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Presentation of recent MD results (J.Cesaratto):

http://www.slac.stanford.edu/~meeg/g_ecloud/Meetings/20120809/MDreview_Aug09.pdf
R&D and staged implementation: The Path (1)

Boundary conditions:
LS1: 2012
LS2: 2017+

Phase 1: The demonstrator → end 2012
- goal: damp head tail motion of single bunch
- existing equipment (amplifiers, BPWs as kicker and PU)
- “drive experiments” successful
- electronics (LARP), close FB loop before end of 2012

all design specifications for phase 2: end of 2012

Phase 2: New pick-up, new kicker, consolidated electronics,
- higher power amplifiers,
- preparation of LSS3 in LS1 for installation of equipment
  at the end of LS1 or later in a short winter shutdown post-LS1
- feedback on multi-bunch beam in presence of e-cloud
- decide on final implementation and LSS3 vs. LSS5 before LS2
MD results obtained in 2012

- Synchronized excitation signal to the bunch.
- Established method by which time aligning can be performed quickly and reproducibly, using variable delay line.
- Excited the beam with band-filtered random noise.
- Excited beam to instability, different modes.
2012 MD slots still needed with LARP participation

- **LARP meeting in Frascati 14-16 November 2012**
- **1st slot:** Beginning of October or before above November LARP meeting: *fine timing, excitation of bunch in a train*
- **2nd slot:** As late as possible, weeks 47 and 48 after LARP meeting: *tests of new hardware for “demonstrator” to close FB loop*
Other 2012 MD slots needed

- One dedicated MD with bunch trains at 25 ns
- MDs to check the developed hardware orbit compensation (Urs Wehrle)
- Note: any MDs next year (26 GeV) would be extremely useful (consolidated Hardware) for extended demonstrator tests and prototype specification.
Addressing the specific questions...

- Which studies will still require significant MD time before LS1?
  - List of topics given above

- Is any study presently limited by instrumentation or diagnostics? Any improvement possible before LS1?
  - Limited by speed of HW development and availability, rather than intrinsic SPS instrumentation

- Is any study strongly relying on the installation and test of new hardware before LS1?
  - Yes – the single bunch ‘demonstrator’ studies require the HW for the feedback processing channel to be available...tight for end 2012

- How can we optimize the use of the remaining available MD time? Do we need to request for more?
  - The timing of the MD is most critical – as late as possible

- Which are the main motivations why we could benefit from the extension of the MD run into 2013?
  - Would be very useful indeed, as HW will arrive very late in the year. Increase time for measurement, reduce risk of missing deadline, ...
end
Job for high bandwidth feedback in SPS

cure transverse “single bunch” instabilities by feedback

two collective effects are limiting the SPS performance as LHC injector
  e-cloud
  TMCI
similarities: both effects cause vertical intra bunch instability

high chromaticity suppresses instability to a certain extent

feedback expected to permit running at low chromaticity and at intensities beyond which high chromaticity is an established cure

particular important to maintain small transverse emittances

synergy with PS and LHC
## Transverse Feedback Systems in LHC and its injectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accelerator</th>
<th>Digital / analogue processing</th>
<th>Power / kicker / bandwidth</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PS Booster</strong></td>
<td>multi turn injection from Linac 2, analogue beam offset signal suppression, analogue delay (cables &amp; switches)</td>
<td>100 W, 50 Ω stripline Limited to 13 MHz in operation But built for 100 MHz bandwidth, baseband</td>
<td>H-plane: <strong>used and required</strong> V-plane: beam stable w/o FB upgrade planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(protons) 50 MeV – 1.4 GeV kin. E. (future: 160 MeV to 2 GeV kin E) no ecloud, long bunches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PS</strong></td>
<td>digital system, synergy with LHC Damper for The low level digital processing 80 MHz clock frequency</td>
<td>2 kW solid state power amplifier; 112 Ω stripline (0.9 m length), planned with ~30 MHz bandwidth in baseband, lower cut-off ~50 kHz</td>
<td>2012 under commissioning injection damping and feedback will be beneficial in particular for high intensity CNGS beams and LHC beams. Currently horizontal instabilities are cured by introducing coupling to the vertical plane which constrains the tunes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(protons, ions) 1.4 GeV – 25 GeV (kinetic E) (future injection at 2 GeV) ecloud observed when beam bunched at 25 ns Spacing, coupled bunch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPS</strong></td>
<td>digital notch filter and 1T-delay (Altera FPGA, 80 MHz clock) commissioned in 2000/2001</td>
<td>tetrode amplifiers with two 30 kW tetrodes in push-pull directly coupled to a kicker (base band); feedback bandwidth ~10 kHz to 20 MHz</td>
<td>2001 upgraded for LHC beams H-plane: used in operation V-plane: used in operation used and required for operation above 5x10^12 protons (max ~5.5x10^13 ppp accelerated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(protons, ions) (14 – 450) GeV/c protons FT (26 – 450) GeV/c LHC beam ecloud observed and is a potential limitation for 25 ns spacing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPS High Bandwidth Feedback</strong></td>
<td><strong>digital @ 4 – 5 GS/s clock</strong></td>
<td>under study, GHz BW</td>
<td>Feasibility Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LHC</strong></td>
<td>digital notch filter and 1T-delay, built-in diagnostics 14 bit DAC / DAC Altera FPGA, 40/80 MHz clock 2 um rms resolution</td>
<td>tetrode amplifiers with two 30 kW tetrodes in push-pull directly coupled to kicker (base band) similar to SPS system 3 kHz -&gt; 20 MHz</td>
<td>2010 fully commissioned injection damping feedback used in ramp and physics, essential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(protons, ions) protons: 450 GeV/c – 7 TeV/c ecloud observed and is a potential limitation for 25 ns spacing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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