Implications of a SM like Higgs for a natural NMSSM with low cutoff

Michael A. Schmidt

University of Melbourne - CoEPP

6th July 2012

in collaboration with T. Gherghetta, B. v. Harling, A. Medina [to appear soon]





Low-energy Supersymmetry



Gauge coupling unification



Solution to hierarchy problem



$$\Delta m_H^2 = \frac{\lambda_S}{16\pi^2} \left[\Lambda_{UV}^2 - 2m_S^2 \ln \frac{\Lambda_{UV}}{m_S} + \dots \right]$$
$$\Delta m_H^2 = -\frac{|\lambda_f|^2}{16\pi^2} \left[\Lambda_{UV}^2 + \dots \right]$$

DM candidate



Higgs mass prediction At tree level

 $m_{h^0} < m_Z |\cos 2\beta|$

CMSSM and Co.

| | | ATLAS SUSY Sea | arches* - 95% CL L | ower Limits (Status: | March 2012) | | | |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|-----|--|--|
| | | | | | <u> </u> | | | |
| MSUGRA/CMSSM : 0 | D-lep + j's + E _{7,miss} L=4.7 fb ⁻¹ | (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2012-033] | 1.40 Te | 🙀 q̃ = g̃ mass | ten an enal | | | |
| MSUGRA/CMSSM : 1 | I-lep + j's + E _{7,miss} L=4.7 fb ⁻¹ | (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2012-041] | 1.20 TeV | q̃ = g̃ mass | $\int Ldt = (0.03 - 4.7) \text{ fb}$ | · | | |
| MSUGRA/CMSSM : | multijets + ET,miss Let.7 fb1 | (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2012-037] | aso Gevi ĝim | lass (large m _o) | s = 7 Te | √ | | |
| Pheno model : 0 |)-lep + j's + E7,miss L=4.7 fb ⁻¹ | (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2012-033] | 1.38 Te | 1.38 TeV \tilde{q} mass $(m(\tilde{g}) < 2 \text{ TeV}, \text{ light } \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ ATLAS | | | | |
| Pheno model : 0 |)-lep + j's + E7,miss L=4.7 fb ⁻¹ | L=4.7 fb ⁻¹ (2011) (ATLAS-CONF-2012-033) 940 GeV \tilde{g} mass ($m(\tilde{q}) < 2$ TeV, light $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$) | | | | | | |
| $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$ Gluino med. $\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm} (\widetilde{g} \rightarrow q \overline{q} \widetilde{\chi}^{\pm}) : 1$ | I-lep + j's + E _{7.miss} | (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2012-041] | 900 Gev ĝin | 900 GeV \tilde{g} mass $(m(\chi_1^0) < 200 \text{ GeV}, m(\chi^{\pm}) = \frac{1}{2}(m(\chi^0) + m(\tilde{g}))$ | | | | |
| GMSB : 2- | lep OS _{SF} + E _{T,miss} L=1.0 fb ⁻¹ | (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2011-156] | 810 GeV g ma | ass (tanβ < 35) | 2 | | | |
| S GMSB | : 1-τ + j's + E _{T.miss} | (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2012-005] | 920 Gev ĝr | mass (tan β > 20) | | | | |
| GMSB | : 2-t + j's + E L=2.1 fb ⁻¹ | (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2012-002] | 990 GeV ĝ | mass (tan $\beta > 20$) | | | | |
| $GGM: \gamma\gamma + E_{T miss}$ | | (2011) [1111.4116] | 805 GeV g ma | ass $(m(\chi^0) > 50 \text{ GeV})$ | | | | |
| Gluino med. \tilde{b} $(\tilde{g} \rightarrow b \tilde{p} \chi^b)$: 0-lep + b-j's + $E_{\tau,miss}$ Gluino med. \tilde{t} $(\tilde{g} \rightarrow t \tilde{t} \chi^b)$: 1-lep + b-j's + $E_{\tau,miss}$ | | (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2012-003] | 900 GeV ĝ n | nass (m($\overline{\chi}_{_{*}}^{0})$ < 300 GeV) | | | | |
| | | (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2012-003] | 710 GeV g mas | us (m($\overline{\chi}_{1}^{0})$ < 150 GeV) | | | | |
| Gluino med. t (g→tt x+0) : 2-lep | (SS) + j'S + E7,miss L=2.1 fb ⁻¹ | (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2012-004] | 650 GeV ĝ mass | (m($\overline{\chi}_{1}^{0})$ < 210 GeV) | | | | |
| B Gluino med. t (g→tt x) | : multi-j's + ET.miss L=4.7 fb ⁻¹ | (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2012-037] | 830 GeV ĝm | ass (m($\overline{\chi}_{1}^{0}$) < 200 GeV) | | | | |
| $\stackrel{[i]}{=}$ Direct $\widetilde{b}\widetilde{b}$ $(\widetilde{b}_1 \rightarrow b \widetilde{\chi}^b_1)$ | : 2 b-jets + E7 miss L=2.1 fb ⁻¹ | (2011) [1112.3832] | 390 Gev b mass (m(∑) |) < 60 GeV) | | | | |
| Direct ft (GMSB) : Z(→ | II) + b-jet + E | (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2012-036] | 310 Gev T mass (115 < m(| (χ̃ ⁰) < 230 GeV) | | | | |
| () Direct gaugino (x ⁺ x ⁰ → 3l x ⁰) : 2-lep SS + E _{T miss} | | L=1.0 fb ⁻¹ (2011) [1110.0100] 170 GeV $\overline{\chi}_{+}^{\pm}$ mass $((m(\overline{\chi}_{+}^{0}) < 40 \text{ GeV}, \overline{\chi}_{+}^{0}, m(\overline{\chi}_{+}^{\pm}) = m(\overline{\chi}_{-}^{0}), m(\overline{1}, \overline{v}) = \frac{1}{2}(m(\overline{\chi}_{+}^{0}) + m(\overline{\chi}_{-}^{0})))$ | | | | | | |
| Direct gaugino $(\overline{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}\overline{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow 3l \overline{j})$ | (1): 3-lep + E7,miss L=2.1 fb ⁻¹ | L=2.1 fb ⁻¹ (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2012-023] 250 GeV $\overline{\chi}^{\pm}$ mass ($m(\overline{\chi}^0) < 170$ GeV, and as above) | | | | | | |
| 8 AN | ISB : long-lived $\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}_{4}$ L=4.7 fb ⁻¹ | 118 GeV χ [±] mass (1 < τ(χ [±]) < 2 ns, 90 GeV limit in [0.2,90] ns) | | | | | | |
| Stable massive particles (SMP) : R-hadrons | | (2010) [1103.1984] | 562 GeV g mass | | | | | |
| ed p | SMP : R-hadrons L=34 pb1 | (2010) [1103.1984] | 294 Gev b mass | | | | | |
| inve- | SMP : R-hadrons L=34 pb1 | (2010) [1103.1984] | 309 Gev t mass | | | | | |
| SMP : R-hadrons (Pixel det. only) | | (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2012-022] | 810 GeV g ma | ass | | | | |
| | | (2010) [1108.4495] 136 GeV T | mass | | | | | |
| RF | PV : high-mass eµ L=1.1 fb ⁻¹ | (2011) [1109.3089] | 1.32 TeV | ν _τ mass (λ' ₃₁₁ =0.10, λ ₃₁₂) | =0.05) | | | |
| Bilinear RPV : 1 | I-lep + j's + E _{7,miss} | (2011) [1109.6606] | 760 GeV $\tilde{q} = \tilde{g}$ | mass (ct _{1 sp} < 15 mm) | | | | |
| MSUGRA/CMSSM - BC1 RPV : | 4-lepton + ET,miss L=2.1 fb ⁻¹ | (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2012-035] | 1.7 | 7 TeV ĝ mass | | | | |
| Hypercolour scalar gluor | is : 4 jets, $m_{ij} = m_{kl}$ | L=34 pb ⁻¹ (2010) [1110.2033] 185 GeV sgluon mass (excl: m _{sg} < 100 GeV, m _{sg} = 140 ± 3 GeV) | | | | | | |
| | Ľ | | | | | | | |
| | | 10 ⁻¹ | 1 | 1 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | | Mass scale [Te | -V1 | | |

*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena shown

CMSSM and Co.



3

CMSSM and Co.



3

Saving SUSY [Many people...]

Drop one of the assumptions in the (C)MSSM

- give up on small fine-tuning
- \rightarrow landscape, anthropic principle, ...



Saving SUSY [Many people...]

Drop one of the assumptions in the (C)MSSM

- give up on small fine-tuning
- \rightarrow landscape, anthropic principle, . . .
 - Why did the LHC not discover SUSY?
- \rightarrow weaken collider constraints
 - compressed particle spectrum
 - R parity violation
 - → proton decay?
 - \rightarrow LSP no longer stable \rightarrow dark matter?



Saving SUSY [Many people...]

Drop one of the assumptions in the (C)MSSM

- give up on small fine-tuning
- \rightarrow landscape, anthropic principle, ...
 - Why did the LHC not discover SUSY?
- \rightarrow weaken collider constraints
 - compressed particle spectrum
 - R parity violation
 - → proton decay?
 - \rightarrow LSP no longer stable \rightarrow dark matter?

• Why is the Higgs heavy despite tree level relation $m_{h^0} < m_Z |\cos 2m{eta}|$?

- light stops with large $A_t \Rightarrow$ large mass splitting
- Extend MSSM to modify Higgs mass prediction
- \rightarrow e.g. at tree level in NMSSM: introduce gauge singlet S



Outline

1 Framework

2 Results

Outline

Framework

2 Result

Literature: NMSSM and 125/126 GeV Higgs

- "A Natural SUSY Higgs Near 126 GeV"
 L. J. Hall, D. Pinner and J. T. Ruderman. arXiv:1112.2703 [hep-ph]
 JHEP 1204, 131 (2012)
- "Higgs bosons near 125 GeV in the NMSSM with constraints at the GUT scale"
 U. Ellwanger and C. Hugonie.
 arXiv:1203.5048 [hep-ph]
- "The 125 GeV Higgs in the NMSSM in light of LHC results and astrophysics constraints" D. A. Vasquez, G. Belanger, C. Boehm, J. Da Silva, P. Richardson and C. Wymant. arXiv:1203.3446 [hep-ph]
- "A SM-like Higgs near 125 GeV in low energy SUSY: a comparative study for MSSM and NMSSM" J. Cao, Z. Heng, J. M. Yang, Y. Zhang and J. Zhu. arXiv:1202.5821 [hep-ph] JHEP 1203, 086 (2012)
- "NMSSM Higgs Benchmarks Near 125 GeV"
 S. F. King, M. Muhlleitner and R. Nevzorov. arXiv:1201.2671 [hep-ph]
 Nucl. Phys. B 860, 207 (2012)
- "The Constrained NMSSM and Higgs near 125 GeV"
 J. F. Gunion, Y. Jiang and S. Kraml. arXiv:1201.0982 [hep-ph]
 Phys. Lett. B 710, 454 (2012)
- "A Higgs boson near 125 GeV with enhanced di-photon signal in the NMSSM" U. Ellwanger. arXiv:1112.3548 [hep-ph] JHEP 1203, 044 (2012)
 "The fine-tuning of the generalised NMSSM"
 - G. G. Ross and K. Schmidt-Hoberg. arXiv:1108.1284 [hep-ph] "The generalised NMSSM at one loop: fine tuning and phenomenology" G. G. Ross, K. Schmidt-Hoberg and F. Staub. arXiv:1205.1509 [hep-ph]

Superpotential

$$W_{NMSSM} = \lambda SH_dH_u + \frac{\kappa}{3}S^3$$



Superpotential

$$W_{NMSSM} = \lambda SH_d H_u + \frac{\kappa}{3}S^3$$

Soft breaking terms

$$V_{soft} = m_{H_d}^2 |H_d|^2 + m_{H_u}^2 |H_u|^2 + m_s^2 |S|^2 + (a_\lambda S H_d H_u + rac{a_\kappa}{3} S^3 + h.c.)$$

Superpotential

$$W_{NMSSM} = \lambda SH_d H_u + \frac{\kappa}{3}S^3$$

Soft breaking terms

$$V_{soft} = m_{H_d}^2 |H_d|^2 + m_{H_u}^2 |H_u|^2 + m_s^2 |S|^2 + (a_\lambda S H_d H_u + rac{a_\kappa}{3} S^3 + h.c.)$$

Bound on lightest Higgs mass m_h

$$m_h^2 \le m_Z^2 \cos^2 2eta + \lambda^2 v^2 \sin^2 2eta o \left\{ egin{array}{c} m_Z^2 \cos^2 2eta & ext{large tan }eta \ \lambda^2 v^2 \sin^2 2eta & ext{small tan }eta \end{array}
ight.$$

• No gain for large tan eta compared to MSSM ightarrow small tan eta

Superpotential

$$W_{NMSSM} = \lambda SH_d H_u + \frac{\kappa}{3}S^3$$

Soft breaking terms

$$V_{soft} = m_{H_d}^2 |H_d|^2 + m_{H_u}^2 |H_u|^2 + m_s^2 |S|^2 + (a_\lambda S H_d H_u + rac{a_\kappa}{3} S^3 + h.c.)$$

Bound on lightest Higgs mass m_h

$$m_h^2 \le m_Z^2 \cos^2 2eta + \lambda^2 v^2 \sin^2 2eta o \left\{ egin{array}{c} m_Z^2 \cos^2 2eta & ext{large tan }eta \ \lambda^2 v^2 \sin^2 2eta & ext{small tan }eta \end{array}
ight.$$

• No gain for large $\tan \beta$ compared to MSSM \rightarrow small $\tan \beta$ \rightarrow relatively large $\lambda \gtrsim 0.6$ or additional stop loop contribution \rightarrow Landau pole below GUT scale \rightarrow low cutoff required

First studied by [Barbieri, Hall, Nomura, Rychkov (2006)] and denoted " λ SUSY"

- First studied by [Barbieri, Hall, Nomura, Rychkov (2006)] and denoted " λ SUSY"
- Does not solve hierarchy problem, but only little hierarchy problem

- First studied by [Barbieri, Hall, Nomura, Rychkov (2006)] and denoted " λ SUSY"
- Does not solve hierarchy problem, but only little hierarchy problem
- New physics at $\Lambda = 10$ TeV to solve the hierarchy problem

- First studied by [Barbieri, Hall, Nomura, Rychkov (2006)] and denoted " λ SUSY"
- Does not solve hierarchy problem, but only little hierarchy problem
- New physics at $\Lambda = 10$ TeV to solve the hierarchy problem
- e.g. within extra dimensional models



or Fat Higgs

[Harnik, Kribs, Larson, Murayama; Chang, Kilic, Mahbubani; Delgado, Tait; Birkedal, Chacko, Nomura]

- First studied by [Barbieri, Hall, Nomura, Rychkov (2006)] and denoted " λ SUSY"
- Does not solve hierarchy problem, but only little hierarchy problem
- New physics at $\Lambda = 10 \, {
 m TeV}$ to solve the hierarchy problem
- e.g. within extra dimensional models



or Fat Higgs

[Harnik, Kribs, Larson, Murayama; Chang, Kilic, Mahbubani; Delgado, Tait; Birkedal, Chacko, Nomura]

Our Study

- Aim: Find the "Golden Region" of small fine-tuning (better 10%)
- assuming "SM-like" Higgs with m_hpprox 126 GeV $[(124-127)\,{
 m GeV}]$

NMSSM with low Cutoff $\Lambda = 10 \text{ TeV} - \text{Our Study}$

Assumptions

- Fine tuning better 10% \Rightarrow $\Sigma < 10$
- 124 GeV $< m_h < 127$ GeV
- "SM-like" Higgs: $|R_{ZZh} 1| < 0.05$ and $|R_{u\bar{u}h} 1| < 0.05$
- no invisible Higgs decays
- no CP violation
- First two generations of squarks and all sleptons decoupled
- Neutralino LSP
- No Landau pole below cutoff scale $\Lambda = 10$ TeV

Fine-tuning Measure

$$\Sigma_{\xi}^{v} \equiv \left| \frac{d \log v^2}{d \log \xi} \right| = \left| \frac{\xi}{v^2} \frac{d v^2}{d \xi} \right| < \Sigma$$

[Barbieri, Giudice (1988)]

NMSSM with low Cutoff $\Lambda = 10 \text{ TeV} - \text{Our Study}$

Assumptions

- Fine tuning better 10% \Rightarrow $\Sigma < 10$
- 124 GeV $< m_h < 127$ GeV
- "SM-like" Higgs: $|R_{ZZh} 1| < 0.05$ and $|R_{u\bar{u}h} 1| < 0.05$
- no invisible Higgs decays
- no CP violation
- First two generations of squarks and all sleptons decoupled
- Neutralino LSP
- No Landau pole below cutoff scale $\Lambda = 10$ TeV

Fine-tuning Measure

$\left| \Sigma_{\xi}^{v} \equiv \left| rac{d \log v^{2}}{d \log \xi} ight| = \left| rac{\xi}{v^{2}} rac{d v^{2}}{d \xi} ight| < \Sigma$

[Barbieri, Giudice (1988)]

Analysis

- Markov-Chain Monte-Carlo
- modified version of NMHDECAY[Ellwanger, Gunion, Hugonie]

Analysis

Constraints

- LEP bounds on particle masses
- Flavour constraints
 - $b
 ightarrow s\gamma$
 - $B_s \rightarrow \mu \mu$
 - $B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_{\tau}$
 - $\Delta M_{s,d}$
- Electroweak precision tests (S and T):
 - Higgs sector
 - full Neutralino-Chargino sector
 - *T_{stsb}* from stop-sbottom
 - $\rightarrow S_{stsb}$ is small

[Barbieri, Hall, Nomura, Rychkov]

 Universe is not overclosed (not applied yet)

Analysis

Constraints

- LEP bounds on particle masses
- Flavour constraints
 - $b
 ightarrow s \gamma$
 - $B_s \rightarrow \mu \mu$
 - $B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_{\tau}$
 - $\Delta M_{s,d}$
- Electroweak precision tests (S and T):
 - Higgs sector
 - full Neutralino-Chargino sector
 - *T_{stsb}* from stop-sbottom
 - $ightarrow S_{stsb}$ is small [Barbieri, Hall, Nomura, Rychkov]
- Universe is not overclosed (not applied yet)

Range of input values

Input values

 $M_{1,2} < 3 \, {
m TeV}$ $700 \, {
m GeV} < M_3 < 3 \, {
m TeV}$ $m_{Q_3, \, u_3, \, d_3} < 3 \, {
m TeV}$ $|A_{\lambda, \, \kappa, \, t, \, b}| < 3 \, {
m TeV}$ $\lambda > 0$ $a_\kappa = \kappa \, A_\kappa \ge 0$ an eta > 0.5are specified at SUSY scale

 $M_{SUSY}^2 = rac{1}{4} \sum_{i = ilde{t}_{1,2}, \ ilde{b}_{1,2}} m_i^2$

11

Outline



Higgs couplings



13

Higgs couplings II







• 0.6 $\lesssim\lambda\lesssim$ 2.3 and max $\kappa<$ max $\lambda\Leftarrow$ running of κ stronger than λ





0.6 ≤ λ ≤ 2.3 and max κ < max λ ⇐ running of κ stronger than λ
 λ ~ κ [Larsen,Nomura,Roberts] because EWSB requires B_μ ~ μ²:

$$B_{\mu}=\mu\left(A_{\lambda}+rac{\kappa}{\lambda}\mu
ight)$$





0.6 ≤ λ ≤ 2.3 and max κ < max λ ⇐ running of κ stronger than λ
 λ ~ κ [Larsen,Nomura,Roberts] because EWSB requires B_μ ~ μ²:

$$B_{\mu}=\mu\left(A_{\lambda}+rac{\kappa}{\lambda}\mu
ight) ,$$

• $\tan \beta \lesssim 4.5$ [Barbieri, Hall, Nomura, Rychkov] because large $\tan \beta$ \Rightarrow large higgsino-singlino mixing ($\sim \lambda \tan \beta$) \Rightarrow large contribution to T parameter $A_{\lambda} - A_{\kappa}$



ullet small A terms: $A_\kappa \lesssim A_\lambda \lesssim$ 800 GeV

Stop masses



- $m_{ ilde{t}_2} \lesssim 2.5 \, {
 m TeV}$
- naturalness does not require light stops
- consistent with results obtained for $W_{NMSSM} = \lambda SH_uH_d + \hat{\mu}H_uH_d + \frac{M}{2}S^2$ [Hall, Pinner, Ruderman (2011)]

What is light? – Higgs sector



• one CP odd Higgs \lesssim 400 GeV • at least two CP even Higgs $\lesssim 1\,{
m TeV}$

What is light? – Neutralino-Chargino sector



• imposed condition of a neutralino LSP: $m_{\chi^{\pm}} > m_{\chi^{0}_{1}}$

- several light neutralinos (< 1 TeV)
- lightest chargino $m_{\chi^{\pm}} < 700 \,\text{GeV}$

Outline

 There is a finite region of parameter space with small fine-tuning, i.e. better than 10%

- There is a finite region of parameter space with small fine-tuning, i.e. better than 10%
- \bullet small tan $oldsymbol{eta}$: $1\lesssim$ tan $oldsymbol{eta}\lesssim$ 4.5
- relatively large λ and $\kappa \sim \lambda$ preferred: 0.6 $\lesssim \lambda \lesssim$ 2.3
- \rightarrow low cutoff required
 - small A terms: $A_\kappa \lesssim A_\lambda \lesssim$ 800 GeV

- There is a finite region of parameter space with small fine-tuning, i.e. better than 10%
- ullet small tan eta: $1\lesssim$ tan $eta\lesssim$ 4.5
- \bullet relatively large λ and $\kappa \sim \lambda$ preferred: 0.6 $\lesssim \lambda \lesssim$ 2.3
- \rightarrow low cutoff required
 - small A terms: $A_\kappa \lesssim A_\lambda \lesssim$ 800 GeV
 - ullet stop masses can be large \sim 2 TeV

- There is a finite region of parameter space with small fine-tuning, i.e. better than 10%
- \bullet small tan $oldsymbol{eta}$: $1\lesssim$ tan $oldsymbol{eta}\lesssim$ 4.5
- ullet relatively large λ and $\kappa\sim\lambda$ preferred: $0.6\lesssim\lambda\lesssim2.3$
- \rightarrow low cutoff required
 - small A terms: $A_\kappa \lesssim A_\lambda \lesssim$ 800 GeV
 - ullet stop masses can be large \sim 2 TeV
 - naturalness does not require light stops
- ightarrow possibly no coloured particles below 1 TeV

- There is a finite region of parameter space with small fine-tuning, i.e. better than 10%
- ullet small tan eta: $1\lesssim$ tan $eta\lesssim$ 4.5
- ullet relatively large λ and $\kappa\sim\lambda$ preferred: 0.6 $\lesssim\lambda\lesssim$ 2.3
- \rightarrow low cutoff required
 - small A terms: $A_\kappa \lesssim A_\lambda \lesssim$ 800 GeV
 - ullet stop masses can be large \sim 2 TeV
 - naturalness does not require light stops
- ightarrow possibly no coloured particles below 1 TeV
- \Rightarrow difficult to test the parameter space of natural SUSY at the LHC

Thank you very much for your attention.

Backup Slides

Higgs mass



large Higgs mass m_h requires large λ and small tan β
 Higgs defined as CP even scalar with largest H_u component (not necessarily lightest CP even scalar)

Gluino mass



Neutralinos and T parameter

Neutralinos

| (| M_1 | 0 | $-\cos\beta\sin\theta_W m_Z$ | $\sin eta \sin 	heta_W m_Z$ | 0) |
|---|-------|-------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | M_2 | $\coseta\cos 	heta \cos 	heta_W m_Z$ | $-\sineta\cos\theta_W m_Z$ | 0 |
| | | | 0 | $-\mu$ | $-\lambda v \sin oldsymbol{eta}$ |
| | | | $-\mu$ | 0 | $-\lambda v \coseta$ |
| | | | | | $-2rac{\kappa}{\lambda}\mu$ / |

in gauge-eigenbasis $\psi^0 = (\tilde{B}, \tilde{W}^3, \tilde{H}^0_d, \tilde{H}^0_u, \tilde{S})$

• $\tan \beta \lesssim 4.5$ limited by stop contribution to T [Barbieri, Hall, Nomura, Rychkov] \rightarrow Higgsino-Singlino mixing restricted