



Enabling Grids for E-science

On the evaluation of platforms for remote instrumentation on the Grid

Constantinos (Costas) Kotsokalis

Greek Research and Technology Network (GRNET)

EGEE'07, Budapest, 1-5 October 2007

www.eu-egee.org



www.ringrid.eu



Information Society
and Media



- **RINGrid WP6 work**
 - WP just started
 - About this presentation
- **Presentation contents**
 - Current available solutions
 - Gaps
 - Strategic goals
 - Methodology
 - Tangible results

- **Solutions for Remote Instrumentation on the Grid**
 - Mostly presented in “RINGrid: Evaluation of Remote Instrumentation Infrastructures” by Martin Polak
- **GRIDCC**
 - EU project, finished on 31/08/07
- **CIMA**
 - US effort, ongoing
- **VLAB**
 - Polish national project, ongoing
- **UCRAV**
 - Developed in Chile, in production
- ...

- **Design: Limited use cases**
 - Instrument types and scale
 - Domain-specific parameters in design time
 - Reliability (technical)
 - E.g. short-term vs long-term experiments
- **Infrastructure: Interactivity**
 - The networking factor
- **Social: Reliability**
 - The instrument calibration example
- **...but are these the only ones? Do we **really** know what scientists want from Remote Instrumentation Infrastructures (RIIs)?**

- **Verify whether current RIIs are sufficient for scientists and cover their requirements**
- **Identify most or all relevant gaps and problems**
- **Come up with useful features they may not expect**
 - E.g. workflow management for complete experiments, special visualisation capabilities, etc
- **Design a **typical use case** (the least common denominator)**
- **Design a **conceptual model** (architecture) for generic RIIs**
- **Provide recommendations and best practices**

- **First questionnaire (preparation)**
 - Let's not constrain scientists
 - Have them explain steps of their daily experiments
 - Then possibly ask them what they would expect if suddenly the equipment was taken away
 - Expected reply: No difference
 - Maybe we can decide on what functionality is not negotiable though
- **Based on the questionnaire & use cases, decipher technical requirements**
- **Prepare a testbed**
 - Ability to make small or significant testbed implementation changes
 - See how this affects experiments

- **Second questionnaire (experimentation)**
 - Should be completely user-oriented (domain-specific)
 - Issue: How to make it generic enough for everyone? (probably w/ draft typical use case)
- **Keep track of:**
 - Show-stoppers
 - Annoyances
 - Pleasant surprises
 - Exact time of experimentation
 - ...to match w/ network-related issues
 - Overall comments

- **Experimentation process**
 - Gather statistical information on user set
 - Age, English language fluency, IT competency, others
 - Training
 - Reference material on paper
 - Course on using the platform
 - Task execution & submission of completed questionnaires
- **Evaluation**
 - How many / which tasks could not be completed?
 - How many / which tasks were completed incorrectly?
 - What was the level of overall user satisfaction?
 - What were the major changes for users, in relation to local instrumentation?
 - ...

- **Experiment scenarios ==> Normative description of Remote Instrumentation experiment workflow (“typical use case”)**
- **Conceptual design (“architecture”) of RIs**
 - Will build on current best practices
 - Emphasis on the network and user interfaces
- **Effort to touch on authorisation and policy issues**
 - What would instrument providers never accept to provide?
 - What kind of functionality would instrument users never accept to give away?
- **Results to serve as guidelines for the design of future infrastructures**
 - RISGE-RG

Thank you!

<http://www.ringrid.eu/>

ckotso@admin.grnet.gr