

Elagenossische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology zurich

# New techniques in the computation of scattering amplitudes

Claude Duhr

LHCPhenoNet Mid-Term Meeting Ravello, 17/09/2012

## Scattering amplitudes in QFT

- LHC physics is dominated by QCD.
- State of the art:

➡ Tree-level: essentially solved (except multi-leg amplitudes).

## Scattering amplitudes in QFT

- LHC physics is dominated by QCD.
- State of the art:
  - ➡ Tree-level: essentially solved (except multi-leg amplitudes).
  - One loop:
    - ✓ Integral basis (boxes, triangles, bubbles)
    - $\checkmark$  Essentially solved

# Scattering amplitudes in QFT

- LHC physics is dominated by QCD.
- State of the art:
  - ➡ Tree-level: essentially solved (except multi-leg amplitudes).
  - ➡ One loop:
    - ✓ Integral basis (boxes, triangles, bubbles)
    - $\checkmark$  Essentially solved
  - ➡ Two loops:
    - Two-loop amplitudes in general unknown.
    - No two-loop integral basis known.

[See talks by Gluza and Malamos]

#### Multi-loop computations

- Why are multi-loop computations so difficult..?
- Integration-by-parts identities allow to reduce the problem to the computation of a minimal set of master integrals.
- Quantities are divergent:
  - → UV & IR divergences.
- Two-loop integrals are generically polylogarithms of weight 4 in many external physical parameters.
  - ➡ multiple polylogarithms.
  - need to evaluate these functions numerically in a fast and efficient way, including all the branch cuts, etc.
     In other words, polylogarithms and their generalizations are everywhere!
    - Need to understand these functions!

- The final goal is to obtain an expression of the loop integrals in terms of
  - → Transcendental numbers: mutliple zeta values, log 2, *etc*.
  - → Transcendental functions: a whole zoo was discovered

- The final goal is to obtain an expression of the loop integrals in terms of
  - → Transcendental numbers: mutliple zeta values, log 2, *etc*.
  - ➡ Transcendental functions: a whole zoo was discovered
    - ★ (Classical) polylogarithms.

- The final goal is to obtain an expression of the loop integrals in terms of
  - → Transcendental numbers: mutliple zeta values, log 2, *etc*.
  - ➡ Transcendental functions: a whole zoo was discovered
    - ★ (Classical) polylogarithms.
    - ★ Harmonic polylogarithms.

- The final goal is to obtain an expression of the loop integrals in terms of
  - → Transcendental numbers: mutliple zeta values, log 2, *etc*.
  - ➡ Transcendental functions: a whole zoo was discovered
    - ★ (Classical) polylogarithms.
    - ★ Harmonic polylogarithms.
    - ★ 2d harmonic polylogarithms.

- The final goal is to obtain an expression of the loop integrals in terms of
  - → Transcendental numbers: mutliple zeta values, log 2, *etc*.
  - ➡ Transcendental functions: a whole zoo was discovered
    - ★ (Classical) polylogarithms.
    - ★ Harmonic polylogarithms.
    - ★ 2d harmonic polylogarithms.
    - ★ Cyclotomic harmonic polylogarithms.

- The final goal is to obtain an expression of the loop integrals in terms of
  - → Transcendental numbers: mutliple zeta values, log 2, *etc*.
  - ➡ Transcendental functions: a whole zoo was discovered
    - ★ (Classical) polylogarithms.
    - ★ Harmonic polylogarithms.
    - ★ 2d harmonic polylogarithms.
    - ★ Cyclotomic harmonic polylogarithms.
    - ★ All these are just special classes of multiple polylogarithms.

- The final goal is to obtain an expression of the loop integrals in terms of
  - → Transcendental numbers: mutliple zeta values, log 2, *etc*.
  - ➡ Transcendental functions: a whole zoo was discovered
    - ★ (Classical) polylogarithms.
    - ★ Harmonic polylogarithms.
    - ★ 2d harmonic polylogarithms.
    - ★ Cyclotomic harmonic polylogarithms.
    - ★ All these are just special classes of multiple polylogarithms.
    - ★ Elliptic functions.

• In this talk: will concentrate exclusively on polylogarithms.

$$G(a_1, \dots, a_n; z) = \int_0^z \frac{dt}{t - a_1} G(a_2, \dots, a_n; t) \quad | \quad \text{Li}_n(z) = \int_0^z \frac{dt}{t} \operatorname{Li}_{n-1}(t)$$

$$G(a_1, \dots, a_n; z) = \int_0^z \frac{dt}{t - a_1} G(a_2, \dots, a_n; t) \quad | \quad \text{Li}_n(z) = \int_0^z \frac{dt}{t} \operatorname{Li}_{n-1}(t) dt$$

- All the special functions physicists defined are just special cases thereof:
  - $\blacktriangleright$  (Classical) polylogarithms:  $\text{Li}_n(z) = -G(0, \dots, 0, 1; z)$

$$G(a_1, \dots, a_n; z) = \int_0^z \frac{dt}{t - a_1} G(a_2, \dots, a_n; t) \quad | \quad \text{Li}_n(z) = \int_0^z \frac{dt}{t} \operatorname{Li}_{n-1}(t)$$

- All the special functions physicists defined are just special cases thereof:
  - $\blacktriangleright$  (Classical) polylogarithms:  $\text{Li}_n(z) = -G(0, \dots, 0, 1; z)$
  - → Harmonic polylogarithms:  $a_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$

$$G(a_1, \dots, a_n; z) = \int_0^z \frac{dt}{t - a_1} G(a_2, \dots, a_n; t) \quad | \quad \text{Li}_n(z) = \int_0^z \frac{dt}{t} \operatorname{Li}_{n-1}(t)$$

- All the special functions physicists defined are just special cases thereof:
  - $\blacktriangleright$  (Classical) polylogarithms:  $\text{Li}_n(z) = -G(0, \dots, 0, 1; z)$
  - → Harmonic polylogarithms:  $a_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$
  - ➡ 2d harmonic polylogarithms: e.g.,  $a_i \in \{0, 1, a\}$

$$G(a_1, \dots, a_n; z) = \int_0^z \frac{dt}{t - a_1} G(a_2, \dots, a_n; t) \quad | \quad \text{Li}_n(z) = \int_0^z \frac{dt}{t} \operatorname{Li}_{n-1}(t)$$

- All the special functions physicists defined are just special cases thereof:
  - → (Classical) polylogarithms:  $\text{Li}_n(z) = -G(0, ..., 0, 1; z)$
  - → Harmonic polylogarithms:  $a_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$
  - ➡ 2d harmonic polylogarithms: e.g.,  $a_i \in \{0, 1, a\}$
  - ➡ Cyclotomic harmonic polylogarithms: roots of unity.

- Even if an amplitude is simple, it might be that our approach to the problem leads to a difficult answer.
- The polylogarithms satisfy various complicated functional equations.
  - The simplicity of the answer might be hidden behind a swath of functional equations.

$$-\text{Li}_2(z) - \ln z \ln(1-z) = \text{Li}_2(1-z) - \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

• In other words we need to 'control' the functional equations among polylogarithms.

#### Number theory and Loop integrals

- Polylogarithms have been introduced and studied several centuries ago by Euler, Nielsen, Poincaré,...
  - ➡ 'Mathematics of the 19th century'.

#### Number theory and Loop integrals

- Polylogarithms have been introduced and studied several centuries ago by Euler, Nielsen, Poincaré,...
  - ➡ 'Mathematics of the 19th century'.
- No! Over the last 20 years polylogarithms were a very active field of research in pure mathematics.
- Mathematicians have discovered very far reaching algebraic structures underlying polylogarithms.
- In particular, mathematicians showed that multiple polylogarithms form a Hopf algebra. [Goncharov]

#### Number theory and Loop integrals

- Polylogarithms have been introduced and studied several centuries ago by Euler, Nielsen, Poincaré,...
  - ➡ 'Mathematics of the 19th century'.
- No! Over the last 20 years polylogarithms were a very active field of research in pure mathematics.
- Mathematicians have discovered very far reaching algebraic structures underlying polylogarithms.
- In particular, mathematicians showed that multiple polylogarithms form a Hopf algebra. [Goncharov]
- Consequence: All functional equations are pure combinatorics!
  - You do not even need to know the integral in order to derive the relations among them!



• Algebra: Vector space with an operation that allows one to 'fuse' two elements into one (multiplication).

- Algebra: Vector space with an operation that allows one to 'fuse' two elements into one (multiplication).
- Coalgebra: Vector space with an operation that allows one to break two elements apart (comultiplication).

- Algebra: Vector space with an operation that allows one to 'fuse' two elements into one (multiplication).
- Coalgebra: Vector space with an operation that allows one to break two elements apart (comultiplication).
- Hopf algebra: Vector space with both multiplication and comultiplication, i.e., one can 'fuse' and 'break apart' in a consistent manner.

- Algebra: Vector space with an operation that allows one to 'fuse' two elements into one (multiplication).
- Coalgebra: Vector space with an operation that allows one to break two elements apart (comultiplication).
- Hopf algebra: Vector space with both multiplication and comultiplication, i.e., one can 'fuse' and 'break apart' in a consistent manner.
- Goncharov showed that mutliple polylogarithms form a Hopf algebra.

$$\Delta(\ln z) = 1 \otimes \ln z + \ln z \otimes 1$$
  
$$(\operatorname{Li}_n(z)) = 1 \otimes \operatorname{Li}_n(z) + \operatorname{Li}_n(z) \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{Li}_{n-k}(z) \otimes \frac{\ln^k}{k!}$$

 $\Lambda$ 

 $\mathcal{Z}$ 

• How can all this be useful to physicists..?

- How can all this be useful to physicists..?
- Imagine a two-loop multi-scale integral that evaluates to 1000's of Li<sub>4</sub>'s.
  - ➡ Can the expression be simplified?

- How can all this be useful to physicists..?
- Imagine a two-loop multi-scale integral that evaluates to 1000's of Li<sub>4</sub>'s.

'Li<sub>4</sub>'

- How can all this be useful to physicists..?
- Imagine a two-loop multi-scale integral that evaluates to 1000's of Li<sub>4</sub>'s.

Too complicated to handle  $'Li_4'$ 

• How can all this be useful to physicists..?

'Li<sub>3</sub>  $\otimes$  Li<sub>1</sub>'

• Imagine a two-loop multi-scale integral that evaluates to 1000's of  $\text{Li}_4$ 's.

Too complicated to handle  $'Li_4'$ 

'Li<sub>2</sub>  $\otimes$  Li<sub>2</sub>'

Break it into pieces

'Li<sub>1</sub>  $\otimes$  Li<sub>2</sub>'

• How can all this be useful to physicists..?

Too complicated to handle

• Imagine a two-loop multi-scale integral that evaluates to 1000's of Li<sub>4</sub>'s.

Still too complicated 'Li<sub>3</sub>  $\otimes$  Li<sub>1</sub>' 'Li<sub>2</sub>  $\otimes$  Li<sub>2</sub>' Break it into pieces 'Li<sub>1</sub>  $\otimes$  Li<sub>1</sub>' 'Li<sub>2</sub>  $\otimes$  Li<sub>2</sub>' 'Li<sub>1</sub>  $\otimes$  Li<sub>3</sub>'

 $Li_{4}$ 

• How can all this be useful to physicists..?

Too complicated to handle

• Imagine a two-loop multi-scale integral that evaluates to 1000's of Li<sub>4</sub>'s.

 $Li_{4}$ 

Break it into pieces

Still too complicated 'Li<sub>3</sub>  $\otimes$  Li<sub>1</sub>' 'Li<sub>2</sub>  $\otimes$  Li<sub>2</sub>' 'Li<sub>1</sub>  $\otimes$  Li<sub>3</sub>'

 $`{\rm Li}_2\otimes{\rm Li}_1\otimes{\rm Li}_1' ~`{\rm Li}_1\otimes{\rm Li}_2\otimes{\rm Li}_1' ~`{\rm Li}_1\otimes{\rm Li}_1\otimes{\rm Li}_2'$ 

- How can all this be useful to physicists..?
- Imagine a two-loop multi-scale integral that evaluates to 1000's of Li<sub>4</sub>'s.



- How can all this be useful to physicists..?
- Imagine a two-loop multi-scale integral that evaluates to 1000's of Li<sub>4</sub>'s.







• At the end of this procedure, we have broken everything into little pieces (logarithms = symbol), for which all identities are known.

• We then need to reassemble the pieces to find the simplified expression (This is the most difficult step!)

- At each step information is lost, but in a controlled way:
  - ➡ Can be recovered by going back up one step at the time.

# Hopf algebras and Loop integrals

• Understanding the mathematical structure underlying multiple polylogarithms opens new possibilities for the computation of Feynman integrals and scattering amplitudes.

# Hopf algebras and Loop integrals

- Understanding the mathematical structure underlying multiple polylogarithms opens new possibilities for the computation of Feynman integrals and scattering amplitudes.
- Substantial simplifications of complicated expressions:
  - Six-point MHV amplitude in N=4 Super Yang-Mills.
  - → Two-loop helicity amplitudes for H + 3g.

# Hopf algebras and Loop integrals

- Understanding the mathematical structure underlying multiple polylogarithms opens new possibilities for the computation of Feynman integrals and scattering amplitudes.
- Substantial simplifications of complicated expressions:
   Six-point MHV amplitude in N=4 Super Yang-Mills.
   Two-loop helicity amplitudes for H + 3g.
- Determine space of functions a priori:
  - ➡ Generalized ladder integrals.
  - → N=4 SYM six-point amplitude in the Regge limit.
  - → Two-loop three mass triangle integrals.

#### 6-point amplitude in N=4 SYM

• Evaluating the individual diagrams one arrives at a very complicated combination of multiple polylogarithms (17 pages),



[Del Duca, CD, Smirnov]

#### 6-point amplitude in N=4 SYM

$$\begin{aligned} R_6^{(2)}(u_1, u_2, u_3) &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \left( L_4(x_i^+, x_i^-) - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Li}_4(1 - 1/u_i) \right) & [\text{Goncharov, Spradlin,} \\ &- \frac{1}{8} \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 \operatorname{Li}_2(1 - 1/u_i) \right)^2 + \frac{1}{24} J^4 + \frac{\pi^2}{12} J^2 + \frac{\pi^4}{72} \\ x_i^{\pm} &= u_i x^{\pm}, \ x^{\pm} = \frac{u_1 + u_2 + u_3 - 1 \pm \sqrt{\Delta}}{2u_1 u_2 u_3}, \ \Delta &= (u_1 + u_2 + u_3 - 1)^2 - 4u_1 u_2 u_3 \\ L_4(x^+, x^-) &= \frac{1}{8!!} \log(x^+ x^-)^4 + \sum_{m=0}^3 \frac{(-1)^m}{(2m)!!} \log(x^+ x^-)^m (\ell_{4-m}(x^+) + \ell_{4-m}(x^-)) \\ \ell_n(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \operatorname{Li}_n(x) - (-1)^n \operatorname{Li}_n(1/x) \right) \qquad J = \sum_{i=1}^3 (\ell_1(x_i^+) - \ell_1(x_i^-)) \end{aligned}$$

• This was the first time the new mathematical methods were applied.

- Gehrmann, Jaquier, Glover and Koukoutsakis have recently computed the two-loop helicity amplitudes for a Higgs boson + 3 gluons
  - ➡ in the decay region

$$H \to g^+ g^+ g^+ \qquad H \to g^+ g^+ g^-$$

➡ and the scattering region

 $g^+ g^+ \rightarrow g^+ H$   $g^+ g^+ \rightarrow g^- H$   $g^+ g^- \rightarrow g^+ H$ • Kinematics (in the decay region):

$$x_1 = \frac{s_{12}}{m_H^2}, \qquad x_2 = \frac{s_{23}}{m_H^2}, \qquad x_3 = \frac{s_{31}}{m_H^2}$$

 $0 < x_i < 1$  and  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1$ 

- The result was expressed in terms of complicated combinations of '2d harmonic polylogarithms'.
  - Symmetries completely lost (e.g. Bose symmetry).
  - → Very long and complicated.
  - ➡ Numerical evaluation of complicated special functions.
  - Analytic continuation from decay to scattering region very complicated.

- The result was expressed in terms of complicated combinations of '2d harmonic polylogarithms'.
  - Symmetries completely lost (e.g. Bose symmetry).
  - → Very long and complicated.
  - ➡ Numerical evaluation of complicated special functions.
  - Analytic continuation from decay to scattering region very complicated.
- Brandhuber, Gang and Travaglini observed that the symbol of the leading color weight 4 part (after subtracting the one-loop squared) is equal to the symbol of the form factor of 3 gluons in N=4 Super Yang-Mills.
  - A simpler representation of the Higgs amplitudes in terms of classical polylogarithms only should exist.

$$\begin{split} \overline{A}_{\alpha}^{(2)} &= \mathcal{R}_{3}^{(2)} - \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} A_{\alpha}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{4} \zeta_{3} B_{\alpha}^{(1)} - \frac{\pi^{4}}{2880} \\ &= \frac{11}{6} \left\{ \Lambda_{3} \left( -\frac{x_{1}x_{3}}{x_{2}} \right) + \Lambda_{3} \left( -\frac{x_{2}x_{3}}{x_{1}} \right) + \Lambda_{3} \left( -\frac{x_{1}x_{2}}{x_{3}} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \operatorname{Li}_{3} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{x_{i}} \right) \right. \\ &= \Lambda_{3} \left( -\frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \right) - \Lambda_{3} \left( -\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}} \right) - \Lambda_{3} \left( -\frac{x_{1}}{x_{3}} \right) - \Lambda_{3} \left( -\frac{x_{3}}{x_{1}} \right) - \Lambda_{3} \left( -\frac{x_{2}}{x_{3}} \right) - \Lambda_{3} \left( -\frac{x_{2}}{x_{3}} \right) \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \ln(x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}) A_{\alpha}^{(1)} + \frac{7}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left[ \operatorname{Li}_{2} (1 - x_{i}) \ln x_{i} \right] + \frac{3}{4} \ln x_{1} \ln x_{2} \ln x_{3} + \frac{1}{6} \ln^{3} (x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}) \right. \\ &- \frac{5}{16} \pi^{2} \ln(x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}) - \frac{3}{8} \zeta_{3} + i \pi A_{\alpha}^{(1)} + \frac{i \pi^{3}}{16} - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \ln^{3} x_{i} \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{36} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left[ \frac{P_{1}(x_{i}, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})}{x_{i-1}^{2} x_{i+1}^{2}} \operatorname{Li}_{2} (1 - x_{i}) + \frac{P_{2}(x_{i}, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})}{x_{i}^{2}} \ln x_{i-1} \ln x_{i+1} + \frac{121}{4} \ln^{2} x_{i} \right] \\ &+ \frac{P_{3}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3})}{144 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} x_{3}^{2}} \pi^{2} - \frac{121}{72} i \pi \ln(x_{1} x_{2} x_{2}) + \frac{11}{36} i \pi (x_{1} x_{2} + x_{2} x_{3} + x_{3} x_{1}) + \frac{185}{24} i \pi \\ &+ \frac{1}{72} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{P_{4}(x_{i}, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})}{x_{i-1} x_{i+1}} \ln x_{i} - \frac{1}{72} (x_{1} x_{2} + x_{3} x_{2} + x_{1} x_{3})^{2} + \frac{247}{108} (x_{1} x_{2} + x_{3} x_{2} + x_{1} x_{3}) \\ &+ \frac{1321}{216} , \end{split}$$

 $\Lambda_n(z) = \int_0^z \mathrm{d}t \, \frac{\ln^{n-1} |t|}{1+t} = (n-1)! \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^{n-k}}{k!} \, \ln^k |z| \, \mathrm{Li}_{n-k}(z)$ 

$$\overline{D}_{\alpha}^{(2)} = -\zeta_{3} + \frac{i\pi}{4} - \frac{1}{6} \left( x_{1}x_{2} + x_{3}x_{2} + x_{1}x_{3} \right) + \frac{67}{48} + \frac{P_{5}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3})}{72x_{1}^{2}x_{2}^{2}x_{3}^{2}} \pi^{2} + \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left[ \frac{P_{6}(x_{i}, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})}{x_{i-1}^{2}x_{i+1}^{2}} \operatorname{Li}_{2}(1 - x_{i}) + \frac{P_{7}(x_{i}, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})}{x_{i}^{2}} \ln x_{i-1} \ln x_{i+1} \right]$$
(7.19)  
$$+ \frac{P_{8}(x_{i}, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})}{2x_{i-1}x_{i+1}} \ln x_{i}$$

$$\overline{E}_{\alpha}^{(2)} = -\frac{i\pi^{3}}{48} - \frac{i\pi}{3} A_{\alpha}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{12} \ln (x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}) (\ln x_{1} \ln x_{2} + \ln x_{1} \ln x_{3} + \ln x_{2} \ln x_{3}) 
+ \frac{P_{13}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3})}{432} + \frac{7}{12} \ln x_{1} \ln x_{2} \ln x_{3} - \frac{5}{48}\pi^{2} \ln (x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}) - \frac{29}{24}\zeta_{3} 
+ \frac{11}{18} i\pi \ln(x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}) + \frac{P_{11}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3})}{288x_{1}^{2}x_{2}^{2}x_{3}^{2}} \pi^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left[ \text{Li}_{3}(x_{i}) - \frac{1}{3}\text{Li}_{3}(1 - x_{i}) \right] 
+ \frac{1}{6}\text{Li}_{2}(1 - x_{i}) \ln x_{i} + \frac{1}{2}\ln(1 - x_{i}) \ln^{2}x_{i} + \frac{1}{6}\ln(x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}) \text{Li}_{2}(1 - x_{i}) 
+ \frac{P_{9}(x_{i}, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})}{36x_{i}^{2}-1} \text{Li}_{2}(1 - x_{i}) + \frac{P_{10}(x_{i}, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})}{36x_{i}^{2}} \ln x_{i-1} \ln x_{i+1} 
+ \frac{11}{36}\ln^{2}x_{i} + \frac{P_{12}(x_{i}, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})}{216x_{i-1}x_{i+1}} \ln x_{i} - \frac{13}{36}i\pi (x_{1}x_{2} + x_{3}x_{2} + x_{1}x_{3}) - \frac{71}{18}i\pi ,$$
(7.20)

$$\overline{F}_{\alpha}^{(2)} = -\frac{i\pi}{18} \ln(x_1 x_2 x_3) - \frac{11}{144} \pi^2 + \frac{1}{36} \sum_{i=1}^3 \ln^2 x_i - \frac{5}{54} \ln(x_1 x_2 x_3) + \frac{5i\pi}{18} + \frac{i\pi}{18} (x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_3 + x_3 x_1) + \frac{5}{54} (x_1 x_2 + x_3 x_2 + x_1 x_3) - \frac{1}{72} (x_1 x_2 + x_3 x_2 + x_1 x_3)^2 - \frac{x_1 x_2 x_3}{18} \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\ln x_i}{x_i},$$

- Originally, the expressions filled up more than 6 pages!
  Bose symmetry is now completely manifest.
- Only simple functions (classical polylogarithms) with simple arguments.
  - easy numerical evaluation.
- Similar results can be obtained for  $H \to g^+g^+g^-$ .

## Single-valued polylogarithms

• In some cases it is possible to determine the space of functions a priori from general considerations.

## Single-valued polylogarithms

- In some cases it is possible to determine the space of functions a priori from general considerations.
- In particular, in the case of
  - generalized ladder integrals,
  - → the six-point N=4 SYM amplitude in the Regge limit,

three-mass triangle integrals,

one can show on general grounds that the space of functions is the (almost) the same, and corresponds to polylogarithms in one complex variable z without any branch cuts in the complex z plane (single-valued).

## Single-valued polylogarithms

- In some cases it is possible to determine the space of functions a priori from general considerations.
- In particular, in the case of
  - generalized ladder integrals,
  - → the six-point N=4 SYM amplitude in the Regge limit,

three-mass triangle integrals, one can show on general grounds that the space of functions is the (almost) the same, and corresponds to

polylogarithms in one complex variable z without any branch cuts in the complex z plane (single-valued).

• These functions can be classified [Brown], and it turns out that at a given loop order the amplitude can only be a linear combination of very few functions.

- Consider a a three-point function without internal masses.
- The kinemtics is described by the two variables

$$z \,\overline{z} = u = \frac{p_1^2}{p_3^2}$$
  $(1-z)(1-\overline{z}) = v = \frac{p_2^2}{p_3^2}$ 

• It can be shown recursively, by combining the Hopf algebra with Cutkowski's rules, that the such three-point functions must be single-valued functions in the complex variable z.

- Consider a a three-point function without internal masses.
- The kinemtics is described by the two variables

$$z \,\overline{z} = u = \frac{p_1^2}{p_3^2}$$
  $(1-z)(1-\overline{z}) = v = \frac{p_2^2}{p_3^2}$ 

- It can be shown recursively, by combining the Hopf algebra with Cutkowski's rules, that the such three-point functions must be single-valued functions in the complex variable z.
- Adding some symemtry considerations, there are only very functions of this type up to weight 4 (= 2 loops)!

weight	+	_
1	$\ln  z ^2, \ln  1-z ^2$	_
2	$\zeta_2$	$\mathcal{P}_2(z)$
3	$\zeta_3, \mathcal{P}_3(z), \mathcal{P}_3(1-z)$	$\mathcal{Q}_3(z)$
4	$\mathcal{Q}_4^+(z), \mathcal{Q}_4^+(1-z)$	$\mathcal{P}_4(z), \mathcal{P}_4(1-z), \mathcal{P}_4(1-1/z), \mathcal{Q}_4^-(z)$

• As an example, the one-loop integral reads in this basis:  

$$T_1(p_1^2, p_2^2, p_3^2; \epsilon) = -2c_{\Gamma} \frac{\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)^2} (-p_3^2)^{-1-\epsilon} \frac{u^{-\epsilon} v^{-\epsilon}}{z-\overline{z}} \left\{ \mathcal{P}_2(z) + 2\epsilon \mathcal{Q}_3(z) + \epsilon^2 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{6} \ln u \ln v - \zeta_2 \right) \mathcal{P}_2(z) + 2 \mathcal{Q}_4^-(z) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3) \right\}.$$

• Similar results at two-loops.

[Chavez, CD]

- As an example, the one-loop integral reads in this basis:  $T_1(p_1^2, p_2^2, p_3^2; \epsilon) = -2c_{\Gamma} \frac{\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)^2} (-p_3^2)^{-1-\epsilon} \frac{u^{-\epsilon} v^{-\epsilon}}{z-\bar{z}} \left\{ \mathcal{P}_2(z) + 2\epsilon \mathcal{Q}_3(z) + \epsilon^2 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{6} \ln u \ln v - \zeta_2 \right) \mathcal{P}_2(z) + 2 \mathcal{Q}_4^-(z) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3) \right\}.$
- Similar results at two-loops.

[Chavez, CD]

- Note that these integrals were known in principle:
  - by Davydychev and Ussyukina: in terms of classical polylogarithms, but not expanded high enough in epsilon.
  - by Birthwright, Glover and Marquard: in terms of complicated iterated integrals involving square roots.
- In our representation: very compact, all symmetries manifest, analytic continuation almost trivial.

## More single-valued functions

- Certain generalized multi-loop dual conformal ladder integrals can be expressed in terms of the same type of functions:
  - write down an ansatz in terms of these functions, and inject it into some differential equation.
  - generates an infinite number of analytic results for multiloop 4-point integrals.

## More single-valued functions

- Certain generalized multi-loop dual conformal ladder integrals can be expressed in terms of the same type of functions:
  - write down an ansatz in terms of these functions, and inject it into some differential equation.
  - generates an infinite number of analytic results for multiloop 4-point integrals.
- Similar approach can be used for the six-point amplitude in N=4 SYM in the Regge limit:
  - write down an ansatz in terms of these functions, and match the Taylor expansion of the ansatz to some Mellin-Barnes integral.
  - generates explicit results for the 6-point amplitude in N=4 SYM up to ten loops in this limit! [Dixon, CD, Pennington]

## Conclusion & open questions

- Understanding the mathematics underlying the functions that enter Feynman integrals opens new ways to deal with loop amplitudes:
  - ➡ Simplify complicated expressions.
  - Determine space of functions a priori and inject into differential equations or match asymptotic expansions.

## Conclusion & open questions

- Understanding the mathematics underlying the functions that enter Feynman integrals opens new ways to deal with loop amplitudes:
  - ➡ Simplify complicated expressions.
  - Determine space of functions a priori and inject into differential equations or match asymptotic expansions.

#### • Open questions:

- So far arguments of polylogarithms need to be rational functions.
- → The case of elliptic functions is not covered.
- Can we define a coproduct directly on Feynman integrals that matches the coproduct on multiple polylogarithms?

• Indeed, the Hopf algebra fixes the inversion relations recursively.

• Indeed, the Hopf algebra fixes the inversion relations recursively.

• Weight 1: trivial

$$\operatorname{Li}_1\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = -\ln\left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right) = -\ln(1 - x) + \ln(-x) = -\ln(1 - x) + \ln x - i\pi$$

with  $x = x + i \varepsilon$ .

• Weight 2:

$$\Delta_{1,1} \left[ \operatorname{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) \right] = -\ln \left( 1 - \frac{1}{x} \right) \otimes \ln \left( \frac{1}{x} \right)$$
$$= \ln(1 - x) \otimes \ln x - \ln x \otimes \ln x + i\pi \otimes \ln x$$
$$= \Delta_{1,1} \left[ -\operatorname{Li}_2(x) - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 x + i\pi \ln x \right].$$

• Weight 2:

$$\Delta_{1,1} \left[ \operatorname{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) \right] = -\ln \left( 1 - \frac{1}{x} \right) \otimes \ln \left( \frac{1}{x} \right)$$
$$= \ln(1 - x) \otimes \ln x - \ln x \otimes \ln x + i\pi \otimes \ln x$$
$$= \Delta_{1,1} \left[ -\operatorname{Li}_2(x) - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 x + i\pi \ln x \right].$$

• This fixes the inversion relation, up to some zeta value.

At each step we loose a zeta value, they are indecomposable ('primitive').

$$\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = -\operatorname{Li}_{2}(x) - \frac{1}{2}\ln^{2}x + i\pi\ln x + c\pi^{2}$$

and c = 1/3 from x=1.

• Weight 3:  $\Delta_{1,1,1} \left[ \operatorname{Li}_3 \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) \right] = -\ln \left( 1 - \frac{1}{x} \right) \otimes \ln \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) \otimes \ln \left( \frac{1}{x} \right)$   $= -\ln(1 - x) \otimes \ln x \otimes \ln x + \ln x \otimes \ln x - i\pi \otimes \ln x \otimes \ln x$   $= \Delta_{1,1,1} \left[ \operatorname{Li}_3(x) + \frac{1}{6} \ln^3 x - \frac{i\pi}{2} \ln^2 x \right].$ 

• Weight 3:  $\Delta_{1,1,1} \left[ \operatorname{Li}_3 \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) \right] = -\ln\left( 1 - \frac{1}{x} \right) \otimes \ln\left( \frac{1}{x} \right) \otimes \ln\left( \frac{1}{x} \right)$   $= -\ln(1 - x) \otimes \ln x \otimes \ln x + \ln x \otimes \ln x - i\pi \otimes \ln x \otimes \ln x$   $= \Delta_{1,1,1} \left[ \operatorname{Li}_3(x) + \frac{1}{6} \ln^3 x - \frac{i\pi}{2} \ln^2 x \right].$ 

- At this stage however we have lost everything proportional to zeta values.
  - ➡ Go one step up!

$$\Delta_{2,1} \left[ \operatorname{Li}_3 \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) - \left( \operatorname{Li}_3(x) + \frac{1}{6} \ln^3 x - \frac{i\pi}{2} \ln^2 x \right) \right]$$
$$= \left[ -\operatorname{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) - \operatorname{Li}_2(x) - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 x - i\pi \ln x \right] \otimes \ln x$$
$$= -\frac{1}{3} \pi^2 \otimes \ln x = \Delta_{2,1} \left( -\frac{\pi^2}{3} \ln x \right)$$

• Finally:

and  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  from x=1.

• We could now go on like this and derive the inversion relations for arbitrary weight.

• Finally:

Li<sub>3</sub> 
$$\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = \text{Li}_3(x) + \frac{1}{6}\ln^3 x - \frac{i\pi}{2}\ln^2 x - \frac{\pi^2}{3}\ln x + \alpha\zeta_3 + \beta i\pi^3$$
  
and  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  from x=1.

- We could now go on like this and derive the inversion relations for arbitrary weight.
  - No painful manipulation of the integral representation at any step!

$$\begin{aligned} G(-z, -z, 1-z, 1-z; y) &= \operatorname{Li}_{3}(1-x)\log(1-z) + \operatorname{Li}_{3}(1-z)\log(1-x) + \operatorname{Li}_{4}\left(1-\frac{1}{x}\right) + \operatorname{Li}_{4}(1-x) \\ &- \operatorname{Li}_{4}(x) - \operatorname{Li}_{3}(1-x)\log(x) + \operatorname{Li}_{4}\left(1-\frac{1}{z}\right) + \operatorname{Li}_{4}(1-z) - \operatorname{Li}_{4}(z) - \operatorname{Li}_{3}(1-z)\log(z) + \frac{1}{4}\log^{2}(1-x) \\ &\log^{2}(1-z) + \pi^{2}\left(-\frac{1}{6}\log(1-x)\log(1-z) + \frac{\log^{2}(x)}{12} + \frac{\log^{2}(z)}{12}\right) + \zeta(3)\log(x) - \zeta(3)\log(1-x) + \frac{\log^{4}(x)}{24} - \frac{1}{6}\log(1-x)\log^{3}(x) - \zeta(3)\log(1-z) + \zeta(3)\log(z) + \frac{\log^{4}(z)}{24} - \frac{1}{6}\log(1-z)\log^{3}(z) + \frac{7\pi^{4}}{360}, \end{aligned}$$

with x+y+z=1, 0 < x,y,z < 1.