

#### HEAVY FLAVOR RESULTS FROM CMS

#### KEITH ULMER UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO on behalf of the CMS collaboration

ICHEP, Melbourne, Australia

July 6th, 2012

#### The CMS detector



7/6/12

#### Tracker and Muon performance



Discovery of 
$$\Xi_{b}^{*}$$
 baryon

![](_page_3_Figure_1.jpeg)

7/6/12

#### $=_{b}$ reconstruction

Search strategy to maximize Ξ<sub>b</sub> yield

$$\Xi_b^- \rightarrow J / \Psi(\mu^- \mu^+) \Xi^-(\Lambda \pi^-)$$
 with  $\Lambda \rightarrow p \pi^-$ 

- Selection cuts determined with optimization algorithm on data
  - Randomly vary selection and keep better combination
  - Select on track d<sub>0</sub>/o, vertex displacement significance, pointing angles, vertex confidences, and track and resonance p<sub>T</sub>
  - 30 variables in total
- Last step to add prompt π consistent with Ξ<sub>b</sub> direction, with p<sub>T</sub>>250 MeV

![](_page_4_Figure_8.jpeg)

Ξ<sup>\*</sup><sub>b</sub> signal

- Background dominated by random  $\Xi_{b}\pi^{+}$  combinations
  - Obtain with toy model from data shapes for p(Ξ<sub>b</sub>), p(π) and opening angle
  - Consistent with wrong-sign Q value distribution
- □ Significance determination from  $\ln(\mathcal{L}_{s+b}/\mathcal{L}_b) = 6.9\sigma$
- Confirmed with toys varying backgrounds within uncertainties including LEE = 5.7σ

Measured mass =

$$m(\Xi_{b}^{*}) = 5945.0 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.3 \pm 2.7$$
 (PDG) MeV

![](_page_5_Figure_8.jpeg)

7/6/12

## $\Lambda_{\rm b}$ production measurement

- $\Box$   $\Lambda_{\rm b}$  production measured in decays to  $J/\psi\Lambda$
- □ Yields and efficiencies computed in bins of  $p_T(\Lambda_b)$  and  $y(\Lambda_b)$  to obtain differential cross section

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

7/6/12

Keith Ulmer -- University of Colorado -- CMS

## $\Lambda_{\rm b}$ cross section compared to mesons

- □ New Λ<sub>b</sub> measurement allows for comparison to B<sup>+</sup>, B<sup>0</sup> and B<sub>s</sub> mesons
- Shape vs B p<sub>T</sub> shows interesting feature
  - Baryon spectrum falls faster than meson spectra
  - Effect in baryon vs meson hadronization
- Historically, hadronization fractions assumed to be constant, but LEP and Tevatron measurements disagree
- Discrepancy in baryon/ meson production could be explained by different p<sub>T</sub> spectra

7/6/12

![](_page_7_Figure_7.jpeg)

# $\Lambda_{\rm b}/\Lambda_{\rm b}$ asymmetry results

- Also measure yields and efficiencies as ratios between particles and antiparticles
  - $\blacksquare$  Use charge of higher momentum  $\Lambda$  track to identify the (anti)proton
- Results consistent with no asymmetry, within large uncertainties
- Tests baryon transport models from initial pp state

![](_page_8_Figure_5.jpeg)

# B<sub>c</sub><sup>+</sup> meson studies

- B<sup>+</sup><sub>c</sub> is ground state of bound bc system
- Offers access to two different heavy quarks
  - Branching ratio measurements help understand interplay between b and c decays
  - Lifetime measurement also tests decay model
- Large LHC dataset allows for 100's of reconstructed B<sub>c</sub>'s at CMS
  - Very good resolution ~20-25 MeV
  - Observed in two decay channels:  $B_c \rightarrow J/\psi\pi$  and  $B_c \rightarrow J/\psi3\pi$

![](_page_9_Figure_8.jpeg)

7/6/12

## Search for $D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

- $\square$  D<sup>0</sup> $\rightarrow$ µ<sup>+</sup>µ<sup>-</sup> highly suppressed in SM  $(\sim 10^{-13})$ , but enhanced in many NP scenarios
- Analysis strategy
  - Use D<sup>0</sup> tagged by combination with prompt  $\pi$  to make D<sup>\*</sup>

- Measure  $\frac{D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0(\mu^-\mu^+)\pi^+}{D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0(K^-\mu^+\nu)\pi^+}$  to cancel many systematic uncertainties in the ratio
- $\square$  Limitation: must use low  $p_{\tau}$  single  $\mu$ trigger (from 7 run periods with different thresholds in 2010/11data)
- Estimate of background in signal region determined from sidebands

![](_page_10_Figure_8.jpeg)

7/6/12

## $D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ results

No significant signal observed **CMS PAS BPH-11-017** Predicted background = 23 events Signal region yield = 23 events Determine 90% confidence UL's with CLs to be  $B(D^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) \le 5.4 \times 10^{-7} (90\% \text{ CL}).$ Comparison to other experiments **D** Best published limit: Belle  $< 1.4 \times 10^{-7}$ PRD, 81 091102 LHCb-CONF-2012-005 **D** Best preliminary limit: LHCb  $< 1.1 \times 10^{-8}$  $[0.6 - 8.1] \times 10^{-7}$ BaBar 2 sided limit: arXiv:1206.5419

Prospects for CMS: lots more data available, but requires new analysis strategy with double µ trigger

## Search for $B^{0}_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$

7/6/12

- □  $B^0_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  suppressed in SM, but highly sensitive to NP, such as high tan  $\beta$  SUSY or extended Higgs sectors
- Select pair of oppositely charged, displaced, isolated muons pointing to PV

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

3D

## $B^{0}_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ results

#### Observation consistent with background + SM signal in all 4 channels

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

## $B^{0}_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ 2011 LHC combination

- $\Box$  CMS, LHCb and ATLAS combined for  $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+$
- $\Box$  CMS and LHCb combined for  $B^0 \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+$  [CMS PAS BPH-12-009]

95% upper limits:

Computed with CLs

$$UL(B_s^0@95\% CL) < 4.2 \times 10^{-9}$$

 $UL(B^0@95\% CL) < 8.1 \times 10^{-10}$ 

All uncertainties treated as uncorrelated, except for f<sub>s</sub>/f<sub>d</sub>, which is taken to be 100% correlated between the measurements

#### World's best limits

□ Increasing tension with CDF result:  $BF(B_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{-}\mu^{+}) = 13^{+9}_{-7} \times 10^{-9}$ 

![](_page_14_Figure_10.jpeg)

#### Conclusion: Active flavor program at CMS

CMS

- □ First observation of new b baryon state, Ξ<sup>\*0</sup><sub>b</sub>
- Λ<sub>b</sub> production shows unexpected meson/baryon differences
- □ Search for B<sub>s</sub>→µµ closing in on SM sensitivity
- Many more measurements to come from CMS

![](_page_15_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_6.jpeg)

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsBPH

7/6/12

#### Extra slides

## Tracking efficiency

- Silicon tracker covers out to |η|<2.4 and down to track p<sub>T</sub> > 300 MeV
- Great track reconstruction efficiency
  - Measured in data with good agreement with simulation
  - ~100% for central muons
  - Hadron efficiency 85-95% due to tracks lost in interactions
  - Excellent displaced track reconstruction out to 50 cm displacement from beamline

7/6/12

![](_page_17_Figure_7.jpeg)

### Tracking performance

- $\square$  Track impact parameter resolution 25-200  $\mu m$ 
  - $\hfill\square$  Improves with higher  $p_T$  and smaller  $\eta$
- Track momentum resolution 0.6-3.0%
  - Improves with smaller η
- Provides good mass and lifetime resolution
  - $\blacksquare~$  For  $B^+{\rightarrow}J/\psi K^+$  decays mass resolution  ${\sim}30$  MeV and core  $c\tau$  resolution  ${\sim}30~\mu m$

![](_page_18_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### Muon reconstruction efficiency

- Muons reconstructed out to |η|<2.4 and down to p<sub>T</sub> > 3 GeV
- Muon identification
   efficiency plateaus to
   nearly 100% with
   turn on at low p<sub>T</sub>
- Trigger efficiency plateaus ~85%
- Low muon mis-ID rates measured in data
  - $\approx$ 0.1% for  $\pi$  and K

 $\square \approx 0.05\%$  for protons

![](_page_19_Figure_7.jpeg)

7/6/12

#### Heavy flavor triggers

7/6/12

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Use dedicated dimuon trigger paths for heavy flavor studies
- Exploit good momentum, impact parameter, mass and vertex resolution at trigger level to select interesting topologies
- Bandwidth restrictions are the main limitation for most measurements

### More tracking performance plots

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

22

\*

#### E b event selection algorithm

- $\Xi_{b}^{-}$  selection algorithm:
  - At every iteration:
    - Choose randomly 2 variables.
    - Randomly: tighten one, loosen the other.
    - Look at Ξ<sub>b</sub><sup>−</sup> mass distribution:
      - Signal region: 5.75 < M < 5.83 GeV
      - Side-bands: 5.69 < M < 5.75 or 5.83 < M < 5.89 GeV
    - Calculate: B = 2N<sub>side-bands</sub>/3 ; S = N<sub>signal</sub> B
  - Accept iteration if S does not decrease and:
    - S/sqrt(S+B) increases (then save the iteration) or
    - S/sqrt(S+B) decreases by at most r\*10% (r = uniform random number). In this case
      proceed but do not save the iteration.

Keith Ulmer -- University of Colorado -- CMS

7/6/12

 $\perp_{\rm b}$  event selection

A sampling of some cut values determined from the algorithm

■ After trigger and  $\Lambda$  reconstruction  $p_{\rm T}({\rm p}) > 1.0 \,{\rm GeV}, \, p_{\rm T}(\pi_{\Xi}) > 0.18 \,{\rm GeV}, \, p_{\rm T}(\Xi^-) > 1.3 \,{\rm GeV},$   $p_{\rm T}(\Xi^-_{\rm b}) > 9.8 \,{\rm or}\, 10.6 \,{\rm GeV}$  depending on whether  $|\eta(\Xi^-_{\rm b})| < 1.2 \,{\rm or}$  not,  $|\eta({\rm J}/\psi)| < 2.15, \, D_{\rm ip}/\sigma_{\rm Dip}({\rm p}) > 1.0, \, D_{\rm ip}/\sigma_{\rm Dip}(\pi_{\Lambda}) > 0.66,$   $L_{xy}/\sigma_{Lxy}(\Xi^-) > 2.8, \,{\rm CL}(\Lambda^0) > 2.5\%, \,{\rm CL}(\Xi^-_{\rm h}) > 0.72\%,$  $D_{\rm 3d}/\sigma_{\rm D3d}(\Xi^- - {\rm J}/\psi) < 3.1 \, D_{\rm 3d}/\sigma_{\rm D3d}(\Xi^-_{\rm b} - {\rm PV}) < 3.5$ 

7/6/12

# Ξ<sup>\*</sup><sub>b</sub> background shape

- □ Background dominated by random  $\Xi_{b}^{-}\pi^{+}$
- Background shape from wrong sign pions
  - Toy model from data shapes for p(Ξ<sub>b</sub>), p(π) and angle between Ξ<sub>b</sub> and π, assumed to be uncorrelated
  - Fit toy results for shape
  - Compares well with nominal wrong sign distribution

7/6/12

![](_page_24_Figure_6.jpeg)

## $\Lambda_{\rm b}$ cross section measurement

$\pi^{-}$ $\pi^{+}$ $\Im_{800}^{\circ}$ $Yield =$ $\nabla_{s=7 \text{ TeV}}$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\Lambda_{\mathrm{b}}}$	n <sub>sig</sub>	e
$1252 \pm 42$	(GeV)	events	(%)
$\mu^+$	10 - 13	$293 \pm 22$	$0.29\pm0.03$
J/Ψ	13 - 15	$240\pm18$	$0.79\pm0.08$
	15 - 18	$265\pm19$	$1.54\pm0.16$
$u^{-} \qquad \qquad$	18 - 22	$207\pm16$	$2.34\pm0.23$
0 <sup>1</sup>	22 - 28	$145\pm14$	$3.21\pm0.34$
m <sub>J/ΨΛ</sub> (GeV)	28 - 50	$87 \pm 11$	$3.96 \pm 0.50$
$\Box \Lambda_{b} \text{ reconstructed in decays to } J/\psi$	$ y^{\Lambda_b} $	n <sub>sig</sub>	e
$\begin{tabular}{l} & \Lambda_b \ reconstructed in decays to J/\psi \\ (\mu^+\mu^-) \Lambda(p\pi) \end{tabular}$	$ y^{\Lambda_b} $	n <sub>sig</sub> events	е (%)
<ul> <li>Λ<sub>b</sub> reconstructed in decays to J/ψ         (μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup>) Λ (pπ)</li> <li>Measure yield and efficiency in bins</li> </ul>	$\frac{ y^{\Lambda_b} }{0.0 - 0.3}$	$n_{\rm sig}$ events $233 \pm 17$	$\epsilon$ (%) 0.74 ± 0.09
<ul> <li>Λ<sub>b</sub> reconstructed in decays to J/ψ (μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup>) Λ(pπ)</li> <li>Measure yield and efficiency in bins</li> </ul>	$\frac{ y^{\Lambda_{\rm b}} }{0.0 - 0.3}$ 0.3 - 0.6	$n_{sig}$ events $233 \pm 17$ $256 \pm 18$	$\epsilon$ (%) 0.74 ± 0.09 0.77 ± 0.09
<ul> <li>Λ<sub>b</sub> reconstructed in decays to J/ψ (μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup>) Λ(pπ)</li> <li>Measure yield and efficiency in bins of p<sub>T</sub> and rapidity to determine</li> </ul>	$\frac{ y^{\Lambda_b} }{0.0 - 0.3}$ 0.3 - 0.6 0.6 - 0.9	$n_{sig}$ events $233 \pm 17$ $256 \pm 18$ $206 \pm 16$	$\epsilon$ (%) 0.74 ± 0.09 0.77 ± 0.09 0.81 ± 0.09
<ul> <li>Λ<sub>b</sub> reconstructed in decays to J/ψ (μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup>)Λ(pπ)</li> <li>Measure yield and efficiency in bins of p<sub>T</sub> and rapidity to determine differential cross section</li> </ul>	$\frac{ y^{\Lambda_b} }{0.0 - 0.3}$ $0.3 - 0.6$ $0.6 - 0.9$ $0.9 - 1.2$	$n_{sig}$ events $233 \pm 17$ $256 \pm 18$ $206 \pm 16$ $196 \pm 17$	$\epsilon$ (%) 0.74 ± 0.09 0.77 ± 0.09 0.81 ± 0.09 0.70 ± 0.08
<ul> <li>Λ<sub>b</sub> reconstructed in decays to J/ψ (μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup>) Λ(pπ)</li> <li>Measure yield and efficiency in bins of p<sub>T</sub> and rapidity to determine differential cross section</li> <li>Particle-antiparticle differences</li> </ul>	$\frac{ y^{\Lambda_b} }{0.0 - 0.3}$ $0.3 - 0.6$ $0.6 - 0.9$ $0.9 - 1.2$ $1.2 - 1.5$	$n_{sig}$ events 233 ± 17 256 ± 18 206 ± 16 196 ± 17 189 ± 17	$\epsilon$ (%) 0.74 ± 0.09 0.77 ± 0.09 0.81 ± 0.09 0.70 ± 0.08 0.67 ± 0.09
<ul> <li>Λ<sub>b</sub> reconstructed in decays to J/ψ (μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup>) Λ (pπ)</li> <li>Measure yield and efficiency in bins of p<sub>T</sub> and rapidity to determine differential cross section</li> <li>Particle-antiparticle differences studied, too</li> </ul>	$\frac{ y^{\Lambda_b} }{0.0 - 0.3}$ $0.3 - 0.6$ $0.6 - 0.9$ $0.9 - 1.2$ $1.2 - 1.5$ $1.5 - 2.0$	$n_{sig}$ events 233 ± 17 256 ± 18 206 ± 16 196 ± 17 189 ± 17 162 ± 18	$\epsilon$ (%) 0.74 ± 0.09 0.77 ± 0.09 0.81 ± 0.09 0.70 ± 0.08 0.67 ± 0.09 0.65 ± 0.09

7/6/12

## $\Lambda_{\rm b}$ cross section compared to mesons

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

- □ Historically, hadronization fractions assumed to be constant
- □ However, measurements between LEP and Tevatron not consistent
  - HFAG 2012: Tevatron (p<sub>T</sub>(b) ~10 GeV): f(b-baryon) = 0.212 ± 0.069

■ HFAG 2012: LEP ( $p_T(b) \sim 40 \text{ GeV}$ ): f(b-baryon) = 0.090 ± 0.015

Discrepancy in baryon/meson production measurements between Tevatron and LEP could be explained by different p<sub>T</sub> spectra

7/6/12

#### $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\mu^+\nu$ and $D^0 \rightarrow \mu^-\mu^+$ analyses

- very tight cuts on muons (excellent p<sub>T</sub> resolution and efficiency, large pseudorapidity coverage)
- tight cuts on kaon
- soft cuts on pion
- CL of primary vertex > 1%
- CL of secondary vertex > 1%
- for  $D^0 \rightarrow \mu \mu$  analysis:  $D^0$  pointing back to the primary
- L/S cut, that is the 3D-detachment between the primary and secondary vertices divided by its error (L/S > 3)
- D<sup>0</sup> candidate is combined with one track originating from the primary vertex to form D\*+

## All $B^{0}_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ selection cuts

Variable	Barrel	Endcap	units	comparison to old analysis
$p_{\perp\mu,1} >$	4.5	4.5	GeV	same
$p_{\perp \mu,2} >$	4.0	4.2	GeV	tighter in endcap
$p_{\perp B} >$	6.5	8.5	GeV	tighter in endcap
$\ell_{3d} <$	1.5	1.5	cm	tighter
$\alpha <$	0.050	0.030	rad	looser
$\chi^2/dof <$	2.2	1.8		looser
$\ell_{3d}/\sigma(\ell_{3d}) >$	13.0	15.0		looser
I >	0.80	0.80		redefined
$d_{ca}^0 >$	0.015	0.015	cm	redefined
$\delta_{3D} <$	0.008	0.008	cm	new
$\delta_{3D}/\sigma(\delta_{3D}) < 0$	2.000	2.000		new
$N_{trk} <$	2	2	tracks	new

#### Pileup independence

- □ Check influence of pileup on selection cuts with  $B^+ \rightarrow J / \Psi(\mu^- \mu^+) K^+$  events in data
- Confirm with MC studies
- No significant dependence in efficiency vs pileup out to ~30 PV's

![](_page_29_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### **Background estimation**

- Non-peaking background measured violation violation in data
  - Count events in B mass sidebands 4.80-5.20 GeV and 5.45-6.00 GeV
  - Interpolate to signal region with assumption of flat shape
- Peaking background obtained from MC with inputs from data

6/8/12

- B→hh backgrounds with two muons from misidentified charged hadrons peak in B mass
- Measure muon mis-ID rates in data from identified K and π from D<sup>(\*)</sup> and p from Λ samples

![](_page_30_Figure_7.jpeg)

- Use MC without muon selection cuts to simulate backgrounds and apply fake rate measurements from data
- Affects  $B^0$  more than  $B^0_s$  because backgrounds peak low

## $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ comparison with LHCb

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### LHCb advantages

- Better mass resolution: ~25 MeV vs ~35-70 MeV
- Higher trigger efficiency
- More sophisticated analysis: BDT selection, combine different S/B bins vs cut and count in 2 bins
- CMS advantages

7/6/12

- **u** Higher luminosity: Factor of  $\sim$ 5 in 2011, currently factor of >10 in 2012
- (More room for improvement in analysis technique)

## More $B^{0}_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ interpretation

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

MasterCode collaboration arXiv:1112.3564