

# Recent Beam-Beam Effects at VEPP-2000 and VEPP-4M<sup>1</sup>

*D.B. Shwartz\**, *D.E. Berkaev\**, *I.A. Koop\**, *E.B. Levichev*, *E.A. Perevedentsev\**, *Yu.A. Rogovsky\**,  
*A.L. Romanov*, *D.N. Shatilov*, *P.Yu. Shatunov*, *Yu.M. Shatunov\**  
Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia

## Abstract

Budker INP hosts two  $e^+e^-$  colliders, VEPP-4M operating in the beam energy range of 1÷5.5 GeV and the low-energy machine VEPP-2000, collecting data at 160÷1000 MeV per beam. The second one uses a novel concept of round colliding beams. The paper presents an overview of observed beam-beam effects and obtained luminosities.

## 1 VEPP-4M

Being a rather old machine with a moderate luminosity VEPP-4M has several unique features, first of all a very low beam energy spread and the system of precise energy measurement, that provide an interesting particle physics program for the KEDR detector. Over the last years VEPP-4M was taking data at low energy range with 2 bunches in each beam. The luminosity at this range is limited by beam-beam effects with the threshold beam-beam parameter  $\xi_y \leq 0.04$  [1]. In this case the luminosity depends on energy as  $L \propto \gamma^4$  (see Fig. 1).




Fig. 1: VEPP-4M luminosity dependence on beam energy.

The main parameters of VEPP-4M collider are listed in the Table 1.

Table 1: VEPP-4M Main Parameters

Parameter	Value
-----------	-------

<sup>1</sup> Work is supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation, grant N 14.518.11.7003.

\* Novosibirsk State University.

Circumference, $C$	366 m
Energy range, $E$	1 ÷ 5.5 GeV
Number of bunches	2 × 2
Betas and dispersion at IP, $\beta_x^*, \beta_y^*, \eta^*$	75, 5, 80 cm
Betatron tunes, $\nu_{x,y}$	8.54, 7.57
Beam-beam parameters, $\xi_x, \xi_y$	0.025, 0.04
Luminosity (at 1.85 GeV), $L$	$2.3 \times 10^{30} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$

## 2 Round colliding beams

The VEPP-2000 collider [2] exploits the round beam concept (RBC) [3]. The idea of round-beam collisions was proposed more than 20 years ago for the Novosibirsk Phi-factory design [4]. This approach in addition to the geometrical factor gain should yield the beam-beam limit enhancement. An axial symmetry of counter beam force together with the X-Y symmetry of transfer matrix between the two IPs provide an additional integral of motion, namely, the longitudinal component of angular momentum  $M_z = x'y - xy'$ . Although the particles dynamics remains strongly nonlinear due to beam-beam interaction, it becomes effectively one-dimensional. Thus there are several demands to the storage ring lattice suitable for RBC.

- 1) Head-on collisions (zero crossing angle),
- 2) Small and equal  $\beta$ -functions at IP ( $\beta_x^* = \beta_y^*$ ),
- 3) Equal beam emittances ( $\epsilon_x = \epsilon_y$ ),
- 4) Equal fractional parts of betatron tunes ( $\nu_x = \nu_y$ ).

First three entries provide the axial symmetry of collisions while requirements 2,4 are needed for X-Y symmetry preservation between the IPs.

A series of beam-beam simulations in the weak-strong [5] and strong-strong [6] regimes were done. Simulations showed the achievable values of beam-beam parameter as large as  $\xi \sim 0.15$  without any significant blowup of the beam emittances.

## 3 VEPP-2000 overview

The VEPP-2000 complex layout is presented in Fig. 2. The complex consists of the injection chain (including the old beam production system and booster synchrotron BEP with the energy limit of 800 MeV) and the collider itself with two particle detectors SND and CMD-3 placed into dispersion-free low-beta straights. The final focusing is realized using superconducting 13 T solenoids. The main design collider parameters are listed in Table 2.




Fig. 2: VEPP-2000 complex layout.

Table 2: VEPP-2000 Main Parameters (at  $E = 1$  GeV)

Parameter	Value
Circumference, $C$	24.3883 m
Energy range, $E$	200 ÷ 1000 MeV
Number of bunches	$1 \times 1$
Number of particles per bunch, $N$	$1 \times 10^{11}$
Betatron functions at IP, $\beta_{x,y}^*$	8.5 cm
Betatron tunes, $\nu_{x,y}$	4.1, 2.1
Beam emittance, $\epsilon_{x,y}$	$1.4 \times 10^{-7}$ m rad
Beam-beam parameters, $\xi_{x,z}$	0.1
Luminosity, $L$	$1 \times 10^{32}$ cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>

The density of magnet system and detectors components is so high that it is impossible to arrange a beam separation in the arcs. As a result, only one by one bunch collision mode is allowed at VEPP-2000.

### 3.1 Beam Diagnostics

Beam diagnostics is based on 16 optical CCD cameras that register the visible part of synchrotron light from either end of the bending magnets and give the full information about beam positions, intensities and profiles (see Fig. 3). In addition to optical BPMs, there are also 4 pick-up stations in the technical straight sections, two PMT for beam current measurements via the synchrotron light intensity and one beam current transformer as an absolute current monitor.




Fig. 3: Beam profile measurements.

### 3.2 Circular Modes Options

The RBC at VEPP-2000 was implemented by placing two pairs of superconducting focusing solenoids into two Interaction Regions (IR) symmetrically with respect to collision points. There are several combinations of solenoid polarities that satisfy the round beams requirements: “Normal Round” (++ —), “Möbius” (++ —+) and “Double Möbius” (++ ++) options rotate the betatron oscillation plane by  $\pm 90^\circ$  and give alternating horizontal orientation of the normal betatron modes outside of the solenoid insertions.

Two “Flat” combinations (+- +- or +- -+) are more simple and also satisfy the RBC approach if the betatron tunes lie on the coupling resonance  $\nu_1 - \nu_2 = 2$  to provide equal emittances via eigenmodes coupling.

All combinations are equivalent in focusing and give the same lattice functions. But the tunes for M and DM options are different due to additional clockwise and counter-clockwise circular mode rotations (see Fig. 4).




Fig. 4: VEPP-2000 round beam options.

Unfortunately, computer simulations showed a serious limitation of the Dynamic Aperture (DA) for options with mode rotations. A brief experimental study was carried out for the DM option. At first glance, this case could be preferable, because the tune is a little above 0.5 instead of an integer for the "Flat" mode. However, both the simulation and measurement gave a DA of  $\sim 10\sigma_{x,y}$  only. Probably, such studies should be continued for other options.

#### 4 Linear considerations

An important feature of VEPP-2000 lattice is the strong dependence of radiative emittance on the value of  $\beta^*$ . The decrease of  $\beta^*$  causes emittance growth in such a way that  $\sigma^{*2} = \beta^* \varepsilon = \text{inv}(\beta^*)$ . The expression for luminosity can be written in this case as:

$$L = \frac{N^- N^+ f_0}{4\pi\sigma^{*2}} = \frac{4\pi\gamma^2 \xi^2 \sigma^{*2} f_0}{r_e^2 \beta^{*2}} . \quad (1)$$

Now one can see that although the specific luminosity does not depend on the choice of  $\beta^*$  value the maximum luminosity limited by the beam-beam interaction with given threshold  $\xi_{\text{th}}$  can be higher for a lower  $\beta^*$ . The  $\beta^*$  once optimized for a given aperture value at the top energy of 1 GeV should be decreased for lower energies corresponding to smaller radiative emittance to minimize the luminosity roll-off. Instead of ( $\beta^* = \text{const}$ ,  $\varepsilon \propto \gamma^2$ ,  $\sigma^* \propto \gamma$ ,  $L \propto \gamma^4$ ), the energy scaling can be done as ( $\beta^* \propto \gamma$ ,  $\varepsilon \propto \gamma$ ,  $\sigma^* \propto \gamma$ ,  $L \propto \gamma^2$ ) (see dashed blue and solid red lines in Fig. 7, correspondingly). Of course, this approach is very optimistic since it does not take into account the IBS emittance growth at low energy as well as DA problems for low  $\beta^*$ .

Similarly to variation of  $\beta^*$  caused by lattice tuning, the linear beam-beam simulation as well as weak-strong beam-beam simulations (*LIFETRAC* code [7]) predict the inverse variation of the dynamic beta and dynamic emittance so that the beam sizes at IP are left unchanged by the linear beam-beam effect. At the same time sizes at the beam profile monitors around the ring vary strongly with the counter beam current (see Fig. 5).





Fig. 5: Weak-strong test of beam sizes growth with the counter beam current.

## 5 Linear considerations

At VEPP-2000, the luminosity monitoring is available from both detectors. Electrons and positrons from elastic scattering are easily detected in coincidence by detector's calorimeters with an efficiency near 100% and counting rates about 1 kHz at  $L = 1 \times 10^{31} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .

For a prompt collision tuning a method for the luminosity measurements was developed based on the beam size data from the optical diagnostics. To calculate the luminosity one should know only the beam currents and sizes at the IP. As we discussed above, due to the beam-beam effects the lattice functions and beam emittances show a significant current-dependent difference from their design values. Assuming no focusing perturbations in the lattice other than those caused by the collision, and thus located at the IP, one can use transport matrices verified by the arc optics model to evaluate the beam sizes at the IP from the beam size measurements by CCD cameras placed around the ring. 8 measurements for each betatron mode of the both beams are more than enough to evaluate the dynamic beta-functions and dynamic emittances of the modes. The accuracy of the method degrades at high beam intensities close to beam-beam threshold, where the beam distribution deviates from the Gaussian. Data from this luminometer, routinely taken during 2 hours at the energy  $E = 800 \text{ MeV}$ , is presented in Fig. 6.



**Fig. 6:** Luminosity at the energy  $E = 800 \text{ MeV}$ . Black and red crosses – detectors, orange dots – luminometer.

The advantages of this technique over the SND and CMD-3 luminosity monitors are the higher measurement speed and lower statistical jitter. Nominally, the accuracy of the new method is about 3–4% and it does not depend on the luminosity level in contrast to the detector's data. On the other hand, the new technique is not sensitive to possible focusing difference in two IPs. Generally, all those three monitors give results coinciding within a 10% accuracy.

## 6 Experimental runs

VEPP-2000 started data taking with both detectors installed in 2009 [8]. First runs were dedicated to experiments at high energy range while during the last 2012-2013 run energy scan to the lowest energy limit was done. Apart from partial integrability in beam-beam interaction the RBC gives significant benefit in Touschek lifetime as compared to traditional flat beams. That results in ability of VEPP-2000 operation at the energy as low as 160 MeV – the lowest energy ever obtained in  $e^+e^-$  colliders. The luminosity obtained during the last three seasons is shown in Fig. 7 with olive, magenta and blue points. Red line is a naive estimate of the maximum achievable peak luminosity (jumps correspond to different commutation of the solenoid coils available at low energy). Blue dashed line

shows the beam-beam limited luminosity for a fixed machine lattice. Black triangles and squares depict the peak and average luminosity achieved by the previous collider VEPP-2M [9]. Black circles mark VEPP-2M luminosity without the superconducting wiggler.





Fig. 7: Luminosity scan.

For different energies the luminosity is limited by different reasons. At high energies ( $> 500$  MeV) it is limited mostly by the insufficient positron production rate. At the energies over 800 MeV the necessity of energy ramping in the collider storage ring additionally restricts the luminosity. For lower energies the luminosity is limited by the beam-beam effects, especially by flip-flop effect (see below). At the lowest energies the main limiting factors are the small DA, IBS, low beam lifetime.


In Fig. 8 the obtained beam current is presented as a function of machine operation energy. Although the current is limited not by the beam-beam effects for the energies over 500 MeV but by the limited and constant positron production rate, it continues to increase with energy due to the beam lifetime growth. The decrease of current at the highest energies is caused by the time and beam losses during energy ramping in the collider ring.



**Fig. 8:** Beams current vs. energy.

## 7 Beam-beam parameter

The real beam size can be easily obtained from the luminosity measurements. Contrary to what the simulations predict, the beam sizes grow significantly with beam currents increase (see Fig. 9). However the emittance grows monotonically, without any blow-up threshold.



**Fig. 9:** Beam size growth at IP ( $E = 537$  MeV).

In the Figure 9, the “nominal” beam-beam parameter is used for the horizontal axis which has nothing to do with a real tune shift. This parameter is a normalized measure of the beam current.


$$\xi_{nom} = \frac{N^- r_e \beta_{nom}^*}{4\pi\gamma_{nom}^{*2}}. \quad (2)$$

### 7.1 Beam-beam parameter extracted from luminosity

We can also define the “achieved” beam-beam parameter as


$$\xi_{lumi} = \frac{N^- r_e \beta_{nom}^*}{4\pi\gamma\sigma_{lumi}^{*2}}, \quad (3)$$

where the beta-function is nominal while the beam size is extracted from measured luminosity. With this definition, the range of the beam-beam parameter actually achieved during experimental runs can be seen in Fig. 10.



**Fig. 10:** Achieved beam-beam parameter vs. beam energy.

The bulky data accumulated during three experimental seasons is strongly thinned out to produce Fig. 10. Due to this reason top points corresponding to the peak luminosity and best tuned machine can be hardly seen. In Figure 11 the correlation between achieved and nominal beam-beam parameter is shown for the full data at the given energy  $E = 392.5$  MeV. The beam-beam parameter achieves the maximal value of  $\xi \sim 0.09$  during regular work (magenta dots in Fig. 11).



**Fig. 11:** Achieved beam-beam parameter at 392.5 MeV.

While studying the dependence of beam-beam threshold on bunch length it was found that the RF voltage decrease from 30 kV to 17 kV gives significant benefit in maximal value of  $\xi$  (blue dots in Fig. 11) up to  $\xi \sim 0.12$  per IP. This phenomena is not yet fully explained but some predictions of


beam-beam interaction mitigation can be found in [10] for the bunch slightly longer than  $\beta^*$ . The bunch lengthening in our particular case comes not only from RF voltage decrease itself but also from microwave instability which was observed at low energies with low RF voltage above a certain bunch intensity.

## 7.2 Beam-beam parameter extracted from coherent oscillations

Another independent instrument for beam-beam parameter measurement is the analysis of coherent beams oscillation spectrum. In Fig. 12 one can find two pairs of  $\sigma$ - and  $\pi$ -modes tunes equal to 0.165 and 0.34 respectively. The total tuneshift of  $\Delta\nu = 0.165$  corresponds to  $\xi$  per one IP equal to

$$\xi = \frac{\cos(\pi\nu_\sigma) - \cos(\pi\nu_\pi)}{2\pi \sin(\pi\nu_\sigma)} = 0.124. \quad (4)$$


The Yokoya factor here is taken equal to 1 due to the fact that oscillations with very small amplitude ( $\sim 10 \text{ mkm} = 0.2 \sigma^*$ ) were excited by fast kick and the spectrum was investigated for 8000 turns only. During this short time beam distribution is probably not deformed by oscillating counter beam and remains Gaussian [11].



**Fig. 12:** Coherent beam-beam oscillations spectrum at 479 MeV. The vertical axis corresponds to oscillation harmonic amplitude in mm.

## 8 Flip-flop effect

The beam-beam limit of  $\xi_{\text{lumi}} \sim 0.1$  usually corresponds to the onset of flip-flop effect: the self-consistent situation when one beam sizes are blown up while another beam sizes are almost unperturbed. Probably this flip-flop is caused by interplay of beam-beam effects and nonlinear lattice resonances. One can see in the spectra of slightly kicked bunch that the shifted tunes ( $\pi$ -mode) jumped to the 1/5 resonance in flip-flop case (Fig. 13).



**Fig. 13:** Flip-flop effect. 240 MeV, 5×5 mA.

The observed type of flip-flop effect seems to be avoidable by suppressing the resonance driving terms, as well as by tuning down the working point. Presently, the unexpected problems with DA prevent us from using the design working point. The acceptable bunch stacking rate and beam lifetime at collision is available only for the betatron tunes of  $\{v\} \sim 0.13 \div 0.18$ .

In Figure 13 the images from online control TV-camera are presented for the cases of regular beams (above), flipped electron (middle) or positron (below) beam. On the left the corresponding spectra are shown.

## 9 Conclusion

Round beams give a serious luminosity enhancement. The achieved beam-beam parameter value at low energy amounts to  $\xi \sim 0.1 \div 0.12$ . VEPP-2000 is successfully taking data with two detectors at the whole designed energy range 160÷1000 MeV with the luminosity value 2÷5 times higher than that achieved by its predecessor VEPP-2M. To reach the target luminosity, more positrons and the upgrade of the BEP booster are needed.

## References

- [1] S. Karnaev et al. Low Energy Luminosity at VEPP-4M Collider. Proc. APAC '01, Beijing, (2001), p.201.
- [2] Yu.M. Shatunov et al. Project of a New Electron-Positron Collider VEPP-2000. Proc. EPAC '00, Vienna (2000), p.439.
- [3] V.V. Danilov et al. The Concept of Round Colliding Beams. Proc. EPAC '96, Sitges (1996), p.1149.
- [4] L.M. Barkov et al. Phi-Factory Project in Novosibirsk. Proc. 14th HEACC '89, Tsukuba (1989), p.1385.
- [5] I. Nesterenko, D. Shatilov, E. Simonov, Proc. of Mini-Workshop on “Round beams and related concepts in beam dynamics”, Fermilab (1996).

- [6] A.A. Valishev, E.A. Perevedentsev, K. Ohmi. Strong-Strong Simulation of Beam-Beam Interaction for Round Beams. Proc. PAC '03, Portland (2003), p.3398.
- [7] D. Shatilov et al. LIFETRAC Code for the Weak-Strong Simulation of the Beam-Beam Effects in Tevatron. Proc. PAC '05, Knoxville, USA (2005), p.4138.
- [8] M.N. Achasov et al. First experience with SND calorimeter at VEPP-2000 collider. *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* 598:31-32 (2009).
- [9] P.M. Ivanov et al. Luminosity and the Beam-Beam-Effects on the Electron-Positron Storage Ring VEPP-2M with Superconducting Wiggler Magnet. Proc. 3-rd Advanced ICFA Beam Dynamics Workshop, Novosibirsk (1989).
- [10] V.V. Danilov, E.A. Perevedentsev. Two examples of integrable systems with round colliding beams. Proc. PAC '1997, Vancouver, Canada (1997), p.1759.
- [11] P.M. Ivanov et al. Experimental Studies of Beam-Beam Effects at VEPP-2M. Proc. Workshop on Beam-Beam Effects in Circular Colliders, Fermilab (2001), p.36.