Hard probes to diagnose the QGP at the LHC

- LHC vis-a-vis fixed target program and RHIC
- expectations initial condition
- diagnozing properties of the QGP with
 - quarkonia
 - jets
 - heavy quarks

(from ALICE point of view)



Where are we 20 years after the start of the high energy heavy ion program?

CERN Press Release Feb. 2000: New State of Matter created at CERN



At a special seminar on 10 February, spokespersons from the experiments on CERN* 's Heavy Ion programme presented compelling evidence for the existence of a new state of matter in which quarks, instead of being bound up into more complex particles such as protons and neutrons, are liberated to roam freely.

Johanna Stachel

RUPRECHT-KARLS-UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG

BNL press release April 2005: RHIC Scientists Serve Up "Perfect " Liquid New state of matter more remarkable than predicted – raising many new questions

results of first 3 years summarized in 4 large papers:

Nuclear Physics A757 (2005) nucl-ex/0410003 (PHENIX) nucl-ex/0410020 (BRAHMS) nucl-ex/0410022 (PHOBOS) nucl-ex/0501009 (STAR) and references therein



UPRECHT-KARLS-UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG

task of heavy ion program at LHC

- unambiguous proof of QGP
- determine properties of this new state of matter

equation of state – energy density ↔ temperature ↔ density ↔ pressure heat capacitance /entropy – number degrees of freedom viscosity (Reynolds number) – flow properties under pressure gradient velocity of sound – Mach cone for supersonic particle opacity / index of refraction / transport coeff. - parton-energy loss excitations / quasi particles - correlations susceptibilities – fluctuations characterisation of phase transition unusual quantities in

particle physics – but we want to characterize matter!

• be open for the unexpected

. . . .

expected initial conditions in central nuclear collisions at LHC

initial conditions from pQCD+saturation of produced gluons

$$N_{AA}(\mathbf{0}, p_0, \Delta y = 1, \sqrt{s}) \cdot \pi/p_0^2 = \pi R_A^2$$

using pQCD cross sections find for central PbPb at LHC $p_0 = p_{sat} = 2 \text{ GeV}$ and a formation time of $_0=1/p_{sat}=0.1$ and with Bjorken formula:

 $\varepsilon_0 = dE_t/d\eta/(\tau_0 \pi R^2)$ w. Jacobian $d\eta/dz = \tau_0$

as compared to RHIC: more than order of magnitude increase in initial energy density initial temperature $T_0 \approx 1$ TeV (factor 2-3 above RHIC)



expected evolution of QGP fireball at LHC

after fast thermalization hydrodynamic expansion of fireball and cooling T $\propto 1/3$ hadronization starts at when T_c is reached (165 MeV) duration hadronization: # degrees of freedom drops by factor 3.5

-> volume has to grow accordingly -> 3-4 fm/c

initial N_{AA} determines final multiplicity estimate (Eskola) $dN_{ch}/d = 2600$ overall several 10 k hadrons produced 'macroscopic state'



hadrochemical freeze-out points and the phase diagram AGS to RHIC energies

A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redich, J. Stachel, Nucl. Phys. A772 (2006) 167



Expectation of thermal parameters for LHC from SPS and RHIC systematics:

$$T=161\pm4$$
 MeV and $\mu_b=0.8^{+1.2}_{-0.6}$ MeV

Table 1. Predictions of the thermal model for hadron ratios in central Pb+Pb collisions at LHC. The numbers in parantheses represent the error in the last digit(s) of the calculated ratios.

π^-/π^+	K^-/K^+	$ar{p}/p$	$ar{\Lambda}/\Lambda$	$\bar{\Xi}/\Xi$	$\bar{\Omega}/\Omega$
1.001(0)	0.993(4)	$0.948^{-0.013}_{+0.008}$	$0.997^{-0.011}_{+0.004}$	$1.005_{+0.001}^{-0.007}$	1.013(4)
2 0					o /
p/π^+	K^+/π^+	K^-/π^-	Λ/π^{-}	Ξ^{-}/π^{-}	Ω^{-}/π^{-}
0.074(6)	0.180(0)	0.179(1)	0.040(4)	0.0058(6)	0.00101(15)

interesting question: what about strongly decaying resonances – sensitive to existence of hadronic fireball after hadronization

$$\frac{\phi/K^{-}}{0.137(5)} \frac{K^{*0}/K_{S}^{0}}{0.318(9)} \frac{\Delta^{++}/p}{0.216(2)} \frac{\Sigma(1385)^{+}/\Lambda}{0.140(2)} \frac{\Lambda^{*}/\Lambda}{0.075(3)} \frac{\Xi(1530)^{0}/\Xi^{-}}{0.396(7)}$$

charmonia as QGP signature

* T. Matsui and H. Satz (PLB178 (1986) 416) predict J/ψ suppression in QGP due to Debye screening

★ significant suppression seen in central PbPb at top SPS energy (NA50) in line with QGP expectations

J.P. Blaizot, P.M. Dinh, J.Y. Ollitrault, Phys.Rev.Lett.85(2000)4012 Dissolution in QGP at critical density n_c (dashes) and with energy density fluctuations (solid)

 $n_c = 3.7 / \text{fm}^2$



 $n_{c1}=3.3$ and $n_{c2}=4.2/\text{fm}^2$

 but: at hadronization of QGP J/ψ can form again from deconfined quarks, in particular if number of cc pairs is large;N_{J/ψ} ~N_{cc}²
 (P. Braun-Munzinger and J.Stachel, PLB490 (2000) 196)

what happens at higher beam energy when more and more charm-anticharm quark pairs are produced?



low energy: few c-quarks per collision \rightarrow suppression of J/ ψ high energy: many" \rightarrow enhancementunambiguous signature for QGP!

quarkonium production through statistical hadronization

assume: all charm quarks are produced in initial hard scattering; number not changed in QGP • hadronization at T_c following grand canonical statistical model used for hadrons with light

valence quarks (fugacity g_c to fix number of charm quarks) $N_{c\bar{c}}^{direct} = \frac{1}{2}g_c V(\sum_i n_{D_i}^{therm} + n_{\Lambda_i}^{therm}) + g_c^2 V(\sum_i n_{\psi_i}^{therm}) + \dots$ and for $N_{c,\bar{c}} << 1 \rightarrow$ canonical: $N_{c\bar{c}}^{dir} = \frac{1}{2} g_c N_{oc}^{therm} \frac{I_1(g_c N_{oc}^{therm})}{I_0(g_c N_{cc}^{therm})}$ obtain: $N_D = N_D^{therm} \cdot g_c \cdot \frac{I_1}{I_0}$ and $N_{J/\psi} = N_{J/\psi}^{therm} \cdot g_c^2$ and all other charmed hadrons hadrons

additional input parameters: $V, N_{c\bar{c}}^{dir}(pQCD)$

P. Braun-Munzinger, J. Stachel, Phys. Lett. B490 (2000) 196 and Nucl. Phys. A690 (2001) 119 A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich, J. Stachel, Nucl. Phys. A715 (2003) 529c and Phys. Lett. B571 (2003) 36 and nucl-th/0611023 Phys. Lett. B, in print M. Gorenstein et al., hep-ph/0202173; A. Kostyuk et al., Phys. Lett. B531 (2001) 225; R. Rapp and L. Grandchamp, hep-ph/0305143 and 0306077

Johanna Stachel

input: open charm pQCD and data

FONLL M. Cacciari et al., hep-ph/0502203



measured values for RHIC energy: PHENIX somewhat larger than central value of pQCD predictions but well within band STAR factor 2 above large uncertainties, need better data!

comparison of model predictions to RHIC data: centrality dependence and rapidity distribution

P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich, J. Stachel, nucl-th/0611023 Phys. Lett. B, in print



 good agreement, no free parameters
 but need for good open charm measurement obvious (lesson for LHC as well)

but there is a more revealing normalization:





Transverse momentum distributions



Expectation for charm/beauty production at LHC from pQCD

following Cacciari et al., hep-ph/0502203



energy dependence of quarkonium production in statistical hadronization model

A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich, J. Stachel, nucl-th/0611023, PLB in print



centrality dependence and enhancement beyond pp value will be fingerprint of statistical hadronization at LHC -> direct signal for deconfinement

predictions for charmonium rapidity and centrality distributions at LHC



Particle identification by dE/dx - ALICE TPC



Johanna Stachel

ALICE π rejection via TPC dE/dx and TRD



From test beam data: at 2 GeV and 90 % e eff $\rightarrow 10^5 \pi$ rejection

charmonia in ALICE at mid-rapidity



Good mass resolution and signal to background expect w full TRD and trigger 2500 Upsilon per PbPb year



flow of quarkonia at LHC?

there is evidence from RHIC that fireball is expanding hydrodynamically do heavy quarks follow?

p_t spectra with flow are very different for charmonia from those measured in pp_bar e.g. at Fermilab or expected for pp at LHC

should be easy to discriminate at LHC



bottomonium at LHC



in terms of number of produced quarks, beauty at LHC like charm at RHIC do they thermalize and hadronize statistically?? if yes, population of 2s and 3s states completely negligible (exp- m/T) yield ratios Y(2S)/Y(1S) = 0.03 Y(3S)/Y(1S) = 0.04

Flow of upsilon at LHC?

difference to ppbar even much more dramatic for Upsilon in case of flow



RHIC result: jet quenching



jet quenching indicative of gluon rapidity density

I. Vitev, JPG 30 (2004) \$791		$ au_0[fm]$	T[MeV]	$\varepsilon[GeV / fm^3]$	$ au_{tot}[fm]$	dN ^g / dy
	SPS	0.8	210-240	1.5-2.5	1.4-2	200-350
RHIC		0.6	380-400	14-20	6-7	800-1200
	LHC	0.2	710-850	190-400	18-23	2000-3500

Scaled energy density, ϵ/T^4

 Consistent estimate with hydrodynamic analysis

predictions on jet quenching for LHC span very wide range

- R_{AA} stays at 0.2 out to 100 GeV or so
- R_{AA} rises slowly toward high pt
- R_{AA} much smaller than at RHIC need to cover large pt range and measure more (frag. function)



Johanna Stachel

jet measurements in ALICE

	2 GeV	20 GeV	100 GeV	200 GeV			
	Mini-Jets 100/event	1/event	1 Hz	100k/month			
event	structure and prope	erties:		at high p:			
at p > 2 GeV/c (similar to RHIC)				- reconstructed jets			
leading particle analysis				- event-by-event well distinguishable objects			
corre	elation studies						

Example : 100 GeV jet + underlying event

for jet physics recently added EmCal will play important role in conjunction with existing charged particle tracking



jets in ALICE: high rates at very high E_t – need and can trigger



E 10-30

measurement of jet fragmentation function

sensitive to energy loss mechanism



heavy quark distributions from inclusive electron spectra



surprize: suppression very similar to pions

prediction (Dokshitzer, Kharzeev) less energy loss for heavy quarks (radiation suppr.)

Johanna Stachel

RUPRECHT-KARLS-UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG

radiation fails, is scattering the solution for heavy quarks?

recently shown by Korinna Zapp (U. Heidelberg) that scattering also important for parton energy loss; implementation in nonperturbative approach - SCI jet quenching model (K. Zapp, G. Ingelman, J. Rathsman, J. Stachel, PLB637 (2006) 179



open/hidden heavy flavor measurements in ALICE

- ★ Hadronic decays: $D^0 \rightarrow K\pi$, $D^+ \rightarrow K\pi\pi$, $D_s \rightarrow KK^*$, $D_s \rightarrow \phi\pi$, ...
- ★ Leptonic decays:
 - $B \rightarrow 1$ (e or μ) + anything
 - Invariant mass analysis of lepton pairs: BB, DD, BD_{same} , J/Ψ , Ψ' , Υ family, $B \rightarrow J/\Psi$ + anything
 - BB $\rightarrow \mu \mu \mu (J/\Psi \mu)$



$D^0 \rightarrow K\pi$ channel

ALICE PPR vol2 JPG 32 (2006) 1295

- high precision vertexing,
 better than 100 μm (ITS)
- high precision tracking (ITS+TPC)
- K and/or π identification (TOF)





high precision charm measurement



open beauty from single electrons



jet quenching for b-quarks relative to c-quarks



the challenge: identification and reconstruction of 5000 (up to 15000) tracks of charged particles

cut through the central barrel of ALICE: tracks of charged particles in a 1 degree segment (1% of tracks)



the challenge: identification and reconstruction of 5000 (up to 15000) tracks of charged particles

cut through the central barrel of ALICE: tracks of charged particles in a 1 degree segment (1% of tracks)



- there are exciting times ahead
- ALICE is dedicated experiment to study all aspects of heavy ion collisions at LHC
- many new aspects of pp collisions as well
- detector is coming together after more than 10 years of hard work and many novel developments





Combined Momentum Resolution in ALICE Central Barrel

M.Ivanov, CERN & PI Heidelberg, March 05

 $dN_{ch}/dy \sim 5000$



resolution \sim 3% at 100 GeV/c

excellent performance in hard region!

Johanna Stachel

the ALICE High Level Trigger (HLT)

KIP U. Heidelberg, U. Bergen



event selection and compression (band width for archiving 1.2 Gbyte/s) method: complete on-line analysis of data up to 2 million tracks – 360 million track points

			ALIC	E - HLT TPC On	ine Dis	splay <@eh000>		0 7 _ 8
Pa	d Charge	Front	#Hits / s	<q> / track </q>	Q/s		HLT Online I	Display
a manual and							Connect	
							Display	
							Display Event —	
		T	1 1.	1 - T			Next	Redisplay
	K	$\langle \rangle$			\searrow	<	Display Event Loop	
		1	A-TAT	THE A	$\langle $		Start Loop	Update 1s 🛓
	(-tal				At		Save Event	
	AN	M	X	110-34	X/La		Save al	l Histograms
							Sectors [0, 17] to o All C Sector 0 = C Sector 0 = C Pair 0 = D Properties C Cluster C Radrow Keep view on re Invert Display	to 0 1
nr			5					

Track Properties Cluster Properties Raw Properties

1600 processors in 400 compute nodes with 4 CPUs each GRID compatible FPGA co-processors

> simulated pp collision goal: PbPb on-line with 200 Hz

initial energy density from transverse energy

from transverse energy rapidity density using Bjorken formula: $\varepsilon_0 = dE_t/d\eta/(\tau_0 \pi R^2)$ using Jacobian $d\eta/dz = \tau_0$ SPS 158 A GeV/c Au-Au collisions: $dE_t/d\eta \approx 450$ GeV $\tau_0 = 1 \text{ fm/c} \rightarrow \epsilon_0 = 3 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$ PHENIX & STAR central Au-Au collisions: $dE_t/d\eta \approx 600 \text{ GeV}$ (nucl-ex/0407003 and nucl-ex/0409015) conservatively: $\tau_0 = 1$ fm/c $\rightarrow \epsilon_0 = 5.5 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$ (factor 2 higher than at SPS top energy) optimistically: $\tau_0 = 1/Q_s = 0.14 \text{ fm/c} \rightarrow \epsilon_0 = 40 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$

in any case this appears significantly above critical energy density from lattice QCD of 0.7 GeV/fm³

Energy dependence of Quarkonium Production in Statistical Hadronization Model

A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich, J. Stachel, nucl-th/0611023



if more open charm: effect will be larger

the SCI Jet Quenching Model for QGP

Geometry: N_{part}, N_{coll} etc. from simple Glauber - model Eskola, Kajantie, Lindfors, Nucl. Phys. B 323 (1989) EOS: ideal relativistic gluon gas $\Rightarrow n = \frac{g}{\pi^2}\zeta(3)T^3 \& \epsilon = \frac{\pi^2 g}{30}T^4$ expansion: boost-invariant longitudinal expansion $T(\tau) \propto \tau^{-1/3} \Rightarrow n(\tau) \propto \tau^{-1} \& \epsilon(\tau) \propto \tau^{-4/3}$ $(\tau = \sqrt{t^2 - z^2})$ Bjorken, Phys. Rev. D 27 (1983) local energy density: $\epsilon(x,y, au) \propto N_{\sf part}(x,y) \cdot au^{-4/3}$ jet production: LO pQCD matrix elements (PYTHIA) +distribution in overlap region according to $N_{coll}(x,y)$ b = 4 fm z = 0 $t = 1 \, \mathrm{fm/c}$ t = 2 fm/ct = 3 fm/cGeV / fm [GeV /fm ŵ. .8.6.4.20246 -8-6-4-2 0 2 Johanna Stachel