



### CMS results on soft QCD and multi-parton interactions

Sunil Bansal (Universiteit Antwerpen) on behalf of CMS Collaboration

> Low X Meeting Cyprus, June 27- July 1, 2012



#### **CMS** Experiment





## Measurement of inelastic pp cross-section

CMS PAS-FWD-11-001 CMS PAS-QCD-11-002

 $\rightarrow$  Important for luminosity estimate, cosmic ray physics, characterization of centrality in heavy ion collisions.

 $\rightarrow$  Precise phenomenological description for low energy cross-section but large uncertainty in extrapolation.

→ Using forward calorimetry (HF), measurement is performed for limited  $\xi \equiv M_x^2/s > 5E-06$ .

$$\sigma_{\text{inel}}(\xi > 5 \times 10^{-6}) = \frac{N_{\text{inel}}(1 - f_{\xi})F_{\text{pileup}}}{\mathcal{L}\epsilon_{\xi}}$$

 $\rightarrow$  Model dependent extrapolation to full ξ range.

→ An alternative approach based on assumption that pile-up events are distributed according to Poisson probability.  $P(n) = \frac{(L \cdot \sigma)^n}{m!} e^{-L \cdot \sigma}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Number of Inelastic pile-up events measured at different lumi. and fitted to evaluate  $\sigma$ .



# Measurement of inelastic pp cross-section

 $\sigma_{\text{inel}}(\xi > 5 \times 10^{-6}) = 60.2 \pm 0.2(\text{stat.}) \pm 1.1(\text{syst.}) \pm 2.4(\text{lumi.}) \text{ mb.}$ 

Extrapolated cross-section:

 $\sigma_{\rm inel} = 64.5 \pm 0.2 (\rm stat.) \pm 1.1 (\rm syst.) \pm 2.6 (\rm lumi.) \pm 1.5 (\rm extr.) \ \rm mb$ 



 $\rightarrow$  Normalization vary widely for different models, but trend is similar for all models.

 $\rightarrow$  Measured cross-section follow the increasing trend established by previous measurement.

 $\rightarrow$  Results are in good agreement between different approach and experiments.



### Single Charged Particle Spectra: $dN/d\eta$

JHEP 02 (2010) 041 PRL 105 (2010) 022002







06/28/12

## Single Charged Particle Spectra: dN/dpT

JHEP 02 (2010) 041 PRL 105 (2010) 022002 IHEP 08 (2011) 086



→ Interpolated ( $x_T$  and  $p_T$  scaling) data provides a reference for PbPb studies of nuclear modification factors at LHC for  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=2.76$  TeV –

10

NLO rescaled CMS 7 TeV (F. Arleo et al.)

10

p<sub>\_</sub> [GeV/c]

LICE (scaled by  $\sigma$ 



#### **Charged Particle Multiplicities**

#### JHEP 01 (2011) 079

(a)

CMS NSD

 $|\eta| < 2.4$ 

C. UA5

C NA22

C, CMS

UA5



- $\rightarrow$  Large multiplicity tail observed at 7 TeV
- $\rightarrow$  <p<sub>T</sub>> vs n scale with energy: weekly dependent on  $\sqrt{s}$
- $\rightarrow$  No Monte Carlo is able to describe all multiplicities at all energies (but PYTHIA 8 better)
- $\rightarrow$  Most MC/tunes can not describe simultaneously the multiplicity and the p<sub>T</sub> dependence (again PYTHIA 8 better)

 $\rightarrow$  MC produce too few particles with low transverse momentum; PYTHIA 8 compensate for this by producing too many particle with high pT (semi hard MPI modelling )



KNO scaling: violate for  $|\eta| < 2.4$ hold for  $|\eta| < 0.5$ 



#### Two-particles correlation in $\Delta\eta$ and $\Delta\phi$ JHEP 09 (2010) 091

$$R(\Delta\eta,\Delta\phi) = \left| (N-1) \left| \frac{S_N(\Delta\eta,\Delta\phi)}{B_N(\Delta\eta,\Delta\phi)} - 1 \right| \right|_N$$

#### MinBias, $p_T > 0.1$ GeV/c, 7 TeV



- $K_{eff}$  increase with  $\sqrt{s}$ (more jets at high  $\sqrt{s}$  ?)
- $\delta$  constant with  $\sqrt{s}$ (isotropic cluster decay)
- CMS results follow trend from lower √s data
- PYTHIA (D6T) shows similar energy dependencies for K<sub>eff</sub> and δ as data
- PYTHIA (D6T) predicts too low K<sub>eff</sub>



- Clusters are produced independently and decay isotropically into hadrons in its own c.m.s.
- Short range correlations in  $\Delta\eta$  can be characterized by 2 parameters:
- cluster size K  $\rightarrow$  # correlated particles
- cluster width  $\delta$   $\rightarrow$   $\Delta\eta$  correlation size



# High Multiplicity Results at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV

#### Intermediate $pT : 1 < p_T < 3$ GeV/c



"Ridge" maximal for high multiplicity and intermediate p<sub>T</sub> : 1 < p<sub>T</sub> < 3 eV/c

→ Observation of a Long-Range, Near-Side angular correlations at high multiplicity in pp events at intermediate  $p_T$  (Ridge at  $\Delta \phi \sim 0$ )

... not reproduced in PYTHIA 8 (and PYTHIA 6, HERWIG++, madgraph)



#### Strange Particle Production: $K^0_{S}$ , $\Lambda$ , $\Xi^-$ JHEP 05(2010)064

5, ,

K0 ,  $\Lambda$  ,  $\Xi^-$ : long-lived particles ( $c\tau > 1$  cm) identified from their decay products originating from a displaced



 the amount of strangeness suppression (w.r.t. u and d quarks) is an important component in MC models
interesting for new physics (e.g. strange enhancement in QGP formation)

Production yields in function of rapidity y and  $p_T$ :

- <pT> increasing with particle mass and  $\sqrt{s}:$  agreement with predictions
- $\sqrt{s}$  increase in production consistent with inclusive charged particles
- production ratios ,  $\Lambda$  / K0 and  $\Xi^-$  /  $\Lambda$  (versus y and pT) independent of  $\sqrt{s:no}$  clear sign of QGP formation



MC underestimating total yield (both  $\sqrt{s}$  0.9 and 7TeV) and  $\sqrt{s}$  scaling

06/28/12



#### Spectra of charged pions, kaons and protons

 $\rightarrow\,$  charged pions, kaons and protons are identified by measuring energy loss in tracker



 $_{\rightarrow}$  Z2 tune describe the measurement (except at low  $p_{_T}),$  D6T and 4C

systematically undershoot and overshoot the spectra.

 $\rightarrow p/\pi$  described by all tunes but there is substantial deviation for K/ $\pi$ . Ratio of +ve and -ve particles is around one as expected.

 $\rightarrow\,p_{_T}$  spectra for pions is similar for different multiplicity and  $\sqrt{s},$  whereas

for kaons and proton there is clear evolution with track multiplicity.

 $\rightarrow$  K/ $\pi$  and p/ $\pi$  ratios are flat as a function track multiplicity and well described by D6T and Z2 tune.

 $\rightarrow$  Ratios of +ve and -ve charged particle as a function of track multiplicity is also flat and is ~1.





#### Spectra of charged pions, kaons and protons

CMS PAS-FSQ-12-014



06/28/12

 $\rightarrow$  <p<sub>T</sub>> and particle ratios as a function of track multiplicity is independent of  $\sqrt{s}$ .

→  $\sqrt{s}$  dependence of dN/dy is well described by Z2 tune whereas  $< p_{T} >$  evolution with  $\sqrt{s}$  is not described by any of the tune for all particles simultaneously.

 $\rightarrow$  There is nice agreement with ALICE measurement at 0.9 TeV but small difference for protons.





#### The Underlying Event

EPJ C70 (2010) 555 JHEP09 (2011) 109 arXiv:1204.1411 CMS PAS-FWD-11-003

Everything except the hard scattering: UE = MPI +BBR (+ ISR and FSR contamination)



 $\rightarrow$  Need to "tune" soft interactions MC model(s) to UE: previous and LHC data



# The Underlying Event

Everything except the hard scattering: UE = MPI +BBR (+ ISR and FSR contamination)

Identify in the event an energy scale (and direction) reflecting the hard scattering:

- $\rightarrow$  Di-jet events: Leading track-jet (cluster of tracks with highest pT)
- → Drell-Yan: di-muon final state



- $\rightarrow$  away (  $|\Delta \phi| > 120^{\circ}$  ): hard scattering and radiation
- → transverse (60° <  $|\Delta \phi|$  < 120°): suited for UE studies
- → towards ( $|\Delta \phi| < 60^{\circ}$ ): same as "away" for track-jet approach suited for UE studies in DY process

Observables built from charged particles:  $d^2 N_{chg} / d\eta \ d(\Delta \phi)$ : charged multiplicity density  $d^2 \Sigma p_T / d\eta d (\Delta \phi)$ : scalar  $p_T$  sum density







# UE transverse region: charge and $\Sigma p_T$ density

7 TeV and 900 GeV results for the reference charged multiplicity density and  $\Sigma p_T$  density profiles including Z1 (solid) and 4C (dashed) predictions.



– Fast rise for pT < 8 GeV/c (4 GeV/c), attributed mainly to the increase of MPI activity, followed by a plateau-like region with  $\approx$  constant average number of selected particles and a slow increase of  $\Sigma$ pT, in a saturation regime.

– Increase of the activity with  $\sqrt{s}$  also corroborates MPIs (growth with PDFs).

- PYTHIA nicely re-tuned to describe the data, still differences of the order of 5 to 20% for different versions and tunes (even very recent PYTHIA8 tune 4C).

06/28/12



#### Comparison between 7 TeV and 900 GeV



– In the presence of a large energy scale, UE grows significantly with  $\sqrt{s}$ 

- A factor 2 going from 900 GeV to 7 TeV to be compared with 1.7 for MB.
- MPI growth with  $\sqrt{s}$  well described by Z1 and 4C, too pronounced in D6T.



## Charge and $\Sigma p_T$ density : Drell-Yan Events

Activity as a function of  $M_{\mu\mu}$ : for events with small recoil activity by requiring  $p_T^{\mu\mu} < 5$  GeV/c  $\rightarrow$  close to true UE



- Monte-Carlo without MPIs fails to describe measurement.
- No dependence on energy scale ( $M_{\mu\mu}$ ), as MPI saturates at these scale (also known from track-jet analysis).
- Pythia and Herwig tunes derived from leading track/track-jet topology describe measurement in DY well → certain universality of the UE activity

06/28/12



#### Charge and $\Sigma p_T$ density : Drell-Yan Events

Activity as a function of  $p_T^{\mu\mu}$ : for events with  $81 < M_{\mu\nu} < 101 \text{ GeV/c}^2$ 



– MPI saturates and  $p_{T}^{\mu\mu}$  dependence gives radiation evolution (mainly initial state radiation).

– Transverse region: qualitatively similar as towards but has higher activity due to spill-over contribution from away side hard component.

– Pythia and Herwig prediction describe the particle density well but underestimate the energy density.

06/28/12



# Comparison with UE activity using Track-jet



 $\rightarrow$  For  $p_T^{\mu\mu}$  and  $p_T^{\text{leading jet}} > 10$  GeV/c DY events have a smaller particle density with a harder pT spectrum as compared to that in hadronic events.

 $\rightarrow$  This is due to the nature of radiation in hadronic and Drell-Yan events. Drell-Yan events only have initial state QCD radiation initiated by quarks, whereas hadronic events have both initial and final state QCD radiation predominantly initiated by gluons.



# Underlying Event at Forward Rapidity

- $_{\rightarrow}$  UE measured in forward rapidity (5.2 <  $\eta$  < 6.6) using CASTOR located ~ z = 14.3 m from IP.
- → Energy density (dE/dη) is measured inclusively and in presence of jet in central region for different centre-of-mass energies 0.9, 2.76 and 7 TeV.



 $\rightarrow$  At 7 TeV, UE shows similar feature as in case of measurement in central region.

 $\rightarrow$  For 2.76 and 0.9 TeV rising effect is not visible: kinematic effect where central jet with high UE depletes the energy of proton remnant which fragment into CASTOR for low COM energies.

 $\rightarrow$  Pythia6 Z2\* and Pythia8 4C gives overall good agreement with measurement.



# Underlying Event at Forward Rapidity $(\sqrt{s} \text{ dependence})$



None of the Pythia and Herwig++ tune describe perfectly the energy dependence with Pythia6 D6T and Pythia8 4C being the closest.



#### Underlying Event using jet area approach

 $\rightarrow$  Alternative measurement based on jet area using variable which is not sensitive to hard outlier

 $\rho' = \operatorname{median}_{j \in physical jets} \left[ \left\{ \frac{p_{T,j}}{A_j} \right\} \right] * C$ 

G. Salam et. al JHEP 04 (2010) 065

with  $C = \frac{\sum_{j} A_{j}}{A_{tot}}$   $A_{j} = \frac{N_{j}^{ghosts}}{N_{total}^{ghosts}} A_{tot}$ 

→ Require exact shape and size of jet area and should be sensitive to soft hadronic activity;  $K_T$  algorithm is suitable.

 $\rightarrow$  Same behavior as in conventional approach for UE measurement.

 $\rightarrow$  Activity increase by factor of ~2 as  $\sqrt{s}$  increase from 0.9 to 7 TeV.

 $\rightarrow$  None of the tune describe  $\sqrt{s}$  and scale dependence completely.





## Summary

- Extensive program on soft QCD and MPI measurements in CMS
- Identified and unidentified charged particle spectra are studied at different energies.
- Strangeness is observed to be underestimated by MCs.
- Unexpected long range correlation (similar to heavy-ion collisions). MCs don't describe this observation.
- Underlying event is measured at various energies and with different processes in central and forward rapidity region.
- Different MCs describe one or another measurement but none of the model describe measurements all together.