ICFA Mini-Workshop on Beam-Beam Effects in Hadron Colliders / March 2013

Implementation and experiencewith luminosity levelling withBeam 1offset beams



Beam 2



> Motivation

> Implementation

> Operational experience

➤ Conclusion

MOTIVATIONS

Design luminosity for LHCb and Alice is much lower than CMS and Atlas > High peak luminosity can cause detector HV trips for Alice > For LHCb, high peak luminosity and high pile-up is not a protection issue but has an impact on data quality. > High luminosity causes premature ageing of the detectors

 Optimization of β* and crossing angle at each interaction point not enough

Integrated luminosity of Alice and LHCb can be maximized by delivering constant luminosity during the fill

Beam offset leveling: relatively simple, large range

For details, see Richard Jacobsson presentation in this session : <u>Future wishes and constraints from experiments</u>

LEVELING IMPLEMENTATION



LEVELING USER INTERFACE

<u>چ</u>		Luminosity Scan Application
💽 🔻 RBA: Ihco	p	
	Select Beam Process Pl	HYSICS-LOWBETA-2011_V1@56_[END]
VdM Optimize All Leveling IP2 OLeveling IP8 IR Steering Knob Creator Analysis Database Extraction		
Settings Data Report 1		
ے لیس Luminosity Scan Application		
Image: The second s		
Select Beam Process PHYSICS-LOWBETA-2011_V1@56_[END]		
VdM Optimize Optimize All Leveling IP2 C Leveling IP8 IR Steering Knob Creator Analysis Database Extraction		
Settings Data		🖳 Views 🛛 🕀 💷 🛤 🌄 🚍 📰 🎟 More 🛃 🔠 🔛
Lumi Data [16/10/11 02:50:44]		
Leveling Status:	Acquisition	Leveling [16/10/11 02:50:44] 55
VETO from Experiment	t VETO	400 - Target
Data Quality:	ОК	350 Threshold
Target Lumi:	390.00	
Current Lumi:	385.24	
Lumi Statistics [16/10	/11 02:50:08]	
Number of Data:	10	
Target Lumi Mean:	390.00	
Target Lumi Std [%]:	0.0	
Current Lumi Mean:	377.05	50
Current Lumi Std [%]:	0.0	
Relative Difference [%]	: -3.3	
Views, BR. DBB DB More, 28		
<u> </u>		4





LSA PARAMETERS

















> KNOBS exist also in LSA to trim the angle at the IPs

> In operation the angle is kept to 0 for every IPs



➢ KNOBS exist also in LSA to trim the angle at the IPs

In operation the angle is kept to 0 for every IPs



KNOBS exist also in LSA to trim the angle at the IPs

> In operation the angle is kept to 0 for every IPs



KNOBS exist also in LSA to trim the angle at the IPs

> In operation the angle is kept to 0 for every IPs



LHCB WITH CROSSING AND SEPARATION IN TILTED PLANES















21-04-2011: First automatic luminosity leveling in LHCb





> 24-05-2011: First automatic lumi leveling in ALICE



> 03-10-2011: 1 fb-1 of luminosity has been delivered to LHCb





• 2012 Proton run

- LHCb tilted plane for collisions : leveling application adapted.
- Alice used collisions with satellites to reduce its luminosity, leveling was needed only in case of higher satellites
- Leveling prepared for Atlas and CMS in case of too high pile-up. Was not needed in operation.
- 2013 Proton-Lead run
 - Used to limit the luminosity of Alice during the few days of low luminosity run
 - Used at beginning of each pPb fill to ensure the luminosity below requested limit of 1E5 ub/s

>Weakness

DIP communication not always reliable and failed to publish experiments parameters -> leveling stopped.

> Luminosity sensible to orbit correction

- Orbit correction can push luminosity beyond limits and trip detectors
- > Nothing to prevent it in reliable way
- Experiments have to define and publish clearly the parameters they need : perfect for LHCb , but often missing for Alice.
- > Should we fully automate the process?
 - > Avoid manual action from the control room
 - But OP need to check that the machine conditions are compatible with leveling.

OBSERVED BUNCH BY BUNCH INSTABILITIES

- At the beginning of the 2012 run, bunch by bunch instabilities were observed in the process of putting beams into collision or once already in stable beam.
- > This instabilities affected the bunches that were colliding only in IP8
- Single bunch instabilities observed at the beginning of a stable beam for LHCb private bunches

- Filling Scheme with only 3 private bunches for LHCb
- Effect of instabilities clearly observed for B2, bunches lost one after the other.



OBSERVED BUNCH BY BUNCH INSTABILITIES

> Cure

- Use only filling schemes were bunches colliding in LHCb also collided in IP1 and IP5 -> stabilized by head-on landau damping.
- To reduce instabilities when beams get into collision, operation process adapted
 - > First collide in Ip1 and 5 to stabilize the bunches
 - > Then tilt LHCb planes and reduce separation in IP8



DETAILS OF LHCb LEVELING FOR FILL 3266



DETAILS OF LEVELING FOR FILL 3266



Alice run with pPb



Alice high luminosity run with pPb



CONCLUSION

- > Luminosity levelling part of the routine LHC operation for LHCb and Alice
- > Luminosity levelling allows to maximize the integrated luminosity while keeping low luminosity peak and low pile-up LHCb Integrated Luminosity pp collisions 2010-2012



More than 2fb-1 of exploitable data delivered for LHCb in 2012!!

2.2

Delivered in 2012 (4 TeV): 2.209 /fb



- Beam beam effect under control in 2012 if no private bunches for LHCb.
- After LS1 : levelling may be needed in all experiments: β* levelling and beam offset levelling will probably be used in some combination.

