# Open heavy flavour measurements in pp and Pb-Pb collisions with ALICE at the LHC

Sarah LaPointe for the ALICE collaboration Utrecht University







## OUTLINE

### Motivation

Measuring heavy flavours in ALICE

Open heavy flavour (HF) in pp and Pb-Pb collisions Production cross section in pp Nuclear modification factor Elliptic flow

Conclusions/outlook

# MOTIVATION FOR HF MEASUREMENTS

### Production

• Heavy flavour (HF) quarks (charm and bottom) are primarily produced in the initial hard scatterings of the collision

• They experience the full evolution of the system, making them excellent probes

### ALICE baseline for charm/bottom

	Pb-Pb (5%) 2.76 TeV	pp 7 TeV	pp 14TeV
$\sigma_{NN}^{qq}$ (mb)	2.1/0.075	6.91/0.23	11.2/0.45
N <sup>qq</sup> /event	56/2	0.1/0.003	0.16/0.006

MNR code: Mangano, Nason, Ridolfi, NPB373 (1992) 295. EKS98, EPS08: Eskola et al., EPJC9 (1999) 61; JHEP07 (2008) 102

### Probing the QCD matter

• In-medium partonic energy loss - Both mass (dead cone effect) and color charge dependent  $\rightarrow \Delta Eg > \Delta Eu, d, s > \Delta Ec > \Delta Eb$  Phys. Lett. B 519 (2001) 199 • Collectivity - in-medium transport properties. Probe the thermalization of the system

### Energy loss is studied primarily via RAA and collectivity via flow (v2)

Sarah LaPointe

## THE ALICE DETECTOR



Sarah LaPointe

## HEAVY FLAVOUR PROGRAM

### Mid rapidity ( $|\mathbf{\eta}| < 0.9$ )

D mesons (D<sup>0</sup>, D<sup>+</sup>, D<sup>\*</sup>, D<sub>s</sub>) via hadronic decays
Select on displaced vertices using TPC and ITS
Particle ID using TPC and TOF

- Particle ID using IPC and I
- Invariant mass analysis

Single electrons from semi-leptonic D and B decays
e ID using TRD, EMCal, TPC, and ToF
Background estimated from MC cocktail or e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> M<sub>inv</sub> method
Displaced electrons using ITS (B tagging)

### Forward rapidity $(2.5 < \eta < 4)$

### Single muons from semi-leptonic D and B decays

Muon spectrometer

 $\bullet$  Background primary  $\pi$ , K decays. In pp estimated using MC, in Pb-Pb extrapolated from measured  $\pi$ , K at mid rapidity

Sarah LaPointe ICFP 2012, Kolymbari, Crete, Greece

## pp OVERVIEW

• Charm and beauty production cross sections, along with a comparison to FONLL and GM-VFNS(D mesons)

- Additional charm hadron measurements
- Beauty with electrons Impact parameter analysis Electron-hadron azimuthal correlations

pp data sets:  $\sqrt{s} = 2.76 \,\text{TeV} (2011)$ 7 TeV (2010)

# pp AT 2.76 TeV, D MESONS AND MUONS

Small data sample  $(L_{int}(D)=1.35nb^{-1}, L_{int}(\mu)=19nb^{-1})$ 

- Reference for PbPb collisions at 2.76 TeV
- Measured differential cross section for D mesons and HF muons



arXiv:1205.4007

arXiv:1205.6443

• The HF muon cross section is well described by FONLL predictions

Sarah LaPointe

## pp AT 7 TeV, D MESONS, MUONS, ELECTRONS



- Measured production cross section of D mesons, muons and electrons
- •pQCD predictions (FONLL, GM-VFNS) describe the data well

Sarah LaPointe

# BEAUTY WITH ELECTRONS

### Electron-hadron correlations

extract relative B contribution to HF e yieldpp at 2.76 TeV



### Impact parameter analysis

exploit relatively long lifetime of Bpp at 7 TeV



### ADDITIONAL HF MEASUREMENTS



\* For the  $\Lambda_c$  analysis is statistics-limited and corrections are ongoing

Sarah LaPointe

### PbPb OVERVIEW

### Nuclear modification factor

 $R_{AA} = \frac{1}{\langle N_{coll} \rangle} \frac{yield \ in \ AA}{yield \ in \ pp} = \frac{1}{\langle T_{AA} \rangle} \frac{dN_{AA}/dp_T}{d\sigma_{pp}/dp_T}$ 

\* N<sub>coll</sub> depends on the centrality of the collision. Estimated using the Glauber model

Single electrons at mid rapidity
Single muons at forward rapidity
D mesons at mid rapidity

PbPb data sets:  $\sqrt{s} = 2.76 \,\text{TeV} (2010,2011)$ minimum bias, central, EMCal, and muon triggers

• Elliptic flow- provides a measure of the strength of the collectivity • D Mesons  $E_{d^3N}^{d^3N} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d^2n}{p_T dp_T dy} (1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_n \cos[n(\phi - \Psi_{RP})])$ 

$$\nu_2 = <\cos(2[\phi - \Psi_{RP}]) >$$

## SINGLE ELECTRON RAA



- Close to unity in peripheral collisions
- 0.5 for  $p_t > 3$  GeV/c in central collisions
- Large uncertainties (systematics error ~35%, dominated by PID)

## SINGLE MUON RAA

Single muons from HF decays and primary π, K (background)
Background: in pp estimated using MC, in Pb-Pb extrapolated from measured π, K at mid rapidity

pt > 4 GeV/c to limit systematics from background subtraction



arXiv:1205.6443

In central collisions a strong suppression is observed
No significant dependence on pt in the measured pt region

Sarah LaPointe

### D MESONS IN PbPb



arXiv:1203.2160

Sarah LaPointe

### D MESON RAA



arXiv:1203.2160

- Measured  $D^0$ ,  $D^+$ , and  $D^* R_{AA}$  agree
- In central collisions, a strong suppression is observed

### D MESON RAA



arXiv:1203.2160

Suppression increases with increasing centrality

## COMPARISON OF RAA



! Different rapidity range and decay kinematics

D mesons
 HF(c+b) muons arXiv:1205.6443
 B→J/Ψ (CMS) arXiv:1201.5069

No observable difference of charm and beauty suppression
Dead cone effect - radiative energy loss suppressed with increasing mass.

Currently no mass effect observed

## COMPARISON OF RAA



D mesons
Charged hadrons arXiv:1012.1004
pions
B→J/ψ (CMS)

No observable difference of charm and beauty suppression
Dead cone effect - radiative energy loss suppressed with increasing mass.

- Currently no mass effect observed
- Suppression comparable
- Slight indication of hierarchy
- color charge effect?

### MODEL COMPARISON



Model predictions describe both charged hadrons and D mesons well
I. Radiative + D meson in-medium dissociation (tuned to LHC jet data)
II. Radiative + collisional energy loss (tuned to RHIC data)
VII. Radiative + collisional energy loss (tuned to RHIC data)

\* The model based on AdS/CFT drag coefficients significantly underestimates the charm RAA and have limited predictive power for the charged hadron RAA.

Sarah LaPointe

#### Momentum Space Azimuthal Anisotropy Momentum space azimuthal anisotropy - ELLIPTIC FLOW



Sarah LaPointe

ICFP 2012, Kolymbari, Crete, Greece

20



- Non-zero  $v_2$  in semi-central collisions
- Decrease in  $v_2$  with increased centrality



Non-zero v<sub>2</sub> in peripheral collisions
D+ comparable for centrality 30-50%



- Non-zero v<sub>2</sub> in peripheral collisions
- D+ comparable in 30-50%
- D mesons comparable to charged hadrons

## COMPARISON TO MODELS



Partonic transport models (BAMPS and Aichelin et al) describe the D  $v_2$ , but underestimate R<sub>AA</sub>. Difficult for models to describe both observables.

## SUMMARY

Open heavy flavours at ALICE measured via hadronic and semileptonic decay channels

### Nuclear modification factor:

- Measured for several channels, each showing strong suppression in central collisions
- Moves toward unity in peripheral collisions
- Hint that  $R_{AA}(\mathbf{\pi}) < R_{AA}(D)$

\*more data and pPb collisions needed for a more conclusive statement

Elliptic flow:

- Indication of non-zero v<sub>2</sub>
- Comparable with charged hadron v<sub>2</sub>

### Outlook:

- Separate charm and beauty contribution in the semielectronic channel
- Increase pt reach and decrease uncertainties
- Elliptic flow of electrons and muons from HF decays