#### Status of Dark Matter Direct Detection



CRESST, Eur.Phys.J. C72 (2012) 1971

The constrained MSSM scenarios provide no candidate "compatible" with DAMA, CoGeNT, CRESST and XENON data



#### pMSSM scans

#### Flat scans over the pMSSM 19 parameters.

Using many codes: SuperIso Relic, SoftSusy, FeynHiggs, Hdecay, Sdecay, Higgsbounds, Micromegas, Prospino, Pythia and Delphes, with SuperIso as the central core.

$2.16 \times 10^{-4} < {\sf BR}(B \to X_s \gamma) < 4.93 \times 10^{-4}$
$BR(B_s  ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) < 5.0  imes 10^{-9}$
0.56 < R(B  o  au  u) < 2.70
$4.7  imes 10^{-2} < {\sf BR}(D_s  o  au  u) < 6.1  imes 10^{-2}$
$2.9 \times 10^{-3} < BR(B \rightarrow D^{0} \tau \nu) < 14.2 \times 10^{-3}$
$0.985 < R_{\mu 23}(K \rightarrow \mu \nu) < 1.013$
$-2.4 imes 10^{-9} < \delta a_{\mu} < 4.5 imes 10^{-9}$
+ sparticle mass lower bounds
+ Higgs search limits
122.5 GeV $< M_h <$ 127.5 GeV
+ neutralino LSP
Loose WMAP limits: $10^{-4} < \Omega_{\chi} h^2 < 0.155$
Tight WMAP limits: $0.068 < \Omega_{\chi} h^2 < 0.155$

Particle	Limits	Conditions
$\tilde{v}_{2}^{0}$	62.4	$\tan \beta < 40$
X2 X3	99.9	$\tan \beta < 40$
$\tilde{\chi}_4^0$	116	$\tan \beta < 40$
$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$	92.4	$m_{\overline{v}^{\pm}} - m_{\overline{v}^{2}} < 4 \text{ GeV}$
	103.5	$m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}}^{2} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}^{2} > 4 \text{ GeV}$
ẽ <sub>R</sub>	73	
ē <sub>L</sub>	107	
$\tilde{\tau}_1$	81.9	$m_{\tilde{\tau}_1} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} > 15 \text{ GeV}$
ŨR	100	$m_{\tilde{u}_R} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} > 10 \text{ GeV}$
ũL	100	$m_{\tilde{u}_{L}} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}} > 10 \text{ GeV}$
t <sub>1</sub>	95.7	$m_{\tilde{t}_1} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} > 10 \text{ GeV}$
d <sub>R</sub>	100	$m_{\tilde{d}_R} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} > 10 \text{ GeV}$
dL	100	$m_{\tilde{d}_{L}} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}} > 10 \text{ GeV}$
	248	$m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}} < 70 \text{ GeV}, m_{\tilde{b}_{1}} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}} > 30 \text{ GeV}$
	220	$m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}} < 80 \text{ GeV}, m_{\tilde{b}_{1}} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}} > 30 \text{ GeV}$
$\tilde{b}_1$	210	$m_{\bar{\chi}^0} < 100 \text{ GeV}, \ m_{\tilde{h}_1} - m_{\bar{\chi}^0} > 30 \text{ GeV}$
	200	$m_{\tilde{\chi}^0} < 105 \text{ GeV}, m_{\tilde{b}_1} - m_{\tilde{\chi}^0} > 30 \text{ GeV}$
	100	$m_{\tilde{b}_1} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} > 5 \text{ GeV}$
ğ	195	

Details of the scans and results can be found in:

A. Arbey, M. Battaglia, F. Mahmoudi, Eur.Phys.J. C72 (2012) 1847 A. Arbey, M. Battaglia, F. Mahmoudi, Eur.Phys.J. C72 (2012) 1906



Nazila Mahmoudi

# General scans in pMSSM: more than 60M generated points

Parameter	Range
tan β	[1, 60]
M <sub>A</sub>	[50, 2000]
M1	[-2500, 2500]
M <sub>2</sub>	[-2500, 2500]
M <sub>3</sub>	[50, 2500]
$A_d = A_s = A_b$	[-10000, 10000]
$A_u = A_c = A_t$	[-10000, 10000]
$A_e = A_\mu = A_\tau$	[-10000, 10000]
μ	[-3000, 3000]
$M_{\tilde{e}_L} = M_{\tilde{\mu}_L}$	[50, 2500]
$M_{\tilde{e}_R} = M_{\tilde{\mu}_R}$	[50, 2500]
M <sub>ĩ</sub>	[50, 2500]
M <sub>~~R</sub>	[50, 2500]
$M_{\tilde{q}_{1L}} = M_{\tilde{q}_{2L}}$	[50, 2500]
M <sub>q̃3L</sub>	[50, 2500]
$M_{\tilde{u}_R} = M_{\tilde{c}_R}$	[50, 2500]
Mĩ <sub>R</sub>	[50, 2500]
$M_{\tilde{d}_R} = M_{\tilde{s}_R}$	[50, 2500]
M <sub>ĎP</sub>	[50, 2500]



Nazila Mahmoudi

pMSSM points and XENON dark matter exclusion limit



A. Arbey, M. Battaglia, F. Mahmoudi, Eur.Phys.J. C72 (2012) 1847

About 20% of the points are excluded by XENON-100



Nazila Mahmoudi

ICHEP 2012, July 7th, 2012

#### Low-mass neutralino scans

Parameter	Range
$\tan \beta$	[1, 60]
M <sub>A</sub>	[50, 2000]
M1	[-300, 300]
M2	[-650, 650]
M <sub>3</sub>	[0, 2500]
$A_d = A_s = A_b$	[-10000, 10000]
$A_u = A_c = A_t$	[-10000, 10000]
$A_e = A_\mu = A_\tau$	[-10000, 10000]
μ	[-3000, 3000]
$M_{\tilde{e}_L} = M_{\tilde{\mu}_L}$	[0, 2500]
$M_{\tilde{e}_R} = M_{\tilde{\mu}_R}$	[0, 2500]
Μ <sub>τ̃</sub>	[0, 2500]
M <sub>Ť</sub> <sub>R</sub>	[0, 2500]
$M_{\tilde{q}_{1L}} = M_{\tilde{q}_{2L}}$	[0, 2500]
M <sub>q̃3L</sub>	[0, 2500]
$M_{\tilde{u}_R} = M_{\tilde{c}_R}$	[0, 2500]
Mĩ <sub>R</sub>	[0, 2500]
$M_{\tilde{d}_R} = M_{\tilde{s}_R}$	[0, 2500]
M <sub>ĎP</sub>	[0, 2500]



Nazila Mahmoudi

Low mass neutralino scans: more than one billion generated points



Selection	pMSSM points
Valid points with light $\chi_1^0$ , large $\sigma(\chi - p)$	1 M

A. Arbey, M. Battaglia, F. Mahmoudi, arXiv:1205.2557 [hep-ph]



#### Nazila Mahmoudi

ICHEP 2012, July 7th, 2012

Low mass neutralino scans: more than one billion generated points



Selection	pMSSM points
Valid points with light $\chi_{1}^{0}$ , large $\sigma(\chi - p)$	1 M
Monojet searches	280 k

A. Arbey, M. Battaglia, F. Mahmoudi, arXiv:1205.2557 [hep-ph]



ICHEP 2012, July 7th, 2012

Low mass neutralino scans: more than one billion generated points



Selection	pMSSM
	points
Valid points with	1 M
light $\chi_1^0$ , large $\sigma(\chi - p)$	
Monojet searches	280 k
SUSY searches	90 k



Low mass neutralino scans: more than one billion generated points



Selection	pMSSM points
Valid points with light $\chi_{1}^{0}$ , large $\sigma(\chi - p)$	1 M
Monojet searches	280 k
SUSY searches	90 k
LEP searches	50 k

A. Arbey, M. Battaglia, F. Mahmoudi, arXiv:1205.2557 [hep-ph]



Nazila Mahmoudi

ICHEP 2012, July 7th, 2012

Low mass neutralino scans: more than one billion generated points



Selection	pMSSM
	points
Valid points with	1 M
light $\chi_1^0$ , large $\sigma(\chi - p)$	
Monojet searches	280 k
SUSY searches	90 k
LEP searches	50 k
Flavour physics	20 k



Low mass neutralino scans: more than one billion generated points



Selection	pMSSM points
Valid points with	1 M
light $\chi_1^{0}$ , large $\sigma(\chi - p)$	
Monojet searches	280 k
SUSY searches	90 k
LEP searches	50 k
Flavour physics	20 k
Higgs searches	10 k



Low mass neutralino scans: more than one billion generated points



Selection	pMSSM points
Valid points with light $\chi^0$ large $\sigma(\chi - p)$	1 M
Monojet searches	280 k
SUSY searches LEP searches	90 k 50 k
Flavour physics	20 k
Higgs searches	10 k
Loose WMAP limit	20



Low mass neutralino scans: more than one billion generated points



Selection	pMSSM
	points
Valid points with	1 M
light $\chi_{1}^{0}$ , large $\sigma(\chi - p)$	
Monojet searches	280 k
SUSY searches	90 k
LEP searches	50 k
Flavour physics	20 k
Higgs searches	10 k
Loose WMAP limit	20
Tight WMAP limit	5

A. Arbey, M. Battaglia, F. Mahmoudi, arXiv:1205.2557 [hep-ph]



Nazila Mahmoudi

ICHEP 2012, July 7th, 2012

# Difficult to have right amount of relic density and large scattering cross section!



A. Arbey, M. Battaglia, F. Mahmoudi, arXiv:1205.2557 [hep-ph]



Three main classes of surviving models:

- sleptons with mass close to the LEP limit  $(M_{\tilde{\chi}^0} \sim 20 40 \text{ GeV})$
- compressed spectra in the neutralino/chargino sector  $(M_{\tilde{\chi}^0} \sim 10 40 \text{ GeV}, \ \sigma \sim 10^{-6} \text{ pb})$
- squarks quasi-degenerate with neutralino  $(M_{\tilde{\chi}^0} \lesssim 10-20 \text{ GeV}, \ \sigma \sim 10^{-4} \text{ pb})$



Slepton with a mass at the LEP limit



A relatively standard scenario, but the neutralino mass has to be larger (around 30 GeV) to give a large scattering cross-section.



#### Compressed spectrum in the neutralino/chargino sector



This scenario may be very interesting ...

Unfortunately  $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \chi_1^0 \chi_2^0)$  is in general too large and ruled out by the LEP limits!







This scenario may be very interesting...

Unfortunately  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_1^0 \chi_2^0)$  is in general too large and ruled out by the LEP limits!

One squark quasi-degenerate with the neutralino



These spectra can fulfill all the constraints and have simultaneously a neutralino mass below 15 GeV and a large scattering cross-section!

Two problems however:  $\Gamma(Z o \tilde{q}\tilde{q})$  is very large and  $BR(h^0 o \tilde{q}\tilde{\bar{q}})$  is the dominant Higgs BR... for the first and second generations!

Light sbottoms can pass all these constraints!



Nazila Mahmoudi

One squark quasi-degenerate with the neutralino



These spectra can fulfill all the constraints and have simultaneously a neutralino mass below 15 GeV and a large scattering cross-section!

Two problems however:  $\Gamma(Z \to \tilde{q}\tilde{\tilde{q}})$  is very large and  $BR(h^0 \to \tilde{q}\tilde{\tilde{q}})$  is the dominant Higgs BR... for the first and second generations!

Light sbottoms can pass all these constraints!



One squark quasi-degenerate with the neutralino



These spectra can fulfill all the constraints and have simultaneously a neutralino mass below 15 GeV and a large scattering cross-section!

Two problems however:  $\Gamma(Z \to \tilde{q}\tilde{\tilde{q}})$  is very large and  $BR(h^0 \to \tilde{q}\tilde{\tilde{q}})$  is the dominant Higgs BR... for the first and second generations!

 $\rightarrow$  Light sbottoms can pass all these constraints!



Using dedicated scans starting from our benchmark points:



Loose relic density constraint  $10^{-4} < \Omega_\chi h^2 < 0.155$ 



Using dedicated scans starting from our benchmark points:





Tight relic density constraint  $0.068 < \Omega_{\chi} h^2 < 0.155$ 

The surviving models satisfy also the indirect dark matter constraint from Frmi-LAT!



Using dedicated scans starting from our benchmark points:





pMSSM light neutralino CAN be compatible with all constraints!

# Three different scenarios

- Sbottoms quasi-degenerate with the neutralino
- Sleptons with a mass close to the LEP limit
- Compressed spectra in the gaugino sector

#### Next steps

- Characterise more these scenarios in terms of the ATLAS and CMS MET analyses
- Go to alternative scenarios (gravitino dark matter, beyond MSSM, ...)

