



Enabling Grids for E-science

## ***Abbreviations for Site-Names in GridView***

*A. Retico (CERN)*

SA1

**EGEE 2007**

***ROC Managers Meeting***


Budapest, Hungary, 1st October, 2007

[www.eu-egee.org](http://www.eu-egee.org)




- **End of August:**
  - Following-up several complaints, GV service administrators asked the Cern roc-on-duty (it was me) for a way to contact all sites in order to agree with them on short names, previously defined, partially and arbitrarily by GV developers (happened to be the same people)
  - I suggested to open a GGUS ticket and let the ROCs reply
  - I (as Cern ROC) opened the ticket on behalf of GV admins. That was a mistake (ticket to be opened by CERN-PROD instead)
  - request perceived as “blessed” by Cern ROC rather than the fix to a production service it was meant to be
- **1<sup>st</sup> week of September**
  - GV modifies a bit the interface, allowing visualization with GOC DB names.
- **Today:**
  - 4 tickets closed (CE,IT,SW,SEE); 2 to be closed (RU, Cern); 3 open (DECH,FR,UKI) ; 2 untouched (NE,AP)
  - no more site IDs shown in GV


- Short Names



- Long Names




- Short names



- Job Status: site-wise distribution

- Long names (site view not available yet)



- Effect example: “Job Status: RB-wise distribution”

- **Original issue: SOLVED**
  - All sites are apparently now represented correctly
  - Relevant tickets can IMO be closed
- **GV mapping: UKI ROC's points are valid**
  - Real need for short names?
  - Static mapping should be avoided
- **GV Developers stick to short names**
  - Mapping names also in future versions
  - Not clear to me if there is a requirement about that
- **Main users of GV should be also be consulted**
  - Requirements to GV come mostly by LCG Management and Quality Assurance
- **Suggestion: move this points to GV with a new ticket**
  - A formal request is harder to ignore (as this case has shown)