Heavy quark photoproduction at HERA

Michel Sauter Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg for the H1 and ZEUS Collaborations

ICHEP 2012

36th International Conference on High Energy Physics 4-11 July 2012, Melbourne, Australia



Motivation to measure heavy flavour production

- Charm and beauty quarks at HERA are mainly produced in Photon-Gluon-Fusion → sensitive to the gluon in the proton.
- Hard scales for perturbative QCD:
 - $m_{c,b}^{2}, p_{T}^{2}, Q^{2}$
 - > multi-scale problem, for example combined to $\mu_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(m_{b,c}^2 + p_T^2)}$
- Interpretation of heavy flavour measurements:
 - Use the pQCD calculations and constrain the gluon density of the proton.
 - Take the gluon density from elsewhere and test the consistency of the pQCD calculation.



Two kinematic regimes:

- Photoproduction: $Q^2 \approx 0 \text{ GeV}^2$
- Deep Inelastic Scattering: Q² > 1 GeV² (scattered electron detected)

QCD scheme:

- Massive scheme Fixed Flavour Number Scheme (FFNS):
 - c and b quarks generated dynamically via boson-gluon-fusion.
 - c and b quarks treated massive.
 - Expected to be valid for small scales $\mu^2 \approx m_{\rm hc}^2$

QCD predictions:

- QCD LO + Parton shower Monte Carlo generators:
 - Collinear factorization, DGLAP evolution (PYTHIA).
 - k_{T} factorization, CCFM evolution (CASCADE).
 - Used for data corrections and model comparisons.
- QCD NLO calculations:
 - Massive scheme, NLO(α_s^2):
 - FMNR
 - MC@NLO

Used for comparisons and extrapolations to full phase space of beauty production.

Tagging methods for heavy flavours at HERA

- Rates at HERA behaved like $\sigma(b) : \sigma(c) : \sigma(uds) \approx 1 : 50 : 2000$
- Charm and beauty enrichment is possible with:
 - 1) Full reconstruction
 - Only possible for charm at HERA, eg. $D^* \rightarrow K\pi\pi$.
 - 2) Lepton tagging: Use semileptonic b/c decay channels
 - > look for μ or e , high BR(c,b→ lepton + anything)
 - 3) p_T^{rel} tagging : b/c quark have large masses
 - look for decay leptons with a high transverse momentum w.r.t the b quark flight direction.
 - 4) Lifetime tagging: b/c quark have long lifetimes:
 - look for displaced vertices.
 - > look for tracks with large impact parameters δ .
 - 5) Secondary vertex mass tagging: long lifetime and large masses
 - look for high secondary vertex masses.

Methods used in the analyses discussed today:

- D* analysis: D* reconstruction.
- Low p_{T} analysis: 2 electrons.
- Muon analysis: 1μ for p_T^{rel} tag, lifetime with large impact parameters δ .
- Inclusive analysis: lifetime with displaced vertices, large secondary vertex masses.









- Very high precision of the data, compared to the uncertainties of the NLO predictions.
- NLO predicted shapes less sensitive to theoretical uncertainties, generally show a reasonable agreement with the data.

DESY-11-248, Eur. Phys. J. C72 (2012) 1995

Photoproduction of D* and two jets

D* analysis



Data sample: $\mathcal{L}=93 \text{ pb}^{-1}$

Phase Space $Q^2 < 2GeV^2$, $p_T^{D*} > 2.1GeV$ 2 jets with: $p_T^{jet 1} > 3.5 GeV$

• Azimuthal correlation between the two jets, $\Delta \Phi$:



• Fraction of the photon energy entering the hard interaction (direct vs resolved), x_{y}^{obs} :

$$x_{\gamma}^{obs} = \frac{\sum_{Jet1} (E - p_z) + \sum_{Jet2} (E - p_z)}{\sum_{h} (E - p_z)}$$



• MC@NLO predictions below the data for resolved photons, direct contribution reasonably well-described in normalization, shape not well described.

DESY-11-248, Eur. Phys. J. C72 (2012) 1995

Heavy quark photoproduction at HERA

6

Low p_T analysis

Focus of the measurement:

- Low $p_T(b)$ momentum
- Low experimental thresholds
- > Extraction of the b-cross section from two low p_T -electrons, $p_T(e) \ge 1$ GeV

Trigger for low p_{T} -Electrons:

Combination of calorimeter (Jet Trigger) and tracker (Fast Track Trigger):

- Topological match
- Cut on $E_{T,JT}/p_{T,FTT}$

Data sample: $\mathcal{L}=48 \text{ pb}^{-1}$



A. W. Jung et al., Proc. 15th IEEE-NPSS Real-Time Conference, (2007) 1.B. Olivier et al. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 641 (2011) 58.

Offline Electron Identification:

Combination of:

- calorimeter shower profiles
- dE/dx measured in the tracker
- ≻ ~90% efficiency at a rejection of ~99%



Low p_{T} analysis

Heavy Flavour Tagging

- Exploit di-electron correlations: •
 - Invariant mass m_{ee}
 - Azimuthal angle $\Delta \phi_{ee}$

γ

Charge product q(e1)*q(e2)



Heavy Flavour Tagging

- Exploit di-electron correlations:
 - Invariant mass m_{ee}
 - Azimuthal angle $\Delta \phi_{ee}$
 - Charge product q(e1)*q(e2)
- An additional background region • (open electron identification cuts) constrains uds.
- Matrix unfolding of the • differential beauty cross section (similar to 2d template fit).

γ

 $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$ mass peak



Low p_r analysis

Differential beauty cross section as • function of the mean b quark momentum.



DESY-12-072, Submitted to EPJC

Michel Sauter

•

Muon analysis



Data sample: $\mathcal{L}=179 \text{ pb}^{-1}$

Phase Space Events with:

- 1 muon, $p_T^{\mu} > 2.5 \text{ GeV}$
- 2 jets, $p_T^{jet \ 1(2)} > 7$ (6) GeV

Heavy Flavour Tagging

- Momentum relative to μ jet, p_T^{rel} .
- Impact parameter δ .
- 2d template fit.





Photoproduction of b- and c-jets with muons

Muon analysis

- Simultaneous measurement of differential charm and beauty jet cross sections.
- Azimuthal angle difference of jets $\Delta \phi^{jj} \rightarrow$ sensitive to higher orders.



- The data are in agreement with NLO calculation (MC@NLO).
- $\Delta \phi^{ij}$ described by all models (LO, NLO).

DESY-12-059, accepted by EPJC

Inclusive analysis



Data sample: $\mathcal{L}=130 \text{ pb}^{-1}$

Phase Space Events with at least 2 jets with: $p_T^{jet 1(2)} > 7$ (6) GeV

Heavy Quark tagging Reconstruction of secondary vertices:

- Decay length significance $S = DL / \sigma(DL)$
- Mass of tracks associated with the secondary vertex, m_{vtx}

Flavour separation: Fit MC templates for mirrored DL significance to data



ZEUS

• Inclusive differential heavy flavour cross sections as function of p_T^{jet} and η^{jet} .



• Good agreement between the data the LO MC (Pythia) and the NLO prediction (FMNR).

DESY-11-067, Eur. Phys. J C72 (2011) 1659







- Many measurements agreeing with each other over a wide $p_{T}(b)$ range.
- General NLO calculation (FMNR) consistent with data.

- Heavy flavour production at HERA allows to test QCD at different scales.
- Four new heavy flavour photoproduction measurements of ZEUS and H1 using different experimental techniques and having different systematics are in good agreement.
- The data is in general in agreement with NLO pQCD predictions.

Backup



• "Measurement of Inclusive and Dijet D* Meson Cross Sections in Photoproduction at HERA"

DESY-11-248, H1 Collab., F.D. Aaron et al., Eur. Phys. J. C72 (2012) 1995

- "Measurement of heavy-quark jet photoproduction at HERA" DESY-11-067, ZEUS Collaboration; H. Abramowicz et al., Eur. Phys. J C72 (2011) 1659
- "Measurement of Beauty and Charm Photoproduction using Semi-muonic Decays in Dijet Events at HERA" DESY-12-059, H1 Collab., F.D. Aaron et al., Accepted by EPJC
- "Measurement of Beauty Photoproduction near Threshold using Di-electron Events with the H1 Detector at HERA" DESY-12-072, H1 Collab., F.D. Aaron et al., Submitted to EPJC

The HERA ep collider (1992 – 2007) at DESY in Hamburg

• ep collider:

Michel Sauter

- e^{\pm} energy: 27.6 GeV
- p energy: 920 GeV
- Centre of mass energy: 319 GeV
- 2 collider experiments: H1 and ZEUS
- Integrated luminosity: $\sim 0.5 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ (per experiment)





ZEUS

Low p_T analysis

Heavy Flavour Tagging

- An additional background region (open electron identification cuts) constrains uds.
- Electrons are identified by
 - Electron discriminator, D_{ele}
 - Isolation criterion, R_{E,cone}
- Definition of the background region:





Open cut