THGEM studies tools & first results

Optical readout to study physics of detectors
 Response of THGEM based detectors to HIPs

A. Breskin, L. Arazi, A. Rubin, M. Pitt, L. Moleri, <u>S. Bressler</u> Weizmann Institute of Science

Optical readout to study physics of detectors

Motivation

- Study avalanche formation and macroscopic avalanche properties
- High resolution information on detector response
 - Hole by hole analysis
 - Effect of detector parameters on avalanche dynamics
 - For example, lateral diffusion and hole multiplicity

Main concept

• We take advantage of the light emitted by excited atoms to probe them



THGEM geometry

- 30×30 mm² electrodes
- a = 1mm, d = 0.5 mm, t = 0.4 mm, h = 100 µm



Optical setup



Avalanche visualization

Single avalanche

⁵⁵Fe source

Charge sharing between holes





How are avalanches distributed inside a hole?

- field is stronger near the edge (~30%)
- Avalanche may develop near the edge
 - Possible cause of breakdown?

Field map using Maxwell





Measurement

8

- Ne/CF4 95/5%
- ⁵⁵Fe collimated source
 - total rate: ~10 Hz/detector \rightarrow ~0.01 Hz / hole
- Short exposure (0.05s)
- Decay time of phosphore screen: 1ms
 → single avalanche in a hole

Preliminary results

• The plot shows the center of mass of the avalanches as a function of distance from the center of the hole



Future plans

- Measure the hole multiplicity of MIPs
- Check gain uniformity of the holes
- Check correlation between the number of holes in an avalanche an the maximal achievable gain

- Detection of defects
 - Location of defects and characterization
- Spark analysis
 - Energy, location

Response of THGEM based detectors to HIPs

HIP - Highly Ionizing Particle

Introduction I

- THGEM has been proposed as sampling element for the DHCAL in the ILC
 - Arazi et al. 2012_JINST_7_C05011 http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/7/05/C05011
- Detector efficiency and pad multiplicity was shown to match the DHCAL requirement
- Single stage configuration had high spark rate
- Double stage configuration was stable but wide
 - Cost consideration motivates the development of narrow sampling element (as narrow as 8mm)

Introduction II

- High energy experiments provides with highly radiated environment
- Some of the radiation composed of highly ionizing particles
 - Close to the Interaction Point: ex. CMS silicon strips tracker mainly slowly moving π 's and k's \rightarrow 100×MIP \rightarrow 5000 Primary electrons
 - Far from the Interaction Point: ex. ATLAS TGC nuclear recoil (Bragg peak) \rightarrow 200-300×MIP \rightarrow 12000 Primary electrons
- A MIP detector is required to be
 - Efficient in MIP detection
 - Robust, as much as possible, against HIPs

Goals

- Develop a method to mimic highly ionizing particles in the lab
- Measure spark probability as a function of number of PE
- Measure the spark energy devision on the different electrodes
- Use the methods above to optimize a THGEM based detector as sampling element of the DHCAL
- The optimization is with respect to the following parameters:
 - Number of stages
 - Type of THGEM: double / single sided, well, resistive layer
 - Gaps between the electrodes
 - HV configuration
 - Gas mixture

Mimic HIPs in the lab

• Adding an **injector** - a single THGEM used to multiply the electrons prior to the detector



Characterizing the injector

- 3 parameters of interest
 - Injector gain \rightarrow mean number of PE
 - Typical width of number of PE distribution
 - Number of events in the high tail
- Difficulties
 - Low gain (1-50) measurement \rightarrow can not be measured in the standard way
 - Use additional multiplier to measure the gain \rightarrow widen the distribution of the number of PEs

Mean number of PE

• Using the fact that some of the photons are converted between the injector and the detector → producing secondary peak in the spectrum



Mean number of PE

- The gain of the injector is measured from the ratio between the main peak and the secondary peak
 - Shown for 2 different Ginjector





The width of the PE distribution

• Define:

- S = the signal charge distribution
- C = the distribution of the number of electron from the x-ray conversion For now assume C is constant -(220 electrons from 8keV x-ray conversion in NeCH4(5%))



- I = the distribution of multiplication in the injector
- D = the distribution of multiplication in the detector
- $S = C \otimes I \otimes D$ but we are interested in $C \otimes I$
- S is measured from the main peak of the spectrum
- $C \otimes D \equiv T$ is measured from secondary peak
- Take the Fourier transform $\rightarrow s = i \times t$ (all Gaussians)
- Obtain i and take again the Fourier transform to obtain I

Spark probability - Experimental setup

- Two separate readout chains:
 - Standard amplification chain (CSP + Lin. amp + MCA) to measure the signal from the anode and obtain the spectra
 - NI-DAQ card to count sparks from the current monitor of the power supply



In the next slides THGEM geometry

- $30 \times 30 \text{ mm}^2$ electrodes
- a = 0.7 mm, d = 0.3 mm, t = 0.4 mm



Typical spark rate measurement

• Using "LabView signal express" standard sw

• Number of sparks at a given time

- The charge division on the different electrodes
- Sensitive ampere-meter can be used for precise measurement





Spark probability measurement - Step by step

- Step 1: Fixing the gain of the injector and the detector
 - Injector: as explained before
 - Detector: from the secondary peak as the ratio between the charge in the peak and the charge prior to the multiplication $(Q_{\Delta V} / Q_{220 \times qe})$
- Example: single stage THGEM with 1mm induction gap
 - $\Delta V_{induction} = 100 V / 400 V$







 $\Delta V_{induction} = 400$ V: Both the signal the gain curve indicate multiplication in the induction

Spark probability measurement - Step by step

- Step 2: Determining the event rate
 - Count the number of events, above the noise threshold, in the spectra at a given time
- Step 3: Measuring spark rate without a source
 - Spark without a source are due to cosmic-rays, defects in any of the electrodes, non-perfect setup
 - These sparks are "background noise" to the measurement
- Step 4: Measuring spark rate with the source

• Repeat steps 1-4 with different G_{injector} and similar G_{detector}

Calculating the spark probability

• Define

- R : source rate [events/sec]
- N_s : total number of sparks
- $t_{effective} = t_{total} t_{dead}$ [sec] : the effective time of the measurement after subtraction of the dead time
- N_b : number of spark in the measurement without source
- t_b [sec] : effective time of the measurement w/o source
- P : spark probability

• $\mathbf{P} = \{ [N_s - (N_b / t_b) \times t_{effective}] / t_{effective} \} / \mathbf{R} \}$

rate of background sparks

number of background sparks

number of "signal" sparks

rate of "signal" sparks

Single stage THGEM with 1mm induction gap

- The different colors represent different induction fields
- For the same effective gain, multiplying in the induction gap provides with more stable configuration for higher number of PE
- The spark probability decreases when multiplying in the induction gap
 - Higher Reather limit ?



Future plans

• Understand the source(s) of background sparks and suppress its rate

- Study the effect of high charge tails in the PE distribution
 - Can we associate the spark with the main part of the distribution?
- Measure the width of the PE distribution

- Optimize the geometry and HV configuration for DHCAL
- Use the same setup to test and compare new structure of THGEMs