

Jet measurements, and subjet structure for boosted hadronic objects

Bertrand Chapleau on behalf of the ATLAS collaboration



Bertrand Chapleau

Motivation

- Jet production at the LHC is the dominant high transverse-momentum process
 - Testing ground for our understanding of perturbative QCD in a new kinematic regime.
 - Hard QCD processes are a background for many new physics model
 → including boosted heavy objects.



- A jet is a composite object; more information available than only its 4-vector.
- Internal structure of jets provides crucial discrimination against backgrounds for searches involving boosted massive particles.

Jet Reconstruction

- 3D noise suppressed clusters of calorimeter cells, fed as input to jet algorithms :
- \rightarrow anti-k_t R=0.4, 0.6
- \rightarrow Cambridge-Aachen **R=1.2**, anti-k_t **R=1.0** for substructure studies (*large-R* jets)
- Jet calibration restores the measured jet energy to the particle-level jet energy scale (JES), on average. Main source of uncertainty for many measurements.
- Reduced uncertainty in 2011 thanks to in-situ measurements (Z+jet: ATLAS-CONF-2012-053)

Jet Trigger

- ATLAS trigger selects jets using the anti-k, algorithm (as of 2011)
- 3 successive levels to cope with the large data input rate



60

70

80

Offline Jet E_{τ} [GeV]

of a topological cluster

rejected cells

90

arXiv:1112.6297

Inclusive jet cross section



- 2010 data. Spans jet p_T from 20 GeV to 1.5 TeV, wide rapidity coverage of |y| < 4.4 Anti- k_t jet R=0.4 and R=0.6
- Good agreement with NLO fixed order calculations (with non perturbative corrections)
- POWHEG interfaced to different parton showers (PS) MC shows some deviations
 - indication of some uncertainty coming from different PS approximations.



- Full 2011 dataset : 4.8 fb⁻¹
- Spans dijet system mass from 260 GeV to 4.6 TeV, $y^* = |y_1 y_2|/2 < 2.5$
- Overall good agreement with both NLOJET++ and POWHEG + PS
- Largest disagreement at very high m_{12} and y^*

Bertrand Chapleau

Flavour composition of dijet events ATLAS-CONF-2012-081





- **Asymmetry** in the number of leading and subleading beauty jets: $A_b = N^{SL}_b/N^L_b - 1$ Poor description by Pythia.
- Two flavour discriminating variables constructed from kinematic properties of secondary vertices found in jets \rightarrow MC based template for light, charm and beauty flavour state of jets.
 - Flavour dijet fractions extracted from a multidimensional fit to the data.
- \bullet Predictions for light-beauty fraction lower than measured value at high p_{T}

```
Bertrand Chapleau
```

arXiv:1206.2135 Event shapes at large momentum transfer

- Event shapes characterize the event energy flow \rightarrow probes and tests the multi-jet topologies through the use of hadronic jets.
- Selected events are required to have $\frac{1}{2}$ H_{T,2} = $\frac{1}{2}$ (p_{T,jet 1} + p_{T,jet 2}) > 250 GeV
- Six observables studied, fully unfolded back to particle level.
 Shown here :
 - $y_{23} = p_{T,jet 3}^2 / H_{T,2}^2$
 - Aplanarity (~ amount of transverse momentum w.r.t. the plane formed by the two hardest jets)
- y_{23} well described by 2 \rightarrow 2 MC (Pythia, Herwig++)
- Herwig++ predicts more planar events



Bertrand Chapleau

Boosted Hadronic Objects

- Boosted production of heavy particles (e.g. : top, Higgs, W)
 → collimated decay products at high p_T
 Decay is best captured by a single large-R jet (anti-k_t R=1.0,
 Cambridge-Aachen R=1.2)
- QCD jets (induced by a single light quark/gluon) are an important background for searches involving boosted objects
 - Extensively studied with 2010 data : Jet mass and substructure of inclusive jets, arXiv:1203.4606, JHEP. Jet fragmentation and transverse profile: arXiv:1109.5816, EPJC.
- Wide variety of new jet substructure techniques studied with 2011 data and compared to LO and NLO+PS predictions at the reconstructed level
 - Jet grooming techniques : Trimming, Mass-drop filtering, pruning
 - Substructure observables : Mass, k_t splitting scales,

N-subjettiness

Bertrand Chapleau

250

200

150

100

50

Liaht auarks

neutrino

lepton

ATLAS Preliminary - Simulation

Pvthia Z' \rightarrow tt, t \rightarrow Wb

200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900

top p_ [GeV]

Тор

р_т

b quark

b quark

(q^{2.2} M)² 1.8

⊲1.6 1.4

1.2

0.8 0.6

0.4

0.2

ATLAS-CONF-2012-065/066

Large-R jets performance

- Jet grooming algorithms remove extraneous constituents in a jet
 → reveals the jet internal hard structure.
- An asset for large-R jets : mitigates the underlying event and pile up contributions (see ATLAS-CONF-2012-066).



Trimming in action. D. Krohn, J. Thaler, and L.-T. Wang, Jet trimming, JHEP 2010, arXiv:0912.1342 [hep-ph]



Jet mass (left) and first k_t splitting scale (right) for anti k_t R=1.0 jets with trimming applied, in inclusive QCD dijet events.

Good description by POWHEG in both cases, even in the tails.

Large-R jets performance

• Grooming preserves jet mass for jets with real hard substructure (e.g. boosted hadronic top decay)

 \rightarrow enhanced signal discrimination

• Qualitative effect of trimming **in** $t\bar{t}$ **events**, in data. Standard (resolved) semi-leptonic $t\bar{t}$ selection. **Jet mass** for the leading-p_T anti-k_t

R=1.0 jet (with p_T > 350 GeV)



Well contained boosted tops better resolved with trimmed jets. Increased discrimination between jets encompassing one, two or three partons.

ATLAS-CONF-2012-065/066



Bertrand Chapleau

arXiv:1206.5369

Properties of boosted jets

• Analysis performed using the 2010 dataset using high p_T standard (R=0.6) and large-R (R=1.0) anti-k_t jets.

 Several jet shapes measured and unfolded back to particle level. Shown here :

- Width (p_T weighted average of the distances between the jet's constituents and its axis)
- Angularity, for massive R=0.6 jets (measure of the symmetry in the energy flow inside a jet) Peak and maximum value

positions from direct calculations



Bertrand Chapleau

Jets @ $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$



• Inclusive jet pT (left) and dijet mass (right) spectrum for *pp* collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV for anti-k_t R=0.4 jets.

• Comparison with $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV 2011 data and to Pythia 6 (Pythia 8) MC predictions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV ($\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV).

 \rightarrow lower center of mass energy in 2011; therefore, lower cross section.

Bertrand Chapleau

Conclusion

• ATLAS has performed many precision measurements to test perturbative QCD predictions in a previously unexplored kinematic regime. Discussed here :

- Inclusive jet and dijet differential cross sections
- Flavour composition of dijet events
- Event shapes at high momentum transfer

 \rightarrow overall good data/theory agreement

• Gaining confidence in our understanding of jet substructure techniques with the ATLAS detector

- Jet mass, shapes, substructure observables measured in 2010 and 2011 data.
- Exhaustive number of new jet *grooming* algorithms tested.
- → Already used in current searches involving boosted particles production. Will become a key handle on background processes for many searches in 2012 and beyond.

Backup Slides



• High mass central dijet event collected in April 2012. Invariant dijet mass of 3.62 TeV

Inclusive and dijet cross sections of *b*-jets

- Measurement of jets containing a *b*-hadron, in 2010 data. Comparison with pQCD predictions.
- Cross check between two experimental methods for *b*tagging in the inclusive measurement : displaced vertices and muon tagging in jets.
- *b*-JES and *b*-jet tagging efficiency are the main sources of systematic uncertainty





 $b\overline{b}$ dijet cross section agrees with NLO predictions. Statistically more limited.

Good agreement of POWHEG for the double differential inclusive cross section. Some deviations observed for MC@NLO predictions.

arXiv:1109.6833, EPJC

Bertrand Chapleau

ATLAS-CONF-2012-065/066

Large-R jets performance

• Jet grooming algorithms removes extraneous constituents in a jet \rightarrow reveals the jet internal hard structure.

• An asset for large-R jets : mitigates the underlying event and pile up contributions.



Trimming [1] in action. [1] D. Krohn, J. Thaler, and L.-T. Wang, Jet trimming, JHEP 2010, arXiv:0912.1342 [hep-ph]



• Average jet mass as a function of the number of reconstructed vertices in fully simulated events for jets emanating from light quarks and boosted top quarks.

• Much reduced pile up dependence after trimming.

• Increased separation power.

Large-R jets performance



ATLAS-CONF-2012-065/066

• Jet mass (upper row) and first k_t splitting scale (bottom row) for anti- k_t R=1.0 jets with and without trimming applied, in inclusive QCD dijet events.

• Good description by POWHEG in both cases, even in the tails.

 Jet mass scale validated in situ by comparing to jets built out of tracks from the inner detector.
 Systematic uncertainty estimated at ~ 4%-8%





Jet mass and substructure

arXiv:1203.4606, JHEP 1205 (2012) 128



• Analysis performed using 2010 dataset using high p_T large-R jets. Only one primary reconstructed vertex was allowed \rightarrow no pile-up

- Jet mass shapes relatively well modelled
 - Pythia predictions \rightarrow softer spectrum
 - Herwig++ predictions \rightarrow harder spectrum
- Substructure variables are better reproduced by MC predictions than mass.



Jet mass and substructure

arXiv:1203.4606, JHEP 1205 (2012) 128



- Analysis performed using 2010 dataset using high p_T *large-R* jets. Only one primary reconstructed vertex was allowed \rightarrow no pile-up
- Split & Filtering procedure identifies hard splittings in a jet's clustering history and filters out the remaining soft components
 - improves significantly the MC/Data agreement.

Bertrand Chapleau