

### Close Cathode Chamber: cost efficient and lightweight detector for tracking applications

D. Varga, G. Hamar Wigner RCP, Budapest

#### Outline

- Motivation: small material budget, economic tracking station for the ALICE VHMPID HPTD
- Asymmetric MWPC: an old idea revived
- Close Cathode Chamber: insensitivity to cathode planarity error
- Practical issues: large size, dead zones, ...
- Applications: HPTD, cosmic muon detection
- Hybrids: photon detection, GEM QA, ...

# Asymmetric MWPC outline An old idea revived – predecessor of MSGC-s





Fig. 1. Schematic of the cross-section of the asymmetric wire chamber.

NIMA 648 (2011) 648.

G. Charpak, I. Crotty, Y. Giomataris, L. Ropelewski, L. Williams NIMA 346 (1994) 506.

#### Field lines: closed among wires





 Field wires Sense wires
 Actually inspired by MPGD-s – amplification independent of readout structure

## Gain dependence on baseplate (grounded cathode) planarity



- Direct measurement with inclined wire plane
- No dependence at given FW voltage reachable
- Note field wires on negative potential!
   NIM A 648 (2011) 163



#### Implications for advantages

- No need for a precisely flat baseplate: low material budget, simplified construction
- No sparking, unlike some MPGD-s
- One can go closer to the baseplate ("Close Cathode Chamber") with the wires (1.5 – 0.8mm)
- Good signal coupling (60%) to segmented baseplate but...
- ... where do we pay for the reduced mechanical tolerances of the baseplate?

#### Wire positioning becomes critical

• FW horizontal and SW vertical are most sensitive (solved by support design)



#### Construction: wire positioning and fixing

- Laser engraved plastic wire support bars
- Wire tension held by baseplate! No frame needed





#### Construction: towards larger sizes

- Introduction of support pillars and spacers
- 50cm by 100cm unit weighs less than 2kg!



NIM A 698 (2013) 11







#### Dead zones at spacers

• Reduced width of dead zones because field lines end up on FW and not on baseplate / cathode





NIM A 648 (2011) 163

## Direct demonstration of insensitivity to baseplate / cathode bulging



#### Proposed application: HPTD

- Component of ALICE VHMPID upgrade project
- CCC proposed as trigger (L0, L1) and tracking
- VHMPID postponed to LS3 in favour of more concentrated upgrades

NIMA 639 (2011) 274. Nucl.Phys. Proc. Supp. 197 (2009) 296



#### CERN PS beam test

 Various HPTD elements for performance validation

NIM A 698 (2013) 11



#### Application as cosmic muon tracking

 Two dimensional readout (pads and FW), triggering on summed SW signal – standalone system







pacer Steel Columns



#### Tomographic cosmic tracking station

• Multiple scattering strength map for various samples





#### Hybrid: GEM + CCC for R&D and QA purposes

- Old concept with MWPC
- Possibility of testing single GEM layers





#### TCPD hybrid: TGEM + CCC for UV detection

- Complete Cherenkov ring with 20cm TGEM
- Note it has advantages from both worlds: high detection efficiency without sparks



## TGEM high resolution UV sensitivity scanning (Leopard)

- CCC as booster stage for TGEM
- Sensitive to single electrons by itself! (see right panel)



NIMA 694 (2012) 16, see talk by G. Hamar on Monday D. Varga TIPP2014

#### Conclusions

- CCC concept: some features of MPGD-s help improving the classical MWPC-s
- Low material budget, easy and cheap construction
- Mechanical resistance enables out-of-the-lab applications
- Proved to be an efficient gain booster in MPGD hybrids, both as detector and as R&D tool

#### Backup slides

#### Efficiency and timing

- Full efficiency; wire groups for rough position information
- Trigger formation time compatible with expectation and fulfilling ALICE L0 requirement



#### **Position resolution**

- "Discriminated" or "Analog" readout mode
- Note that analog readout of FW does make sense

Discriminated →

Analog -

2mm strips (pads) 4mm wire spacing Gassiplex readout

