Large $\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ Forward Transverse Single Spin

Steven Heppelmann (for STAR collaboration)
$\underline{p^{\uparrow}+p \rightarrow \pi^{0}+X \quad\left(V_{s}=200 \text { and } 500 \mathrm{GeV}\right)}$

- STAR and the FMS forward electromagnetic calorimeter detector.
- $\pi^{0} A_{N}$ at larger transverse momentum.
- Dependence of $A_{N}$ on pion isolation cone size.
- Dependence of $A_{N}$ on soft EM energy within isolation cone.
- Dependence of $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$ on EM energy outside isolation cone.
- Summary


FPD EM Calorimeter
Small cells only

Forward EM Calorimetry In STAR.

## Proton Forward Scattering at High $\mathbf{P}_{\boldsymbol{T}}$

## PQCD (Leading Twist):

Factorized Cross Section= (initial state) x (quark scattering) x (fragmentation)
$\pi^{0} s$ with $\mathbf{N}=2$ photons
in angular cone.

- Does good job of predicting the spin averaged cross section.
- Leading twist cross section does not depend on transverse polarization.
- Spin Dependence require refinements like:
- Beyond Collinear Factorization (Sivers)
- Models of spin dependent fragmentation (Collins)
- Models that go beyond leading twist.


## Data Sets and $\pi^{0}$ Isolation Cone Sizes

RHIC Run 11 (2011) pp @ $\sqrt{ } \mathbf{s}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$
Average Blue Beam Polarization = 51.6\% (Transverse)
Luminosity $=22 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$30 mR isolation cone
70 mR isolation cone

RHIC Run 12 (2012) pp @ $\sqrt{ } \mathbf{s}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$
Average Blue Beam Polarization 60.7\% (Transverse)
Luminosity $=18 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$


35 mR isolation cone
200 mR isolation cone

## Event Selection for $\pi^{0}$ events:

1. Analyze FMS for all photon candidates.

Here a photon $(\gamma)$ is an EM shower that has been fit successfully to photon hypothesis
2. Two photon events include two photon candidate ( $\left.\gamma^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)$,
a. Each photon has
i. a minimum energy of 6 GeV in the small inner detector
ii. or a minimum of 6 (4) GeV in the large outer cells for Run 12 (11) analysis.
b. Two $\gamma$ are found within a fixed cone size. There may also be additional FMS $\gamma$ 's outside isolation cone.

## Isolation of $\pi^{0} \mathbf{s}$

c. Within the isolation cone, soft energy photons are sometimes observed
i. For small cells, the variable Esoft represents the sum of energy of soft photons, ( $\gamma$ 's with energy between 2 and 6 GeV ).
ii. For large cells Esoft is the sum of energy of soft photons ( $\gamma$ ' $\mathbf{s}$ ) with energy between 0.7 and 6 (4) GeV for Run 12 (11).
3. Find Clusters of photons grouping photon candidates that are within opening angle cone $\Delta \theta$ (relative to energy weighted center)
4. For Run 12, we consider 3 event classes

1. $\Delta \theta=0.07 \mathrm{R} 2$ Photon clusters, PiO Mass (isolation radius of .07 radians).
2. $\Delta \theta=0.035 \mathrm{R} 2$ Photon clusters , PiO Mass (isolation radius of .035 radians).
3. $\Delta \theta=0.20 \mathbb{R} 2$ Photon clusters, PiO Mass (isolation radius of . 20 radians).


From Run $11 V_{s}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$

## Blue Beam $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$

As and alternative to Cross Ratio, the raw asymmetry can be plotted as a function of $\operatorname{Cos}(\phi)$ (with polarization axis at $\mathrm{Phi}=\pi / 2$ ) Slope $=A_{N}$
Intercept = Luminosity Ratio for data set Luminosity ratio for all ~ - $0.31 \pm .05$ \% Slope Fits are consistent with Cross Ratio Method.

## TAR

$A_{N}$ vs $\operatorname{Cos}(\phi)\left(40<E_{\pi^{0}}<60\right)$ Full FMS ( 70 mRad Cone)
$\sum^{0.04} \mathrm{AN}=1.47 \pm 0.09 \%$ Luminosity Ratio = -0.32 $\pm .06 \%$ STAR Preliminary

Example Run 11 Mass Distribution:
2 photons in 70 mR cone,
$35<(E 1+E 2)<55 G e V, Z=(E 1-E 2) /(E 1+E 2)<0.7$ Four pseudo-rapidity $(\eta)$ regions.




$V_{s}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$ (Run 11) Transverse Single Spin $\pi^{0}$ Asymmetry vs $P_{T}$ for small and large $\pi^{0}$ isolation cones. (Errors shown in these ane following plots are statistical)

Higher Twist or other PQCD related models suggest $\underline{A}_{\underline{N}}$ should fall at large $P_{\underline{T}}$ with at least 1 power of $P_{T}$ -

These plots include 2 parameter fits for $A_{N}$ vs $P_{T}$ :

$$
A_{N}\left(P_{T}\right)=\left[p_{0}\right] \times\left(P_{T}\right)^{\left[p_{1}\right]}
$$

Fits are shown for both the 70 mRad and 30 mRad isolation cones


RHIC Run 122012

## STAR FMS @ $V_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ G e V}$

| $\quad$ Selection: |
| :--- |
| $N_{\text {photons }}=2$ (in cone) |
| $E_{1}>6 \mathrm{GeV} \& \mathrm{E}_{2}>6 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| $Z=\left\|\frac{E_{2}-E_{1}}{E_{2}+E_{1}}\right\|<0.7$ |
| $M_{1,2}<0.4 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| $E_{\text {soft }}<0.5 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| Cone $: 35 \mathrm{mR}$ |




## 35 mR



## Compare $p_{T}$ Dependence of $A_{N}$ at 200 and 500 GeV .

The distribution of $A_{N} v s$. $p_{T}$, comparing the same $X_{F}$. The 200 GeV (blue circles) and 500 GeV (red triangles) represent $A_{N}$ measurements based on two photon clusters selected with 30 mR cluster at 500 GeV and 35 mR at 200 GeV . The 200 GeV two photon mass is $\mid \mathbf{M 1 2 - 0 . 1 3 5 | < . 1 2 ~ G e V . ~ T h e ~ o t h e r ~ c u t ~ i s ~} \mathbf{z}<\mathbf{0 . 7}$.




## Compare $p_{T}$ Dependence of $A_{N}$ at 200 and 500 GeV .

The distribution of $A_{N}$ vs. $p_{T}$, comparing different center of mass energy for the same $X_{F}$. The 200 GeV (blue circles) and 500 GeV (red stars) represent $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$ measurements based on two photon 70 mR (bottom) cluster angles. The 70 mR cluster angle. The 200 GeV two photon mass is selected to be $\mid \mathbf{M 1 2 - 0 . 1 3 5 | < . 1 2 ~ G e V . ~ T h e ~ o t h e r ~ c u t ~ i s ~} \mathbf{z < 0 . 7}$.


Run 12: $p^{\uparrow} p \rightarrow \pi^{0} V_{s}=\underline{200} \mathrm{GeV} \quad \underline{A}_{\underline{N}}$ as a Function of Energy and Pseudo-rapidity $(\eta)$


Run 12: $p^{\uparrow} p \rightarrow \pi^{0} \sqrt{s}=200 \mathrm{GeV} \quad A_{N}$ as a Function of Energy and Pseudo-rapidity ( $\eta$ )


## $A_{N}$ vs. $\eta$ vs. Energy ( 200 mR )

Run 12: $p^{\uparrow} p \rightarrow \pi^{0} \sqrt{s}=200 \mathrm{GeV} \quad \mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{N}}$ as a Function of Energy and Pseudo-rapidity ( $\eta$ )


## Run 12 ( $\sqrt{s}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$ pp): Compare $A_{N}$ for $\pi^{0}$ three different selection criterion

1) Isolation cone $200 \mathrm{mR} \& \& 2$ photon clusters (photonE>6 GeV) \&\& Esoft<0.5 GeV. (Least Jet like)
2) Isolation cone $35 \mathrm{mR} \& \& 2$ photon clusters (photonE>6 GeV) \&\& Esoft<0.5 GeV (More Jet like)
3) Isolation cone $35 \mathrm{mR} \& \& 2$ photon clusters (photonE>6 GeV) \&\& Esoft>0.5 GeV. (Most Jet like) í

Large $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$ for ( $X_{F}<0.60$ ) and small pseudorapidity is associated with Isolated pions.

Smaller $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$ when evidence for jet fragmentation is seen.





This plot compares three non-overlapping sets of events, all of which involve a $\mathbf{2}$ photon $\pi^{0}$ cluster selected with the $\mathbf{3 5 m R}$ cone size. The plots show energy dependence averaged over pseudo-rapidity bins. The 2 photons in the cone satisfy a $\pi^{0}$ mass cut |M12-.135|<.08.
Green triangles: Additional photons away from the cluster, have average azimuthal angle $\cos \left(\phi_{\text {away }}-\phi\right)<-0.5$

Red squares: No additional photons


Blue circles: Additional photons near the cluster, have average azimuthal angle $\cos \left(\phi_{\text {away }}-\phi\right)>0$.


2 track (35mr) $\pi^{0}+$ Near $\gamma$ 's $\operatorname{Cos}(\Delta \phi)>0$.

$\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}}$ vs. Energy, averaged over pseudo-rapidity. Compare 3 selection criterion based on photon energy outside the cone (all with 35 mR cone and no soft E cut) ${ }_{<}^{2}$
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## $\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{N}} \underline{\text { Vs. Energy, averaged over pseudo-rapidity. }}$

 Compare 3 selection criterion based on photon energy outside the cone (all with 35 mR cone and no soft E cut) <







## $\underline{A}_{\mathbf{N}}$ vs $\pi^{0}$ pair energy: 35 mR cone

- with 2 photons cluster for $1^{\text {st }} \pi^{0}$
- with 2 additional photons outside the primary cluster satisfying $\pi^{0}$ mass
- Angle (for $\mathrm{A}_{N}$ calculation) from High energy $\pi^{0}$ ( $2^{\text {nd }} \pi^{0}$ energy $>16 \mathrm{GeV}$ )
and either
- $2^{\text {nd }}$ pion on the Away Side
- $2^{\text {nd }}$ pion in Mid Range
- $2^{\text {nd }}$ pion on the Near Side

The FMS is illuminated by forward scattering From the RHIC blue beam
and backward scattering from the yellow beam. No significant backward asymmetry is seen.


## Systematic Errors

- Run 11 blue beam polarization $51.6 \% \pm 7 \%$
- Run 12 blue beam polarization $60.7 \% \pm 7 \%$
- Non $\pi^{0}$ signal $<10 \%$
- Similar asymmetries for Background:
$\frac{\Delta P_{T}}{P_{T}}<12 \%$
$\frac{\Delta A_{N}}{A_{N}}<5 \%$
- $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}$ uncertainty
- Energy 10\%
- Angle 6\%

$$
\frac{\Delta A_{N}}{A_{N}}<13 \%
$$

$$
\frac{\Delta A_{N}}{A_{N}}<5 \%
$$

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\frac{\Delta P_{T}}{P_{T}}<12 \% \\
\frac{\Delta A_{N}}{A_{N}}<5 \% \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Total Systematic Asymmetry Error
Common to all data points.
$\frac{\Delta A_{N}}{A_{N}}<15 \%$

## Conclusion

$\ln p^{\uparrow} p \rightarrow \pi^{0}+X @ \sqrt{s}=200$ and $500 \mathrm{GeV}:$

- $A_{N}$ for forward $\pi^{0}$ production does not fall with $\boldsymbol{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$, as expected, even up to $\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{T}} \sim \mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{G e V} / \mathbf{c}$.
- $A_{N}$ as a function of $p_{T}$ for forward $\pi^{0}$ production is compared at $\sqrt{\boldsymbol{s}=\mathbf{2 0 0}}$ and $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ G e V}$ in the region of Feynman $\mathrm{X}, 0.16<X_{F}<0.4$ The scale of the asymmetry is similar but this depends greatly on details of how events are selected.
- From Run 12 data, at $\sqrt{s}=200 \mathrm{Gev}$, for smaller $X_{F}$ and largest $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ (smallest pseudo rapidity) selection of isolated $\pi^{0} s$ results in asymmetry 2 to $\mathbf{3}$ times greater than for selection of more "jet-like" $\pi^{0} s$.
- For an additional EM energy deposition "photons" outside the primary cone, the asymmetry is smallest if the additional energy is in the same hemisphere as the $\pi^{0}$.
- For $\mathbf{2} \pi^{0}$ production, the asymmetry is smaller when the lower energy $\pi^{0}$ is in the same hemisphere as the first $\pi^{0}$.
- In summary: First seen at $\sqrt{s}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$ (Run 11) and now more clearly at $\sqrt{s}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$ (Run 12), Isolated $\pi^{0}$ s lead to larger $A_{\underline{N}}$ than more jet-like $\pi^{0}$ s.

