Centrality in p-A collisions with the ALICE ZDCs: resuming old works and looking for new ideas!

> C. Oppedisano for the ALICE Collaboration





Centrality in p-A interactions can be defined through the number of collisions  $N_{coll}$ Inclusive measurement of charged particle multiplicity can be poorly correlated with  $N_{coll}$ 

Measure particle multiplicity vs. centrality 
independent method to estimate centrality

At fixed target experiments centrality has been determined detecting slow nucleons

(classification from emulsion experiments)

**Gray** nucleons **>** soft nucleons knocked out by wounded nucleons

Black nucleons ➡ low energy target fragments

SLOW NUCLEONS	β [c units]	p [MeV/c]	E <sub>kin</sub> [MeV]
Black	0 ÷ 0.25	0 ÷ 250	0 ÷ 30
Gray	0.25 ÷ 0.70	250 ÷ 1000	30 ÷ 400









Results from existing experimental emulsion and fixed target results on slow nucleon production in hadron-nucleus interaction [F. Sikler, hep-ph/0304065]

multiplicity of produced shower particles is correlated with the number of emitted slow particles





## SLOW NUCLEONS & N<sub>coll</sub>





Relationship between Ncoll and Ngray

[I. Chemakin et al., Phys. Rev. C 60 024902 (1999)]

➡ different models considered, E910 reproduce experimental data assuming





## SLOW NUCLEON EMISSION MODEL



#### [F. Sikler, hep-ph/0304065]

- ➡ features of produced particles are highly independent of projectile energy from 1 GeV to 1 TeV
- slow nucleons emission dictated by nuclear geometry
- ➡ kinematical distributions described by independent statistical emission from a moving frame
- $\Rightarrow$  isotropic emission from a source moving with velocity  $\beta$
- number distribution of black/gray nucleons follows binomial distributions

From N<sub>coll</sub> to N<sub>gray</sub> ➡ distribution of the number of projectile collisions from Glauber model ➡ probability distribution of the number of gray nucleons from geometric model



From  $N_{coll}$  to  $N_{black}$   $\Rightarrow$  emission from equilibrated nucleus

black nucleon multiplicity connected to target excitation

$$\implies \overline{N}_{black} \propto \overline{N}_{coll}$$

Minimum bias p-A collision ➡

$$\overline{N}_{\text{black}} \approx 0.08 \text{A}$$
  $\overline{N}_{\text{gray}} \approx 1.2 \text{A}^{1/3}$ 

Centrality selected collisions on Pb target: values (per collision) →

$$\overline{N}_{\text{black}} \approx 4N_{\text{coll}} \quad \overline{N}_{\text{gray}} \approx 2N_{\text{coll}}$$

pA@LHC, 5 Jun 2012



## **EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS (I)**



### experiments with lighter ions (O, S) report a saturation effect in N<sub>black</sub> vs. N<sub>gray</sub>



Saturation values  $\Rightarrow < N_{black} > = 12$  for  $N_{grav} > 7$ 

No experimental data for Pb nuclei Supposing the number of emitted slow nucleons proportional to the target nucleus thickness **→** rescaled values for Pb nucleus

 $<N_{black}>$  28 for  $N_{gray}>$  15

the gray track angular distribution is strongly forward peaked



[M. L. Cherry *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **50** 4272 (1994)]





#### ALICE ZDCs

- placed at 0° w.r.t LHC axis, ~112 m far from IP on both sides, quartz fibre calorimeters
- ➡ on both A and C sides we have a proton (ZP) and a neutron (ZN) ZDC

### The ZDC system is completed by:

• 2 small (7x7x21) cm<sup>3</sup> EM calorimeters (ZEM1, ZEM2) placed at ~7.5 m from the IP, at ±8 cm from LHC axis, only on A side covering the range  $4.8 < \eta < 5.7$ 









HIJING + slow nucleon model generator (saturation and forward peaked distribution)



#### QUESTIONS

- what generator is better to use for p-A to have both the nucleus and the proton accurately simulated?
- $\Rightarrow$  how to take into account the EM processes (particularly important for  $\gamma$ -p)







### ZN + ZP calorimeter energy spectrum for minimum bias p-A collisions at $p_p = 4 \text{ TeV}$





### p-A MC (III)





not more than 3/4 centrality classes can be defined

inputs for the slow nucleon model and more in general suggestions about the generators to be used are more than welcome!





## **EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS (I)**





Polynomial model is the more accurate to determine centrality (<v>)



### **SLOW NUCLEON MODEL**



Slow nucleons momentum distributions can be parametrized by Maxwell-Boltzmann distributions

 $\blacklozenge$  particles emitted isotropically from a source moving with velocity  $\beta$ 

Invariant cross section

$$=\frac{d^{3}\sigma}{dp^{3}} \propto \exp\left(\frac{-\mathsf{E}_{kin}}{\mathsf{E}_{0}}\right)$$

Black nucleons emitted from a stationary source  $\Rightarrow \beta = 0$ ,  $E_0 = 5$  MeV Black nucleons emitted from a frame moving slowly in beam direction  $\Rightarrow \beta = 0.05$ ,  $E_0 = 50$  MeV

From N<sub>coll</sub> to N<sub>gray</sub> Glauber model assuming Wood-Saxon nuclear density ⇒ distribution of number of projectile collisions in the nucleus Geometric model ⇒ probability distribution of number of slow nucleons

From N<sub>coll</sub> to N<sub>black</sub> Black nucleons production described by thermodynamic or statistical models (remnants undergoes equilibration before breakup)

Each collision provides independent production of prompt gray nucleons with identical excitation of the nucleus, leading to the emission of black nucleons





# PA MC RESULTS (I)



ZN



pA@LHC, 5 Jun 2012