Measurements of the effect of collisions on transverse beam halo diffusion in the Tevatron and in the LHC

> Giulio Stancari Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

G. Annala, T. Johnson, V. Previtali, D. Still, A. Valishev (Fermilab), R. Assmann (DESY) R. Bruce, F. Burkart, S. Redaelli, B. Salvachua, G. Valentino (CERN)

ICFA Workshop on Beam-Beam Effects in Hadron Colliders (BB2013) CERN, 18-22 March 2013

Will Com

# Halo dynamics influences global accelerator performance

lattice resonances

- beam lifetime
- emittance growth
- dynamic aperture
- collimation efficiency

intrabeam scattering

coupling It depends on a multitude of effects, beam-gas scattering some of which are stochastic in nature ground motion

lattice nonlinearities

power-supply ripple

beam-beam forces

Dynamics is in general very rich: regular and chaotic regions, resonance islands, etc.

Superposition of many effects (some random) can make halo dynamics stochastic



Stochastic nature of halo dynamics often empirically confirmed by relaxation of losses ~ (time)<sup>-1/2</sup> during collimator setup



## Analytical and numerical studies on collisions and halo dynamics

Just a few examples...

▶SSC: long-range, diffusive dynamic aperture▶Irwin, SSC-233 (1989)

Experiments are challenging and data is scarce

**HERA at DESY**: nonlinearities, tune modulation, fluctuations in

orbit offset and beam size

- >Zimmermann, PhD Thesis (1993)
- Brüning, PhD Thesis (1994)
- Seidel, PhD Thesis (1994)
- >Zimmermann, Part. Accel. <u>49</u>, 67 (1995)
- Sen and Ellison, PRL <u>77</u>, 1051 (1996)

**LHC at CERN**: head-on, long-range, triplet nonlinearities

▶ Papaphilippou and Zimmermann, PRSTAB <u>2</u>, 104001 (1999)

▶ Papaphilippou and Zimmermann, PRSTAB <u>5</u>, 074001 (2002)

- Assmann et al., EPAC (2002)
- **Tevatron at Fermilab**: beam-beam, nonlinearities, electron lenses
  - Sen et al., PRSTAB <u>7</u>, 041001 (2004)
  - Stern et al., PRSTAB <u>13</u>, 024401 (2010)
  - Previtali et al., IPAC (2012)
- **RHIC at BNL**: beam-beam, nonlinearities, electron lenses
  - Abreu et al., BNL-81974-2009-IR, BNL-81975-2009-IR (2009)

Beam / electron cloud:

▶ Ohmi and Oide, PRSTAB <u>10</u>, 014401 (2007)

### Measurement of diffusion rate vs. amplitude with collimator scans



Giulio Stancari [Fermilab] — Effects of collisions on transverse halo diffusion— BB2013 : CERN : 18-22 Mar 2013

#### **Previous observations**



Giulio Stancari [Fermilab] — Effects of collisions on transverse halo diffusion— BB2013 : CERN : 18-22 Mar 2013

#### **Tevatron measurements**



Beam studies with antiprotons at 0.96 TeV (Feb-May 2011) Motivated by hollow electron beam collimator and beambeam dynamics Many experiments at the end of regular collider stores • One experiment with special antiproton-only store Scans using primary vertical collimator on antiprotons Minimum step: 25 µm in 20 ms

Stancari et al., IPAC11, p. 1882 Stancari, arXiv:1108.5010 [physics.acc-ph]

#### LHC measurements

Beam studies at 4 TeV

(22 June 2012)

One nominal bunch per beam, 10<sup>11</sup> p/bunch (no long-range)
Scans using primary collimators: vertical on beam 1, horizontal on beam 2

▶1 scan with separated beams, 1 scan in collision

Minimum step: 5 µm in 2.5 ms

Valentino et al., PRSTAB **16**, 021003 (2013)



Local time [mm:ss] ( $t0 = 2012 - 06 - 22\ 07:18:00$ )

#### 1-dimensional diffusion cartoon of collimation



10

#### Diffusion model of loss rate evolution in collimator scans

collimator step collimator step inward outward 0.04 0.04 Distribution function of tails evolves under diffusion Distribution function [µm<sup>-1</sup>] 0.03 0.03 with boundary condition at Time [s] Time [s] 0 0 0.02 0.02 0.25 0.25 collimator 0.5 0.5 0.75 0.75 3 3 0.01 0.01  $\partial_t f = \partial_J \left( D \cdot \partial_J f \right)$ 10 10 20 2050 50 160 160 0.00 0.00 320 320 0.01 0.00 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.00 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.05 Action [µm] Action [µm] 1.0 Diffusion rate  $[\mu m^2/s]$ Instantaneous loss rate 9 1.0E-06 1.0E-05 is proportional to slope 0.8 1.0E-04 oss rate [arb. units] S of distribution function 0.6  $R = -k \cdot D \cdot [\partial_J f]_{J=J_c} + B$ 0.4 $\mathfrak{C}$ 0.22 background loss monitor 0.0 calibration rate 50 0 10 20 30 0 10 20 30 40 50 Time [s] Time [s]

Giulio Stancari [Fermilab] — Effects of collisions on transverse halo diffusion — BB2



11

#### **Diffusion model fit to loss rate data**



12



#### Conclusions

Halo dynamics is often stochastic, due to the nature and number of effects in real machines

Collimator scans are a sensitive tool for the study of halo dynamics vs. amplitude: diffusion coefficients, lifetimes/fluxes, impact parameters, collimation efficiencies, beam populations

First measurements of diffusion vs. amplitude in Tevatron and LHC

Tevatron

halo dynamics dominated by effects other than beam-beam

collisions enhance diffusion rate by 1 to 2 orders of magnitude

> LHC

with separated beams, halo diffusion very similar to core: nonlinearities and noise are small

in collision (only 1 bunch/beam), diffusion enhancement depends on amplitude, reaching 2 orders of magnitude

Thank you!