Primordial scalar perturbations via conformal mechanisms

M. Libanov, S. R., V. Rubakov, G. Rubtsov

ICFP'12

June 2012

Properties of primordial scalar perturbations

- Nearly Gaussian primordial perturbations: obey Wick theorem.
- Nearly flat power spectrum $\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{k} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} \zeta(\mathbf{k})$.

$$\langle \zeta^2({f x})
angle = \int_0^\infty {dk \over k} {\cal P}_\zeta, \quad {\cal P}_\zeta pprox 2.5 imes 10^{-9}.$$

Some epoch preceding conventional Hot Big Bang is required! Inflation: approximate de Sitter symmetry. Novel idea: flat spectrum of primordial perturbations is created by the phase of complex conformal field $\phi = |\phi|e^{i\theta}$,

 $\zeta \sim \delta \theta.$

Conformal rolling

V. Rubakov, 2009

- Long evolution before Big Bang starts.
- No other special assumptions about the background evolution.
- Conformal symmetry is explicitly broken at large $|\phi| \sim f$.
- Field ϕ is a spectator.
- Interaction with gravitational degrees of freedom is neglected.

Conformal rolling



Classical evolution

$$\chi = a\phi, \quad S[\chi] = \int d^3x d\eta \left[\eta^{\mu\nu} \partial^{\star}_{\mu} \chi \partial_{\nu} \chi - \left(-\frac{\hbar^2 |\chi|^4}{2} \right) \right]$$

Consider spatially homogeneous classical field,

$$-\chi'' + 2h^2|\chi^2|\chi = 0, \quad \chi = \rho e^{i\theta}.$$

$$\rho_0(\eta) = \frac{1}{h(\eta_\star - \eta)}$$

The phase θ freezes out,

$$\theta_{\eta} \to 0, \quad \theta \to \theta_0.$$

Using U(1) symmetry, $\theta_0 = 0$.

Fluctuations above the classical background,

$$\rho = \rho_0 + \frac{\delta\rho}{\delta\theta}, \quad \theta = \frac{\delta\theta}{\delta\theta}$$

Turn off interaction between phase and radial fluctuations.

Study the evolution of θ on the background $\rho_0 = \frac{1}{h(\eta_* - \eta)}$. Standard initial conditions of the free bosonic field on the Minkowskian background.

At late times $k(\eta_{\star} - \eta) \ll 1$,

$$\mathcal{P}_{ heta} = rac{h^2}{4\pi^2} \;, \quad \partial_\eta heta = 0 \;.$$

If horizon problem is solved, then flat spectrum for all cosmologically interesting models: ekpyrosis, inflation, Galileon Genesis etc. Remind: phase fluctuations are the most relevant for us, $\zeta \sim \theta$. Conversion mechanisms (isocurvature $\theta \rightarrow$ adiabatic ζ): K. Dimopoulos *et al.*, 2003, G. Dvali *et al.*, 2003

Radial perturbations

Radial field developes perturbations with red spectrum,

$$\rho = \frac{1}{h[\eta_{\star}(\mathbf{x}) - \eta]}, \quad \eta_{\star}(\mathbf{x}) = \eta_{\star} + \delta \eta_{\star}(\mathbf{x}) ,$$

 $\delta\eta_{\star}(\mathbf{x})$ is the random Gaussian field,

$$\delta \eta_{\star}(\mathbf{x}) = rac{3h}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^{3/2}} \int rac{d^3p}{p^{5/2}} \left(e^{i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}} B_{\mathbf{p}} + h.c. \right).$$

Interesting: derivatives of $\delta \eta_{\star}$, $v_i = -\partial_i \eta_{\star}$, $\partial_i \partial_j \eta_{\star}$..

$$|\theta|_{\eta=\eta_{\star}}=\theta(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v}_{i},\partial_{i}\partial_{j}\eta_{\star},\mathbf{v}^{2}).$$

After $|\phi| = f$,

$$S_{ heta} = \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu
u} \partial_\mu heta \partial_
u heta \; .$$

The end of conformal rolling

Two possible regimes:

1. Modes of interest are already superhorizon, $\frac{k}{a} < H$.

Considered in Libanov&Rubakov, Libanov&Mironov&Rubakov.

2. They are still subhorizon, $\frac{k}{a} > H$.

In the latter case phase perturbations proceed to evolve at the intermediate stage, which ends as phase perturbations freeze out.



Assumptions

• The duration of the intermediate stage is long enough,

 $k(\eta_1 - \eta_\star) \gg 1$

• Nearly Minkowskian evolution: $\theta'' - \partial_i^2 \theta = 0$ (to do not spoil the flat power spectrum).

Background evolution:

- 1. Galileon Genesis.
- 2. Ekpyrosis, $p \gg \rho$.

Initial conditions defined at the curved hypersurface $\eta = \eta_{\star}(\mathbf{x})$,

$$\theta|_{\eta=\eta_{\star}(\mathbf{x})} = \theta(\mathbf{x}, v_i, \partial_i \partial_j \eta_{\star}, v^2) \qquad \partial_N \theta = 0$$

$$\theta(\mathbf{k}) = F[\mathbf{v}(\pm \mathbf{n}_k r)]A_{\mathbf{k}} + \text{h.c.}, \quad r = \eta_1 - \eta_{\star}.$$

Non-Gaussianity

Since $\theta = -\theta$, intrinsic bispectrum vanishes. It may appear due to the conversion mechanism.

Intrinsic non-Gaussianity arises in trispectrum,

$$\langle \zeta(\mathbf{k})\zeta(\mathbf{k}')\zeta(\mathbf{q})\zeta(\mathbf{q}')\rangle = \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}(k)}{4\pi k^3} \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}(q)}{4\pi q^3} \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}')\delta(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}') \left[1 + \mathcal{F}_{NG}(\mathbf{n}_k, \mathbf{n}_q)\right]$$

$$F_{NG} = rac{3h^2}{\pi^2} \ln rac{ ext{const}}{|\mathbf{n}_k - \mathbf{n}_q|}$$

Logarithmically amplified in the folded limit.

Tilt

Small negative tilt

$$n_s - 1 = -\frac{3h^2}{4\pi^2}$$

This is not a strong result.

Other sources of scalar negative tilt are possible (Rubakov&Osipov, 2010) What if the preferrable value of tilt was due to this contribution?

$$n_s - 1 \approx -0.04$$

Then, $h^2 \sim 1$. However, disfavored by observations of statistical anisotropy.

Statistical anisotropy

$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{P}_{\zeta}(k) \left(1 + n_{k_i} \left[v_i(\mathbf{n}_k r) - v_i(-\mathbf{n}_k r)\right]\right) \ .$$

$$=\sum_{LM}q_{LM}Y_{LM}(\mathbf{n}_k)$$

Statistical anisotropy of all even multipoles.

$$\langle q_{LM}q_{L'M'}^{\star}\rangle = rac{3}{\pi}rac{h^2}{(L-1)(L+2)}\delta_{LL'}\delta_{MM'},$$

- Statistical isotropy is favored by inflation.
- In extended inflation statistical anisotropy is of special quadrupole type, (Ackerman *et al.*, 2007; Soda *et al.*, 2008).

$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta} = \mathcal{P}_0\left(1 + \frac{g(\mathbf{n}_k \mathbf{d})^2}{\mathbf{d}_k^2}\right)$$

Imprint on CMB spectrum

Having fluctuations $\delta T(\mathbf{n})$ of the CMB sky, we define

$$a_{lm} = \int d\mathbf{n} \delta T(\mathbf{n}) Y^{\star}_{lm}(\mathbf{n}), \quad C_{lm;l'm'} = \langle a_{lm} a^{\star}_{l'm'} \rangle$$

In case of statistical anisotropy off-diagonal elements appear,

$$C_{lm;l'm'} = C_l \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} + \sum_{LM} q_{LM} D_{lm;l'm'}^{LM}$$

$$\langle a_{lm}a_{l+2,m}^{\star}\rangle, \quad \langle a_{lm}a_{l+4,m}^{\star}\rangle$$

are non zero.

How to constrain the model?

$$\langle |q_{LM}|^2 \rangle = \frac{3}{\pi} \frac{h^2}{(L-1)(L+2)}$$

.

Quadratic maximum likelihood estimation

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{q}) = \ln \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{q}), \quad rac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{q})}{\partial q_{LM}} = 0 \; .$$

Assume small statistical anisotropy, $q_{LM} \ll 1$

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{q}) = \mathcal{L}_0 + \sum_{LM} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_{LM}} \right)_0 q_{LM} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{LM;L'M'} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_{LM} \partial q_{L'M'}^{\star}} \right)_0 q_{LM} q_{L'M'}^{\star} .$$

Hirata&Seljak (2002), Hanson&Lewis (2009) One estimates q_{LM} and $C_L^q = \frac{1}{2L+1} |q_{LM}|^2$. To estimate h^2 ,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{a}|h^2)}{\partial h^2} = 0, \quad h^2 = f(C_2^q, C_4^q, \dots).$$



- The quadrupole preferred direction is aligned with the poles of the ecliptic plane
- The result is frequency dependent.
- Beam asymmetries? (Challinor&Hanson&Lewis, 2010).



 $h^2 < 0.045$ at the 95% CL.

Conclusions

• Flat spectrum of primordial scalar perturbations.

• Statistical anisotropy of all even multipoles.

• Non-Gaussianity in trispectrum.

• Upper constraint on the paramater $h^2 < 0.045$ at the 95 % CL.