Q-weak: First Direct Measurement of the Weak Charge of the Proton





International Conference on New Frontiers in Physics Kolymbari, Crete, Greece 3rd September 2013





Overview

- Q-weak basics and motivation.
- Experimental setup and design.
- Analysis and preliminary results.
- Summary



Basics

The Standard Model (SM) is the most successful elementary particle theory developed so far. But missing phenomena like gravity and dark matter suggest it is a "low energy" effective theory.

Particle	EM Charge	Weak Charge	
u	2/3	$-2C_{1u} = 1 - (8/3)\sin^2\theta_W$	~ 1/3
d	-1/3	$-2C_{1d} = -1 + (4/3)\sin^2\theta_W$	~ -2/3
p(uud)	1	$Q_{W}^{p} = -2(2C_{1u} + C_{1d}) = 1 - 4\sin^{2}\theta_{W}$	~ 0.07
n(udd)	0	$Q^{n}_{W} = -2(C_{1u} + 2C_{1d})$	~ -1

PV asym.
$$A_{ep} = \frac{\sigma_+ - \sigma_-}{\sigma_+ + \sigma_-} \approx \frac{|M_{weak}^{PV}|}{|M_{EM}|}$$





 $h = \overline{S} \cdot p$

Where $\sigma_{+}(\sigma_{-})$ is positive(negative) helicity correlated electronproton scattering cross section. M^{PV}_{weak} and M_{EM} are the parity violating (PV) neutral current and parity conserving (PC) helicity electromagnetic (EM) scattering amplitudes, respectively



Q-weak Basics

Tree level PV asymmetry can be written as

$$A_{ep} = \left[\frac{-G_F Q^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha}\right] \left[\frac{\varepsilon G_E^{\gamma} G_E^Z + \tau G_M^{\gamma} G_M^Z - (1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W)\varepsilon' G_M^{\gamma} G_A^Z}{\varepsilon (G_E^{\gamma})^2 + \tau (G_M^{\gamma})^2}\right]$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{1+2(1+\tau)\tan^2\frac{\theta}{2}}, \varepsilon' = \sqrt{\tau(1+\tau)(1-\varepsilon^2)}, \tau = \frac{Q^2}{4M}$$

As
$$\theta \to 0$$
, $\varepsilon \to 1$, and $\tau \ll 1$
$$A_{ep} = \left[\frac{-G_F Q^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha}\right] \left[Q_W^p + Q^2 B(Q^2, \theta)\right] = A_0 \left[Q_W^p + Q^2 B(Q^2, \theta)\right]$$

 $\begin{bmatrix} G^{Y}_{E}, G^{Y}_{M} : EM \text{ form factors (FF)} \\ G^{Z}_{E}, G^{Z}_{M} : \text{ weak neutral FF} \\ G^{Z}_{A} : \text{ axial FF} \\ G_{F} : \text{ Fermi constant} \end{bmatrix}$

Q² is four momentum transfer squared $\sin^2 \theta_{W}$ the weak mixing angle M is the proton mass θ is scattering angle

$$Q^{p}_{W} = 1 - 4\sin^{2}\theta_{W}$$
$$A_{0} = \frac{-G_{F}Q^{2}}{4\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}}$$

In a A_{ep}/A_0 vs Q² plot Q^p_W is the intercept and B(Q², θ) is slope



The scattered electron yield is integrated $A_{msr} = \frac{Y_{+} - Y_{-}}{Y_{+} + Y}$ during each helicity state. The helicity is flipped pseudo-randomly at 960Hz

The PV asymmetry can be extracted after correcting for polarization, false asymmetry and backgrounds.



Q-weak Goal

- The objective of Q-weak experiment is to measure the parity violating asymmetry (A_{ep}) in elastic electron-proton scattering in order to extract Q^p_W.
- A_{ep} has a size of ~230 ppb (measuring very small number very precisely).

	Error Source	$\delta(A_{ep})/A_{ep}$ [%]	δ(Q ^p _W)/Q ^p _W [%]
Even e ete el	Statistical	2.1	3.2
Expected	Hadronic Structure	_	1.5
	Polarimetry	1.0	1.5
guar with full	Q ² Determination	0.5	1.0
2007	Backgrounds	0.5	0.7
proposal)	Helicity-Correlated Beam Properties	0.5	0.8
proposal			
	Total	2.5	4.2

This presentation includes ~ 4% of total data set.

Basics Apparatus Analysis CO Results CO Summary O

Jefferson Lab



The Q-weak experiment was performed at Hall-C of Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility (Jlab) at Newport News, VA, USA during November 2010 to May 2012 although preparation started in 2001.



Q-weak Apparatus





Q-weak Apparatus





Extracting PV Asymmetry

$A_{msr} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} A_i f_i$		Correction Value (ppb)	Contr to ΔA	ibution _{ep} (ppb)
Extracting	Normalization Factors Applied to A_{Raw}			
physics $A_{ep} = R_{total}$	Beam Polarization $1/P$	-21		5
asymmetry $1 - \sum f_i$	Kinematics R_{tot}	5		9
	Bckgrnd Dilution $1/(1 - f_{tot})$	-7		-
\sim	Asymmetry corrections			
$A_{msr} \neq A_{raw} + A_T + A_L + A_{reg}$	Beam Asymmetries κA_{reg}	-40		13
	Transverse Polarization κA_T	0	5	
$K_{total} = K_{RC} K_{Det} K_{Bin} K_{Q^2}$	Detector Linearity κA_L	0		4
Radiative Detector Bias Kinematic Q^2 calibration	Backgrounds	$\kappa P f_i A_i$	$\delta(f_i)$	$\delta(A_i)$
(1.010 ± 0.005) (1.010 ± 0.005) (1.010 ± 0.005) (1.010 ± 0.005)	Target Windows (b_1)	-58	4	8
	Beamline Scattering (b_2)	11	3	23
	Other Neutral bkg (b_3)	0	1	< 1
Smallest asymmetry and	Inelastics (b_4)	1	1	< 1
absolute error bar measured in e-p scattering to date	Submitted to PRL this year. http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.5275			
	at <q<sup>2> = 0.02</q<sup>	250 ± 0.0006	(GeV/c	;) ²

 $A_{ep} = -279 \pm 35$ (statistics) ± 31 (systematics) ppb



Extracting PV Asymmetry





Q-weak

Global fit (solid line) presented in the forward angle limit as reduced asymmetries derived from this measurement as well as other Parity Violating Electron Scattering (PVES).

> Our result increased consistency with SM calculation $Q^{p}_{W}(SM) = 0.0710 \pm 0.0007$



$$A_{ep} = \left[\frac{-G_F Q^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha}\right] \left[Q_W^p + Q^2 B(Q^2, \theta)\right] = A_0 \left[Q_W^p + Q^2 B(Q^2, \theta)\right]$$

$Q^{p}_{W}(PVES) = 0.064 \pm 0.012$



Impact on $C_{1\mathrm{u}}$ and $C_{1\mathrm{d}}$

$$Q^{p}_{W} = -2(C_{1u} + 2C_{1d})$$

 $Q^{n}_{W} = -2(2C_{1u} + C_{1d})$

 Q_W^p along with Atomic Parity Violation (APV) constrain on the neutral-weak quark coupling constants $C_{1u} - C_{1d}$ (isovector) and $C_{1u} + C_{1d}$ (isoscalar).

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{1u} = -0.184 \, \pm \, 0.005 \\ C_{1d} = \, 0.336 \, \pm \, 0.005 \end{array}$

Neutron weak charge is extracted for the first time.

Our result for neutron is in agreement with SM value $Q^n_W(SM) = -0.9890 \pm 0.0007$



$Q^{n}_{W}(PVES+APV) = -0.975 \pm 0.010$



In addition to the ~ 4% measurement of the proton's weak charge, numerous other interesting ancillary measurements:

- Elastic transverse asymmetry (proton)
- Elastic transverse asymmetry (aluminum, carbon)
- PV asymmetry in $N \rightarrow \Delta$ region.
- Transverse asymmetry in the $N \rightarrow \Delta$ region (proton)
- Transverse asymmetry in the $N \rightarrow \Delta$ region (aluminum, carbon)
- PV deep inelastic scattering γZ box diagram constraining
- Transverse asymmetry in the PVDIS region (3.3 GeV)
- PV asymmetries in pion photoproduction
- Transverse asymmetries in pion photoproduction
- Measurements of elastic PV asymmetry on aluminum(alloys)/ carbon

Plenty of projects, plenty of results, 20+ theses....



Summary

Q-weak has produced the first direct measurement of the weak charge of the proton, with $\sim 4\%$ of the total data set.

The result is a 16.8% measurement of the PV asymmetry at $\langle Q^2 \rangle = 0.0250 \pm 0.0006 (GeV/c)^2$

 $A_{ep} = -279 \pm 35$ (statistics) ± 31 (systematics) ppb

This is a 18.7% measurement of the weak charge of the proton

 $Q_{W}^{p}(PVES) = 0.064 \pm 0.012$

At the effective kinematics $Q_W^p(SM) = 0.0710 \pm 0.0007$

Weak charge of neutron using this data along with PVES and APV extracted as

 $Q^{n}_{W}(PVES+APV) = -0.975 \pm 0.010$

- Expect to report results with 5 times smaller uncertainties in about a year.
- Demonstrated the technological base for future high precision SM tests using PVES at an upgraded 12 GeV Jefferson Lab.

Q-weak Collaboration



D.S. Armstrong, A. Asaturyan, T. Averett, J. Balewski, J. Beaufait, R.S. Beminiwattha, J. Benesch, F. Benmokhtar, J. Birchall, R.D. Carlini¹, J.C. Cornejo, S. Covrig, M.M. Dalton, C.A. Davis, W. Deconinck, J. Diefenbach, K. Dow, J.F. Dowd, J.A. Dunne, D. Dutta, W.S. Duvall, M. Elaasar, W.R. Falk, J.M. Finn¹, T. Forest, D. Gaskell, M.T.W. Gericke, J. Grames, V.M. Gray, K. Grimm, F. Guo, J.R. Hoskins, K. Johnston, D. Jones, M. Jones, R. Jones, M. Kargiantoulakis, P.M. King, E. Korkmaz, S. Kowalski¹, J. Leacock, J. Leckey, A.R. Lee, J.H. Lee, L. Lee, S. MacEwan, D. Mack, J.A. Magee, R. Mahurin, J. Mammei, J. Martin, M.J. McHugh, J. Mei, R. Michaels, A. Micherdzinska, K.E. Myers, A. Mkrtchyan, H. Mkrtchyan, A. Narayan, L.Z. Ndukum, V. Nelyubin, Nuruzzaman, W.T.H van Oers, A.K. Opper, S.A. Page¹, J. Pan, K. Paschke, S.K. Phillips, M.L. Pitt, M. Poelker, J.F. Rajotte, W.D. Ramsay, J. Roche, B. Sawatzky, T. Seva, M.H. Shabestari, R. Silwal, N. Simicevic, G.R. Smith², P. Solvignon, D.T. Spayde, A. Subedi, R. Subedi, R. Suleiman, V. Tadevosyan, W.A. Tobias, V. Tvaskis, B. Waidyawansa, P. Wang, S.P. Wells, S.A. Wood, S. Yang, R.D. Young, S. Zhamkochyan

¹Spokespersons ²Project Manager Grad Students

Q-weak Collaboration



Backup Slides

Running of $\sin^2\theta_W$

0.245 The SM predicts the This Result Q^p_w (PVES) running of $\sin^2\theta_{W}(Q)$ based (~4% of data) NuTeV Q_w(e) on the measurement done 0.240 at the Z-pole. Q_w(APV) $\text{sin}^2\theta_W(\mu)$ 0.235 Running of $\sin^2\theta_W$ is due to higher order RC varies with Latest corrections to 133Cs LEP Q². PRL 109, 203003 (2012) 0.230 SLD Q-weak will measure (full Qweak dataset expected precision) the $\sin^2\theta_W(Q)$ to 0.3% with 0.225 full statistics. 0.0001 0.001 0.01 0.1 10 100 1000 10000 μ [GeV]

Basics



Four forces: strong, weak, electromagnetic and gravitational.

The proton, consisting of three quarks, is the simplest particle that experiences all the fundamental forces.

The strength of the weak force between interacting quarks and other weakly interacting particles can be characterized by their weak charge (distinct from their electric charge).

The weak force stands distinct because it violates a fundamental symmetry of nature called parity. This distinctness is often exploited to measure properties related to the weak force.

Result from 4% of Total Data

Global fit (solid line) presented in the forward angle limit as reduced asymmetries derived from this measurement as well as other Parity Violating Electron Scattering (PVES).



Result from 4% of Total Data



Simulated Result from Full Data Set



Electroweak Corrections

$Q_W^p = [\rho_{\rm NC} + \overline{\Delta_e}][1 - 4\sin^2\hat{\theta}_{\rm W}(0) + \Delta'_e] + \overline{\Box}_{WW} + \overline{\Box}_{ZZ} + \overline{\Box}_{WW} + \overline{\Box}_{WW} + \overline{\Box}_{ZZ} + \overline{\Box}_{WW} + \overline{\Box}$



- Calculations are primarily dispersion theory type
 - error estimates can be firmed up with data!
- Qweak: inelastic asymmetry data taken at W ~ 2.3 GeV, $Q^2 = 0.09 \text{ GeV}^2$



23

Global PVES Fit Details

- 5 free parameters ala Young, et al. PRL 99, 122003 (2007):
 - C_{1u} , C_{1d} , ρ_s , μ_s , & isovector axial FF G_A^Z
 - $G_E^s = \rho_s Q^2 G_D$, $G_M^s = \mu_s G_D$, & G_A^Z use G_D where
 - $G_D = (1 + Q^2/\lambda^2)^{-2}$ with $\lambda = 1$ GeV/c
- Employs all PVES data up to Q²=0.63 (GeV/c)²
 - On p, d, & ⁴He targets, forward and back-angle data
 - SAMPLE, HAPPEX, G0, PVA4
- Uses constraints on isoscalar axial FF G_A^Z
 - Zhu, et al., PRD 62, 033008 (2000)
- All data corrected for E & Q² dependence of \Box_{yZ} RC
 - Hall et al., arXiv:1304.7877 (2013) & Gorchtein et al., PRC84, 015502 (2011)
- Effects of varying Q^2 , θ , & λ studied, found to be small

Model Independent Constraint, New PV Physics



$$\delta Q^P_W ~=~ (Q^P_W)_{Q_{\rm Weak}} ~-~ (Q^P_W)_{\rm SM}$$

New physics is ruled out below the curve at 95% C.L.

Model Dependent New Physics

CDF and D0 results of the forward backward asymmetry A_{FB} •Results favored t production in the incoming proton direction and t in the anti-proton direction •Observed $A_{FB} = 0.475 \pm 0.114$ a 3.40 deviation from SM next-to-leading order prediction of 0.088 ± 0.013

New physics models could account for the excess



Plot show how PV constraints could exclude certain models as the source of excess A_{FB}



Gresham et.al. arXiv:1203.1320 [hep-ph]

title

Even with only 4% of the full data set, Q-weak significantly constrains new physics scenarios.

Model independent mass reach (95% CL) comparable to LHC limits:

Mass scale over coupling of new physics

$$\frac{\Lambda}{g} \approx \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sqrt{2} G_F \left| \Delta Q_w^p \right|}} \sim 1.1 \ TeV$$

Strongly coupled theories have $g^2 \sim 4\pi$.

Separate limits can be quoted for models that interfere constructively and destructively with the Standard Model.



Why Considering Only C_{1u} , C_{1d}



Strongly suppressed by design kinematics

$$Q^{p}_{W} = -2(C_{1u} + 2C_{1d})$$

 $Q^{n}_{W} = -2(2C_{1u} + C_{1d})$

Parity Violating Electron Scattering



Backgrounds and Corrections

Aluminum Window Background

Large asymmetry and high fraction make this a big effect.

Correction driven by measurement.

 $A_{b1} = 1.76 \pm 0.26 \text{ ppm}$ $f_{b1} = 3.23 \pm 0.24 \%$

- Rate from windows measured with empty target (actual windows)
- Corrected for effect of hydrogen using simulation and data driven models of elastic and QE scattering.
- Asymmetry measured from thick AI target
- Measured asymmetry agrees with expectations from scaling.



Beamline Scattering

- Various "background" detectors observed
- highly correlated non-zero asymmetriesAsymmetries were primarily from beamline background (hypothesis: asymmetric "beam halo" events interacting in Tungsten beam collimator and beamline)
- Beamline background contributes only ~0.19% to the signal of the main detectors.Background detectors provided continuous monitoring of any asymmetry associated with this background
- Correction is determined from the upstream lumis.
- Relationship to main detector determined using a variety of methods (including direct blocking of primary events), appears to be well understood.



```
f_{b2} = 0.19 \pm 0.10 \%
C_{b2} = 11 \pm 3 \text{ ppb}
```

Example of the correlation between background detectors.





Other Neutrals

Blocked octant studies allow measurement of background fraction

Background fraction Main detectors - 0.19% MD9 - 9.4% USlumi - 60% background detectors -100%

Ratio of background fraction pmtonl/uslumi = 1.7 pmtltg/uslumi = 1.7 MD9/uslumi = 0.16

Ratios of measured asymmetries replicate these numbers well.

$$A_{b3} = -5.5 \pm -11.5 \text{ ppm}$$

 $f_{b3} = 0.2 \pm 0.2 \%$



Background detector orientations

Inelastic Background

Negligible effect for Run-0



Transverse Asymmetries



Raw physics asymmetries = AVG(IN asymmetry – OUT asymmetry)

•Not corrected for backgrounds and polarization.

•90 degree phase offset seen between Vertical and Horizontal fits (as expected).

MD Sensitivities from Natural Beam Jitter



MD Sensitivities from Beam Modulation

Stability of modulation sensitivities over time



Nuruzzaman ICNFP 2013

9/3/13

MD Sensitivities from Beam Modulation

Stability of modulation sensitivities over time



ICNFP 2013

Background Detectors During Run-0



Normalization

Kinematics determined from detailed simulation. Radiative corrections are applied to the asymmetry.

 $< E_{beam} > = 1155 \text{ MeV}$ $<Q^2> = 0.0250 \pm 0.0006 (GeV/c)^2(2.4\%)$ rel) $\theta_{\rm eff} = 7.90$ Q2 at pre-radiator h3 Entries 30278 0.02502 Mean RMS 0.007943 80000 70000 $Q^2 (GeV/c)^2$ 60000 50000 40000 30000 20000 10000 -0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.06 0.07





Q-weak Performance

Main Detector All bars Asymmetry (Blinded)



Sample asymmetry at beam current of ~180 μ A

Contribution	Wid	th
Pure counting statistics	201	ppm
Detector Resolution	92	ppm
Current monitor resolution	50	ppm
Target boiling	57	ppm
Total (observed)	233.7	ppm

Experimental

Jefferson Lab Beamline Sketch



Jefferson Lab



Jefferson Lab Beamline Sketch



Polarized Source





"strain" boosts polarization, but introduces anisotropy in response

Helicity changed by changing Pockels Cell voltage.

Recent developments •New "inverted" gun •130 kV extraction: increase cathode lifetime, decrease space charge blowup for high current, •New vertical Wien and solenoid to allow a second slow flip



Slow Reversals of Signal

Insertable Half Wave Plate Optical element on laser table which reverses

the sign of the laser helicity with respect to the sign Pockels Cell high voltage.

~300 total HWP reversals, each about 8 hours of good data, called "slugs" Measurements should have same magnitude

"Double Wien" spin manipulator

Vertical Wien filter followed by a solenoid and

then a horizontal-Wien. Allows reversal between the laser helicity and the experimental electrons.

11 total opposing "Wien" periods ~1 month of data in each

5. Horizontal Wien



Nuruzzaman	
ICNFP 2013	

Polarimetry

Two independent polarimeters were used to measure beam polarization:



- existing Hall C Møller polarimeter to measure absolute beam polarization to <1% at low beam currents.
- New Compton polarimeter is used to provide continuous, nondestructive measurement of beam polarization at nominal experiment beam current.

A typical measured polarization is shown in the figure.

Measured beam polarization during commissioning period using Moller polarimeter is ~ 89 \pm 2 % (Compton results during commissioning was not available)



Q-weak Target



- World's highest power cryogenic target ~2.5 kW.
- 35 cm long liquid H2.
- Designed with computational fluid dynamics (CFD) to reduce density fluctuations.



ICNFP 2013



Q-weak Toroidal Magnet Spectrometer



- Length = 3.7 m
- I ~ 8900 A
- ∫Bdl ~ 0:67 Tm
- $\theta_{scat} = 7.9^{\circ} \pm 2^{\circ}$
- Q² = 0.025 (GeV/c)²
- ϕ acceptance ~ $1/2(2\pi)$



Q-weak Cherenkov Detectors





- Azimuthal symmetry maximizes rate and decreases sensitivity to HC beam motion, transverse asymmetry
- 8 synthetic quartz Cerenkov detector bars 2m long
- low noise, radiation hard Installed a 2 cm thick Pb pre-radiators decrease the background by showering electrons and attenuating low energy neutrals
- Signal normalized to beam current
- Scattered e focused on the detector bars at a rate of 800MHz per



Luminosity Monitors

Upstream lumis: 4 detectors at ~5 degrees 100 GHz / detector 50-60% of signal from "plug" scattering Mainly functions like a background detector

Downstream lumis: 8 detectors at ~0.5 degrees 100 GHz / detector null asymmetry monitor and beam diagnostic



"Lead donut" added for additional shielding.

