


CDF & D0 Higgs Search



Results from the Full Tevatron Data Set

Wade Fisher
Michigan State University

On Behalf of the CDF and
DØ Collaborations



Tevatron Higgs Seminar
2 July 2012, Fermilab

The 2nd Half of Today's Presentation



DØ Higgs boson searches in a nutshell

- Discussion of updates since winter 2012
- Short review on what's to come
- DØ Higgs search results

Quarks



Forces



Leptons




New Tevatron Higgs search results

- Details of combination procedures
- Updated CDF + DØ Higgs combination
- Discussion of results

The DØ Higgs Search

- A broad search program

- Search for Higgs decays in $H \rightarrow bb/WW/\gamma\gamma/\tau\tau$
- Allow acceptance from $H \rightarrow ZZ/cc/Z\gamma$
- Production is dominated by gluon fusion and associated production




Channel	Luminosity (fb^{-1})	m_H range (GeV/c^2)
$H+(X) \rightarrow \ell\nu + \geq jj$ (0,1, $\geq 2b$ -tags) \times (2,3,4+ jet)	9.7	100-200
$ZH \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}b\bar{b}$ (MS,TS)	9.5	100-150
$ZH \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-b\bar{b}$ (TST,TLDT) \times ($ee,\mu\mu,ee_{ICR},\mu\mu_{trk}$)	9.7	100-150
$VH \rightarrow e^\pm\mu^\pm + X$	9.7	115-200
$H \rightarrow W^+W^- \rightarrow \ell^\pm\nu\ell^\mp\nu$ (0,1,2+ jet)	9.7	115-200
$H \rightarrow W^+W^- \rightarrow \mu\nu\tau_{had}\nu$	7.3	115-200
$H \rightarrow W^+W^- \rightarrow \ell\bar{\nu}jj$	5.4	130-200
$VH \rightarrow \ell\ell\ell + X$	9.7	100-200
$VH \rightarrow \tau\tau\mu + X$	7.0	115-200
$H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	9.7	100-150


The DØ H→diphoton Analysis

- Update recovers improvements unrealized for winter 2012 conferences
 - Improvement in MC/data statistics for background modeling
 - To combat systematic uncertainties, analysis is now split into **jet-dominated** vs **photon-dominated** fake rate regions
 - Bottom line: **20-30%** improvement in expected limits


Photon-Dominated



Jet-Dominated




Comparison of Expected limits: H→ $\gamma\gamma$




The $D\emptyset$ $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow l\nu l\nu$ Analysis

- More data & refined analysis technique
 - Di-electron channel adds 12% more data & improves electron identification efficiency
 - Di-muon and di-electron channels now split search sample into regions dominated by **Diboson** and **W/Z+jet** backgrounds
 - Technique improves expected limits by **5-10%**


Comparison of Expected limits: $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow e\nu e\nu$



W/Z+jet Dominated



Diboson-Dominated




The DØ WH→lvbb Analysis


● Updates to the WH→lvbb Higgs search

- Additional muon triggers
- Improved multijet modeling & rejection
- Improved signal isolation via separation into 3 double b-tagged final states (vs 2 previously)
- Bottom line: **10-17%** improvements in expected limits


Comparison of Expected limits: WH → lvbb




2 Loose b-tags



2 Medium b-tags




2 Tight b-tags




The DØ ZH→llbb Analysis

- Updates to the ZH→llbb Higgs search
 - Selection requirements relaxed
 - Isolation of top quark backgrounds represents largest change




Top Quark Classifier




Region dominated by top quark backgrounds

Region dominated by Z+heavy flavor quark backgrounds




Bottom line:
10-15% improvement in expected limits



Updates to other DØ Analyses


Added new $e/\mu + \text{MET} + 4\text{Jets}$ analysis

- Primarily sensitive to $VH \rightarrow VWW$ processes
- Contributes mostly for $m_H \sim 165 \text{ GeV}$




Update to $VH \rightarrow VVV \rightarrow \text{trileptons} + X$ ($\mu\mu e$) search

- Additional data ($\sim 12\%$), improved $Z\gamma$ background model, further reject backgrounds



$ZH \rightarrow \nu b b$ search significantly refines MVA training

- Boost training performance via large increase in MC statistics (true for other analyses too)




Planned analysis updates

- Winter→Summer time window was short: some updates didn't make it
 - H→WW→lvjj: will add 80% in data with significant search improvements
 - VH→VVV→eeμ, μττ: add ~12% in data, MVA analysis improvements
 - VH→SS eμ: many new studies in multijet modeling & MVA treatment
 - H→WW→evμν: adopt splitting in WW vs W+jets enriched regions (5-10% gain)
 - ZH→vvbb (3jets): challenging final state for trigger modeling
 - VH→e/μτ+jj: Will update to full luminosity, with modeling improvements

Bottom line: 5-10% overall improvement still possible for DØ

Channel	Luminosity (fb ⁻¹)	m _H range (GeV/c ²)
<i>H+(X)→lν+≥jj</i> (0,1,≥2b-tags)×(2,3,4+ jet)	9.7	100-200
<i>ZH→ννbb</i> (MS,TS)	9.5	100-150
<i>ZH→l⁺l⁻b\bar{b}</i> (TST,TLDT)×(ee,μμ,eeICR,μμ _{trk})	9.7	100-150
<i>VH→e[±]μ[±]+X</i>	9.7	115-200
<i>H→W⁺W⁻→l[±]νl[∓]ν</i> (0,1,2+ jet)	9.7	115-200
<i>H→W⁺W⁻→μντ_{had}ν</i>	7.3	115-200
<i>H→W⁺W⁻→lνjj</i>	5.4	130-200
<i>VH→lll+X</i>	9.7	100-200
<i>VH→ττμ+X</i>	7.0	115-200
<i>H→γγ</i>	9.7	100-150

The Updated DØ Higgs Search



- 95% C.L. upper limits on SM Higgs boson production at the Tevatron

- Expected exclusion: **$156 < M_H < 173 \text{ GeV}$**

- Observed exclusion: **$159 < M_H < 170 \text{ GeV}$**

The Look Elsewhere Effect

– Could a significant result happen “by chance”?


Two main considerations: **p-values** & **the look elsewhere effect (LEE)**

p-value:



- The probability of obtaining a result as extreme as the one observed, assuming the NULL hypothesis is true. (*NULL hypothesis* = “there is no Higgs boson”)
- In other words, “The probability that the background fluctuated up by chance.”
- p-values of 0.15866 / 0.02275 / 0.00135 correspond to 1/2/3 standard deviations

The Look Elsewhere Effect (LEE)

- The probability of obtaining a result as extreme as the one observed in **all of the places that you looked**.
- We test Higgs masses from 100-200 GeV (100-150 GeV for $H \rightarrow bb$), so we must account for the number of independent search regions in that range.
- Ultimately it's driven by mass resolution.
Eg, dijet invariant mass for $H \rightarrow bb$.
- We use a LEE factor of 4 (2) for our global ($H \rightarrow bb$) search.



The Updated DØ Higgs Search





• Two different test of the data

- **Left:** Local p-value distribution for background-only expectation.
 - Minimum local p-value: 2.0 standard deviations
 - Global p-value with LEE factor of 4: **1.3 standard deviations**
- **Right:** Maximum likelihood fit to data with Higgs boson production rate as free parameter.


CDF & DØ Individual Results

- Results from Tevatron experiments
 - Similar search sensitivity over entire probed mass region
 - DØ: Exclude $159 < M_H < 170$ GeV
 - CDF: Exclude $90 < M_H < 97$ & $147 < M_H < 175$ GeV






June 2012


CDF & DØ Combined Distributions






Right-to-left integral yields a means to compare data with signal and background predictions




CDF & DØ Combined Distributions



Fit to data, with background subtraction can reveal potential excesses




Upper Limits on Higgs Boson Production



- 95% C.L. upper limits on SM Higgs boson production at the Tevatron
 - Expected exclusion: $100 < M_H < 120 \text{ GeV}$ $139 < M_H < 184 \text{ GeV}$

Upper Limits on Higgs Boson Production





- 95% C.L. upper limits on SM Higgs boson production at the Tevatron

- Expected exclusion: **$100 < M_H < 120 \text{ GeV}$** $139 < M_H < 184 \text{ GeV}$

- Observed exclusion: **$100 < M_H < 103 \text{ GeV}$** $147 < M_H < 180 \text{ GeV}$



Quantifying the Excess



- **Two different tests of the data, comparing to S+B and B-only predictions**

- **Left:** Local p-value distribution for background-only expectation.
 - Minimum local p-value: 3.0 standard deviations
 - Global p-value with LEE factor of 4: **2.5 standard deviations**
- **Right:** Maximum likelihood fit to data with Higgs rate as free parameter.

Quantifying the Excess





- **Considering separately the $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ and $H \rightarrow WW$ channels**

- Local p-value distribution for background-only expectation.

- Minimum $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ local p-value: 3.2 standard deviations
- Global $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ p-value with LEE factor of 2: **2.9 standard deviations**

Quantifying the Excess





- **Considering separately the $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ and $H \rightarrow WW$ channels**

- Local p-value distribution for background-only expectation.




- Minimum $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ local p-value: 3.2 standard deviations
- Global $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ p-value with LEE factor of 2: **2.9 standard deviations**

Quantifying the Excess



Quantifying the Excess




- Revisit s/b rebinned distribution plot for $M_H = 125 \text{ GeV}$
 - Cumulative distribution seems to prefer S+B model
 - Background-subtracted plot illustrates several interesting candidate events



Overlaying a Higgs Signal

- Diboson measurement in dijet final states




- Data and diboson prediction come from Tevatron low mass WZ/ZZ measurement



Overlaying a Higgs Signal

- Diboson measurement in dijet final states

- Data and diboson prediction come from Tevatron low mass WZ/ZZ measurement
- Simple overlay of $H \rightarrow bb$ signal prediction for the dijet invariant mass ($M_H=120$ GeV)
- Additional signal is not incompatible with data





Log-Likelihood Distributions

- The log-likelihood ratio helps to gauge the relative agreement of the data with the background or signal+background models
- Distributions are populated with pseudo-experiments to get an estimate of significance.

Background-Only Pseudo-Experiments


Signal+Bkgd Pseudo-Experiments

Observed LLR




Quantifying the Excess

Tevatron Data




Signal Injection Study




Quantifying the Excess




Tevatron Data



Signal Injection Study



Log-Likelihood Distributions



2012




2009

2011

2008

2010

2007




Conclusions

- Tevatron program analyzing full data set
- The data appear to be incompatible with the background, with a global p-value of:

2.5 s.d. (3.0 local)

H→bb only: 2.9 s.d. (3.2 local)

- Tevatron data are compatible with SM Higgs boson production for $115 < M_H < 140$
- Tevatron data will play a large role in any potential measurements of $\sigma(\text{WH}+\text{ZH}) \times \text{BR}(\text{H} \rightarrow \text{bb})$ for years to come



For additional details see

- Tevatron: http://tevnphwg.fnal.gov/results/SM_Higgs_Summer_12/index.html
- CDF: <http://www-cdf.fnal.gov/physics/new/hdg/Results.html>
- DØ: <http://www-d0.fnal.gov/Run2Physics/WWW/results/higgs.html>

Thank you, Fermilab



Special thanks to every single person & group at Fermilab who made this possible

It really is a huge team effort!!