D0 design and beam-beam effect

G. Sterbini, J.-P. Koutchouk

CERN AT-MCS-MA

November 7th, 2007 Frascati, CARE-HHH-APD IR07



G. Sterbini, J.-P. Koutchouk D0 design and beam-beam effect

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへの





- Initial scheme
- The impact of the leveling with angle
- Can the D0 work at 50 ns?

2 D0 and beam-beam effect

- The D0 position and strength
- Results and limitations of RHIC and SPS's experiments

◎ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ 三 三 ● ○ ○ ○

Initial scheme The impact of the leveling with angle Can the D0 work at 50 ns?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回日 のへで



In the initial scheme...

- 1 dipole (D0) inside the detector (3 4 m from the IP)
- 1 orbit corrector (OC) in front of the triplet, before the TAS
- 4 LRs encounters at 5σ in LHC
- static crossing angle during the run

Initial scheme The impact of the leveling with angle Can the D0 work at 50 ns?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ●|= ◇◇◇

The impact of the leveling with angle

A natural evolution: to level with angle

It impacts (apart from the luminosity)...

- the luminous region length
- the HO tune shift: more beam current allowed
- the BB effect
- the D0 field: D0 has to switch polarity during the run

Initial scheme The impact of the leveling with angle Can the D0 work at 50 ns?

The leveling with angle...

$$\Delta N_b = 1.7 \ 10^{11}, \ \beta^* = 15 \ \text{cm}, \ \text{D0}, \ \text{no leveling}$$

•
$$N_b = 1.7 \ 10^{11}, \beta^* = 15 \text{ cm}, \text{ D0 and leveling (4 hours)}$$

$$\square$$
 N_b = 1.7 10¹¹, $\beta^* = 15$ cm, D0 and leveling (8 hours)



G. Sterbini, J.-P. Koutchouk D0 design and beam-beam effect

Initial scheme The impact of the leveling with angle Can the D0 work at 50 ns?

The luminous region changes its length...

$$\Delta N_b = 1.7 \ 10^{11}, \ \beta^* = 15 \ \text{cm}, \ \text{D0}, \ \text{no leveling}$$

- $N_b = 1.7 \ 10^{11}, \ \beta^* = 15 \ \text{cm}, \ \text{D0} \ \text{and leveling} \ (4 \ \text{hours})$
- $N_b = 1.7 \ 10^{11}, \ \beta^* = 15 \ \text{cm}, \ \text{D0} \ \text{and} \ \text{leveling} \ (8 \ \text{hours})$



Initial scheme The impact of the leveling with angle Can the D0 work at 50 ns?

The HO tune shift reduces: more beam current?

$$\Delta N_b = 1.7 \ 10^{11}, \ \beta^* = 15 \ \text{cm}, \ \text{D0}, \ \text{no leveling}$$

•
$$N_b = 1.7 \ 10^{11}, \ \beta^* = 15 \ \text{cm}, \ \text{D0} \ \text{and} \ \text{leveling} \ (4 \ \text{hours})$$

•
$$N_b = 1.7 \ 10^{11}, \ \beta^* = 15 \ \text{cm}, \ \text{D0} \ \text{and} \ \text{leveling} \ (8 \ \text{hours})$$



Initial scheme The impact of the leveling with angle Can the D0 work at 50 ns?

ELE DQC

The beam separation varies during leveling...



- Second parasitic encounter (4 h leveling)
- - First parasitic encounter (8 h leveling)
- - Second parasitic encounter (8 h leveling)



Initial scheme The impact of the leveling with angle Can the D0 work at 50 ns?

The D0 field changes polarity...

$$\Delta N_b = 1.7 \ 10^{11}, \ \beta^* = 15 \ \text{cm}, \ \text{D0}, \ \text{no leveling}$$

- $N_b = 1.7 \ 10^{11}, \ \beta^* = 15 \ \text{cm}, \ \text{D0} \ \text{and} \ \text{leveling} \ (4 \ \text{hours})$
- \square $N_b = 1.7 \ 10^{11}, \ \beta^* = 15 \ \text{cm}, \ \text{D0} \ \text{and} \ \text{leveling} \ (8 \ \text{hours})$



Initial scheme The impact of the leveling with angle Can the D0 work at 50 ns?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ●|= ◇◇◇

Can the D0 work at 50 ns?

YES, we can use the Early Separation Scheme at 50ns.

Advantages

- to solve the HO tune shift without having longitudinally flat profile
- (therefore) leveling with angle
- increasing the beam separation in the triplets from 8.5 σ to 9.5 σ (or more)

Drawbacks

All D0 problems...

D0 integrated field with OC at 19 m from the IP



OC integrated field with OC at 19 m from the IP



D0 integrated field with OC at 15 m from the IP



OC integrated field with OC at 15 m from the IP



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへの

How a weak D0 looks like (1 m long, NbTi, 3 Tm).



Very large apertures (15 – 30 cm)! Thanks to D. Tommasini

▲ Ξ ▶ ▲ Ξ ▶ Ξ Ξ • • • • • • •

Energy deposition studies ($L = 10^{35} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)



Thanks to E. Wildner and C. Hoa

ヨト イヨト ヨヨ わへの

The position at 50ns from the IP...



◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → ◆ ○ ◆

The position at 50ns (7 - 9 m) from the IP...

Advantages...

- good trade-off between position and integrated field
- CMS solenoidals field significantly lower
- connections, cryolines, maintainability less critical

Difficult questions to answer...

- Does D0 blind the detectors? (see detectors talks)
- Does 8 LRs at 5σ unacceptably spoil the beam $(N_b = 1.7 \ 10^{11} \text{ ppb}, \epsilon_n = 3.75 \text{ mm mrad})?$

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → ◆ ○ ◆

The position at 50ns (7 - 9 m) from the IP...

Advantages...

- good trade-off between position and integrated field
- CMS solenoidals field significantly lower
- connections, cryolines, maintainability less critical

Difficult questions to answer...

Does D0 blind the detectors? (see detectors talks)

• Does 8 LRs at 5σ unacceptably spoil the beam (N_b = 1.7 10¹¹ ppb, ϵ_n = 3.75 mm mrad)?

・ロト (周) (E) (E) (E) (E)

The position at 50ns (7 - 9 m) from the IP...

Advantages...

- good trade-off between position and integrated field
- CMS solenoidals field significantly lower
- connections, cryolines, maintainability less critical

Difficult questions to answer...

- Does D0 blind the detectors? (see detectors talks)
- Does 8 LRs at 5σ unacceptably spoil the beam $(N_b = 1.7 \ 10^{11} \text{ ppb}, \epsilon_n = 3.75 \text{ mm mrad})?$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回日 のへで

Can we get a clear answer to that question?

Only LHC can give a complete answer...

- we do not consider coupling we HO collisions, other LRs, other lattice non linearities
- we approximate the beam field at 5σ with the wire field at 5σ
- we approximate the interaction in the weak-strong regime.

In which machines?

- RHIC (wire), SPS (wire), Tevatron (collider with similar bunch current but very different collision scheme)
- all these machines have circunferences from 4 to 6 times shorter than LHC, does it play a role?

20 June 2007: RHIC experiment

N. Abreu, G. Robert-Demolaize, U. Dorda, W. Fischer, J.-P. Koutchouk, G. Sterbini, F. Zimmermann



・ロト (周) (E) (E) (E) (E)

24 July 2007: SPS experiment

G. Burtin, R. Calaga, U. Dorda, J.-P. Koutchouk, G. Sterbini, R. Tomás, J. Wenninger, F. Zimmermann

... among other results...

- The effect of 1 wire (1.2 m long, at $\beta \approx 50$ m) at 30 A with a distance of 4.3 σ (= 6 mm) from the SPS 37 GeV/c beam has not an observable effect (during the poor beamlife of the SPS beam!).
- This is equivalent to 9 parasitic encounters at 4.3σ for the LHC ultimate current with LHC nominal normalized emittance in the SPS circunference.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回日 のへで

Conclusions

- The D0 is compatible with leveling, 25ns and 50ns.
- IF 8 LRs at $N_b = 1.7 \ 10^{11}$ can be tolerated, the position between 7 8 m from IP seems very promising for the engineering point of view: are we daring to much? can experiments live together with it?
- There are efforts to look for further MD time: even if partial, the experimental results are ruther encouraging and consistent.
- To preserve the opportunity of slot 4 6 m until clearer results: RHIC's long beam lifetime would be ideal.

Thank you.

G. Sterbini, J.-P. Koutchouk D0 design and beam-beam effect

うせん 正正 スポットボット 白マ