Mass modes & secondary heavy quark radiation I

Piotr Pietrulewicz

in collaboration with Simon Gritschacher, Andre Hoang, Ilaria Jemos

arXiv:1302.4743

University of Vienna

Xth Workshop on SCET Durham, 16.03.2013



= nar

in QCD: e.g. DIS

- mass effects via different schemes (FFNS, VFNS, ...)
 - \rightarrow correct limiting behavior, continuous description?
- ACOT scheme (VFNS): heavy quark production (3 scales: Q, m, Λ_{QCD}) Aivazis, Collins, Olness, Tung (1994) factorization theorem interpolating between the regions
 - $\rightarrow m \gg Q$: full decoupling
 - \rightarrow *m* \sim *Q*: exact kinematics, mass effects in Wilson coefficients (FFNS)
 - \rightarrow *m* \ll *Q*: Log-resummation, massless kinematics, mass effects in pdf's

 \Rightarrow setup for additional scales? e.g. in endpoint region $x \rightarrow 1$: $Q^2(1-x)$

Mass effects in SCET

- in SCET: event shapes for $e^+e^- \rightarrow jets$
 - factorization and resummation for production of primary massive $t\bar{t}$ -pairs Fleming, Hoang, Mantry, Stewart (2008)
- \Rightarrow still missing: systematic treatment of secondary massive quarks \rightarrow nontrivial setup with dynamical thresholds



= nan





Mass modes in SCET



Massive gauge boson radiation

三日 のへの

Outline



Mass modes in SCET

Massive gauge boson radiation

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Thrust distribution



back-to-back:
$$\tau \to 0$$
 $\hat{\mathbf{t}}$



• thrust distribution from LEP data ($e^+e^- \rightarrow jets$)



Factorization theorem for thrust

Fleming, Hoang, Mantry, Stewart (2007) Bauer, Fleming, Lee, Sterman (2008)

SCET result for $\tau \ll 1$:

$$rac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d} au} = {old Q}^2 \sigma_0 {old H}_0({old Q},\mu) \int d\ell \; J_0({old Q}\ell,\mu) \, {old S}_0 \left({old Q} au-\ell,\mu
ight) \; ,$$

 $Q \rightarrow CM$ energy, $\sigma_0 \rightarrow cross$ -section at LO



ヘロト 人間 ト イヨト イヨト

Ingredients:

- hard function: $H_0(Q, \mu) = |C_V(Q, \mu)|^2$
- thrust jet function: $J_0(s,\mu) = \int ds' J_n(s',\mu) J_{\bar{n}}(s-s',\mu)$
- thrust soft function: $S_0(\ell,\mu) \equiv \int dk_B dk_L \,\delta(\ell-k_B-k_L) \, S_0^{\text{hemi}}(k_B,k_L,\mu)$ $\rightarrow \mu_{S} \sim Q\lambda^{2} \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$: $S_{0} = S_{0}^{\text{model}}$: non-perturbative model

3 3 4 4

Profile functions

Profile functions: Parametrization of renormalization scales in terms of thrust \rightarrow continuous transition between peak, tail and far-tail region

Abbate, Fickinger, Hoang, Mateu, Stewart (2011)



Scale hierarchies with a mass

introduce particle species with mass m

 \rightarrow several scale hierarchies in one single event shape spectrum (for Q > m)



 $\mu_H > \mu_m > \mu_J > \mu_S$

1= nac

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Scale hierarchies with a mass

introduce particle species with mass m

 \rightarrow several scale hierarchies in one single event shape spectrum (for Q > m)



= ~ ~ ~

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Scale hierarchies with a mass

introduce particle species with mass m

 \rightarrow several scale hierarchies in one single event shape spectrum (for Q > m)



(I)

Outline





Massive gauge boson radiation

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Mass modes

- new degrees of freedom: "mass modes"
- additional scaling parameter: $\lambda_m = m/Q$



mode	$p^{\mu}=(+,-,\perp)$	p ²
<i>n</i> -coll MM	$Q(\lambda_m^2, 1, \lambda_m)$	m ²
soft MM	$Q(\lambda_m, \lambda_m, \lambda_m)$	m²

 \Rightarrow How to include mass modes into massless setup?

• construct a sequence of EFTs depending on $\lambda_m \leftrightarrow \lambda$

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{I}. \ \lambda_m > \mathsf{1} > \lambda > \lambda^2 \\ & \mathsf{II}. \ \mathsf{1} > \lambda_m > \lambda > \lambda^2 \\ & \mathsf{III.} \ \mathsf{1} > \lambda > \lambda_m > \lambda^2 \\ & \mathsf{III.} \ \mathsf{1} > \lambda > \lambda_m > \lambda^2 \\ & \mathsf{IV.} \ \mathsf{1} > \lambda > \lambda^2 > \lambda_m \end{split}$$

- aims:
 - \rightarrow continuity between scaling situations ("scenarios")
 - \rightarrow mass-independent UV divergences
 - \rightarrow decoupling for $m \rightarrow \infty$
 - ightarrow correct IR-finite massless limit for m
 ightarrow 0

ELE NOR

(4 同) (4 回) (4 回)

I. $\lambda_m > 1 > \lambda > \lambda^2$



mode	$p^{\mu}=(+,-,\perp)$	<i>p</i> ²
hard	Q(1,1,1)	Q^2
<i>n</i> -coll ML	$Q(\lambda^2, 1, \lambda)$	$Q^2 \lambda^2$
usoft ML	$Q(\lambda^2, \lambda^2, \lambda^2)$	$Q^2 \lambda^4$

II. $1 > \lambda_m > \lambda > \lambda^2$



mode	$p^{\mu}=(+,-,\perp)$	<i>p</i> ²
hard	Q(1,1,1)	Q^2
<i>n</i> -coll MM	$Q(\lambda_m^2, 1, \lambda_m)$	<i>m</i> ²
soft MM	$Q(\lambda_m, \lambda_m, \lambda_m)$	<i>m</i> ²
<i>n</i> -coll ML	$Q(\lambda^2, 1, \lambda)$	$Q^2 \lambda^2$
usoft ML	$Q(\lambda^2,\lambda^2,\lambda^2)$	$Q^2 \lambda^4$

III. 1 > $\lambda > \lambda_m > \lambda^2$



mode	$p^{\mu}=(+,-,\perp)$	p²
hard	Q(1,1,1)	Q^2
<i>n</i> -coll M	$Q(\lambda^2, 1, \lambda)$	$Q^2 \lambda^2$
<i>n</i> -coll ML	$Q(\lambda^2, 1, \lambda)$	$Q^2 \lambda^2$
soft MM	$Q(\lambda_m, \lambda_m, \lambda_m)$	m^2
usoft ML	$Q(\lambda^2, \lambda^2, \lambda^2)$	$Q^2 \lambda^4$

Durham, 16.03.2013 15 / 33

IV. 1 > λ > λ^2 > λ_m



mode	$p^{\mu}=(+,-,\perp)$	p²
hard	Q(1,1,1)	Q^2
<i>n</i> -coll M	$Q(\lambda^2, 1, \lambda)$	$Q^2 \lambda^2$
<i>n</i> -coll ML	$Q(\lambda^2, 1, \lambda)$	$Q^2 \lambda^2$
usoft M	$Q(\lambda^2, \lambda^2, \lambda^2)$	$Q^2 \lambda^4$
usoft ML	$Q(\lambda^2,\lambda^2,\lambda^2)$	$Q^2 \lambda^4$

Durham, 16.03.2013 16 / 33

Outline



Mass modes in SCET



1 = 1 = 1 A C

Motivation

Setup for massive gauge boson (mass M) with vector coupling (group factors denoted as in SU(3))



• dispersive technique:

$$\underbrace{\overset{q}{\longrightarrow}}_{0000} \bigoplus \underbrace{\overset{\mathbf{m}}{\longrightarrow}}_{0000} = \underbrace{\overset{q^2}{\pi} \int_{4m^2}^{\infty} \frac{dM^2}{M^2} (\underbrace{\overset{q}{\longrightarrow}}_{\mathbf{M}}) \times \operatorname{Im} [\underbrace{\overset{q}{\longrightarrow}}_{\mathbf{M}} \underbrace{\overset{q}{\longrightarrow}}_{\mathbf{M}} \Big|_{q^2 \to M^2}$$

- separate mass mode concept from technical issues at two-loop
- in principle applicable for EW effects, ...

(I)

Full theory result



Piotr Pietrulewicz (University of Vienna)

Mass modes & secondary heavy quark radiation I

Mass mode setup: RG evolution

Top-down evolution: evolve to $\mu \sim \mu_S$



MM = mass-mode, ML = massless, M = massive

・ロン ・回 と ・ 回 と

1 = 1 = 1 A C

20/33

Scenario I: $\lambda_M > 1 > \lambda > \lambda^2$



ML = massless

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Scenario II : $1 > \lambda_M > \lambda > \lambda^2$



mass modes enter SCET, but integrated out before the jet scale

- \rightarrow modification of the matching coefficient at $\mu_{\rm H}$
- \rightarrow additional matching contribution at μ_M
- \rightarrow massless jet & soft function

$$\begin{split} & \frac{d\sigma}{d\tau} \sim \left| \mathcal{C}^{\prime\prime}(\mu_{H}) \right|^{2} U_{H}^{(1)}(\mu_{H},\mu_{M}) \left| \mathcal{M}_{H}(\mu_{M}) \right|^{2} U_{H}^{(0)}(\mu_{M},\mu_{S}) \\ & \times \int d\ell \int ds \, J_{0}(s,\mu_{J}) \, U_{J}^{(0)}(Q\ell-s,\mu_{S},\mu_{J}) \, S_{0} \left(Q\tau-\ell,\mu_{S} \right) \\ & U_{H}^{(1)}: \text{ evolution factor } (\gamma_{H}^{(1)}=2\gamma_{H}^{(0)}) \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{C}''(\mu_H) = \mathcal{C}'(\mu_H) - \delta F_m^{\text{eff}}(\mu_H)$

 δF_m^{eff} : massive SCET contribution

- (E) (E)

ML = massless

MM = mass mode

Hard function contribution δF_m^{eff}



$$\delta F_m^{\text{eff}}(Q, M, \mu) = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4\pi} \left\{ \ln \left(\frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \right) \left[2 \ln \left(\frac{-Q^2}{\mu^2} \right) - \ln \left(\frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \right) - 3 \right] - \frac{5\pi^2}{6} + \frac{9}{2} \right\}$$

Chiu, Golf, Kelley, Manohar (2008) Chiu, Fuhrer, Hoang, Kelley, Manohar (2009)

double counting of mass mode effects!

 \rightarrow subtraction of collinear diagrams with soft scaling necessary = soft-bin subtraction

 \rightarrow allows to obtain regulator-independent, gauge-invariant result

correct massless limit for matching coefficient:

$$\mathcal{C}''(Q, M, \mu_H) = \mathcal{C}'(\mu_H) - \delta \mathcal{F}_m^{\text{eff}}(\mu_H) \xrightarrow{M \to 0} 2\mathcal{C}_0(Q, \mu_H)$$

Scenario II : $1 > \lambda_M > \lambda > \lambda^2$



$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\tau} \sim |\mathcal{C}^{\prime\prime}(\mu_{H})|^{2} U_{H}^{(1)}(\mu_{H},\mu_{M}) |\mathcal{M}_{H}(\mu_{M})|^{2} U_{H}^{(0)}(\mu_{M},\mu_{S}) \\ \times \int d\ell \int ds J_{0}(s,\mu_{J}) U_{J}^{(0)}(Q\ell-s,\mu_{S},\mu_{J}) S_{0}(Q\tau-\ell,\mu_{S}) \\ U_{H}^{(1)}: \text{ evolution factor } (\gamma_{H}^{(1)} = 2\gamma_{H}^{(0)}) \\ \mathcal{C}^{\prime\prime}(\mu_{H}) = \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\mu_{H}) - \delta F_{m}^{\text{eff}}(\mu_{H}) \\ \mathcal{M}_{H}(\mu_{M}) = 1 + \delta F_{m}^{\text{eff}}(\mu_{M}) \\ \delta F_{m}^{\text{eff}}: \text{ massive SCET contribution} \\ \text{ continuity to scenario I for } \mu_{M} = \mu_{H}: \\ |\mathcal{C}^{\prime\prime}(\mu_{H})|^{2} |\mathcal{M}_{H}(\mu_{H})|^{2} = |\mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\mu_{H})|^{2}$$

ML = massless

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

MM = mass mode

Scenario II: Matching to full theory

• expansion of the most singular terms, i.e. for $\tau \sim M^2/Q^2 \ll 1$ and $\tau \sim M/Q \ll 1$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0} \left. \frac{d\sigma^{\text{full th.}}}{d\tau} \right|_{\text{FO}} = \delta(\tau) + 2 \operatorname{Re}\left[\delta F_m^{\text{QCD}} \left(\frac{M^2}{Q^2} \right) \right] \delta(\tau) \\ + Q^2 \theta \left(\tau - \frac{M^2}{Q^2} \right) \delta J_m^{\text{real}}(Q^2 \tau) + Q \theta \left(\tau - \frac{M}{Q} \right) \delta S_m^{\text{real}}(Q \tau)$$

Scenario II: Matching to full theory

• expansion of the most singular terms, i.e. for $\tau \sim M^2/Q^2 \ll 1$ and $\tau \sim M/Q \ll 1$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0} \left. \frac{d\sigma^{\text{full th.}}}{d\tau} \right|_{\text{FO}} = \delta(\tau) + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[\delta F_m^{\text{QCD}} \left(\frac{M^2}{Q^2} \right) \right] \delta(\tau)$$

$$+ Q^2 \theta \left(\tau - \frac{M^2}{Q^2} \right) \delta J_m^{\text{real}}(Q^2 \tau) + Q \theta \left(\tau - \frac{M}{Q} \right) \delta S_m^{\text{real}}(Q \tau)$$

 matching with SCET result at fixed order gives mass matching functions in scenario II, τ < M²/Q²:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0} \left. \frac{d\sigma^{\text{SCET}}}{d\tau} \right|^{\text{II}} = \delta(\tau) + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[\delta F_m^{\text{QCD}} \left(\frac{M}{Q} \right) \right] \delta(\tau) \\ + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[\delta F_m^{\text{eff}}(Q, M, \mu) + \mathcal{M}_H^{(1)}(Q, M, \mu) \right] \delta(\tau)$$

$$\rightarrow \operatorname{\mathsf{Re}}\left[\mathcal{M}_{H}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{Q},\boldsymbol{M},\mu)\right] = -\operatorname{\mathsf{Re}}\left[\delta \mathcal{F}_{m}^{\operatorname{eff}}(\boldsymbol{Q},\boldsymbol{M},\mu)\right]$$

< □ > < □ > < 亘 > < 亘 > < 亘 ≤ の < ○

Scenario III: $1 > \lambda > \lambda_M > \lambda^2$



ML = massless

massive and massless collinear modes fluctuate over comparable scales ($\lambda_M \leq \lambda$)

- \rightarrow assign collinear massless scaling (keep $M \neq 0$)
- ightarrow modification of the jet function at μ_J
- \rightarrow additional jet matching contribution at μ_M
- \rightarrow massless soft function

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{d\sigma}{d\tau} \sim \left| \mathcal{C}^{\prime\prime}(\mu_{H}) \right|^{2} U_{H}^{(1)}\left(\mu_{H},\mu_{m}\right) |\mathcal{M}_{H}(\mu_{M})|^{2} U_{H}^{(0)}\left(\mu_{M},\mu_{S}\right) \\ \times \int d\ell \int ds \int ds' \int ds'' J_{0+m}(s,\mu_{J}) U_{J}^{(1)}(s'-s,\mu_{M},\mu_{J}) \\ \times \mathcal{M}_{J}(s''-s',\mu_{M}) U_{J}^{(0)}(s''-Q\ell,\mu_{S},\mu_{M}) S_{0}\left(Q\tau-\ell,\mu_{S}\right) \end{array}$$

$$J_{0+m}(\boldsymbol{s},\mu_J) = J_0(\boldsymbol{s},\mu_J) + \delta J_m^{\text{virt}}(\boldsymbol{s},\mu_J) + \theta(\boldsymbol{s}-\boldsymbol{M}^2) \,\delta J_m^{\text{real}}(\boldsymbol{s})$$

 δJ_m^{virt} : virtual piece of jet function (distributive structure) δJ_m^{real} : real radiation piece of jet function (function)

MM = mass mode

M = massive

Jet function



diagram J_a individually not well-defined \rightarrow soft-bin subtractions are crucial!

$$J_{0+m}(s, M, \mu) = J_0(s, \mu) + \delta J_m^{\text{virt}}(s, M, \mu) + \theta(s - M^2) \, \delta J_m^{\text{real}}(s, M)$$

$$\mu^2 \delta J_m^{\text{virt}}(s, M, \mu) = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4\pi} \left\{ \delta(\bar{s}) \left[-4 \ln^2 \left(\frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \right) - 6 \ln \left(\frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \right) + 9 - 2\pi^2 \right] \right.$$

$$+ 8 \ln \left(\frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \right) \left[\frac{\theta(\bar{s})}{\bar{s}} \right]_+ \right\}$$

$$\delta J_m^{\text{real}}(s, M) = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4\pi} \left\{ \frac{2(M^2 - s)(3s + M^2)}{s^3} + \frac{8}{s} \ln \left(\frac{s}{M^2} \right) \right\}$$

→ δJ_m^{virt} = virtual radiation ($\bar{s} \equiv s/\mu^2$) → δJ_m^{real} = real radiation for $s > M^2$, continuous: $\delta J_m^{\text{real}}(s = M^2, M) = 0$ → correct massless limit: $J_{0+m}(s, M, \mu_J) \xrightarrow{M \to 0} 2J_0(s, \mu_J)$

ELE DOG

Scenario III: $1 > \lambda > \lambda_M > \lambda^2$



 $J_{0+m}(s,\mu_{I}) = J_{0}(s,\mu_{I}) + \delta J_{m}^{\text{virt}}(s,\mu_{I}) + \theta(s-M^{2}) \delta J_{m}^{\text{real}}(s)$ $\mathcal{M}_{I}(\mathbf{s}, \mu_{M}) = \delta(\mathbf{s}) - \delta J_{m}^{\text{virt}}(\mathbf{s}, \mu_{M})$

 δJ_m^{virt} : virtual piece of jet function (distributive structure) δJ_m^{real} : real radiation piece of jet function (function)

continuity to scenario II for $\mu_M = \mu_J \ (\mu_M < M)$: $J_{0+m}(s, \mu_{i}) \mathcal{M}_{i}(s, \mu_{i}) = J_{0}(s, \mu_{i})$

= nar

(D) (A) (A) (A) (A)

ML = massless

MM = mass mode

M = massive

Scenario III: Matching to full theory

• expansion of the most singular terms, i.e. for $\tau \sim M^2/Q^2 \ll 1$ and $\tau \sim M/Q \ll 1$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0} \left. \frac{d\sigma^{\text{full th.}}}{d\tau} \right|_{\text{FO}} = \delta(\tau) + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[\delta F_m^{\text{QCD}} \left(\frac{M^2}{Q^2} \right) \right] \delta(\tau)$$

$$+ Q^2 \theta \left(\tau - \frac{M^2}{Q^2} \right) \delta J_m^{\text{real}}(Q^2 \tau) + Q \theta \left(\tau - \frac{M}{Q} \right) \delta S_m^{\text{real}}(Q \tau)$$

• matching with SCET result at fixed order gives mass matching functions e.g. in scenario III, $M/Q > \tau > M^2/Q^2$:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0} \left. \frac{d\sigma^{\text{SCET}}}{d\tau} \right|^{\text{III}} = \delta(\tau) + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[\delta F_m^{\text{QCD}} \left(\frac{M}{Q} \right) \right] \delta(\tau) \\ + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[\delta F_m^{\text{eff}}(Q, M, \mu) + \mathcal{M}_H^{(1)}(Q, M, \mu) \right] \delta(\tau) \\ + Q^2 \theta \left(\tau - \frac{M^2}{Q^2} \right) \delta J_m^{\text{real}}(Q^2 \tau, M) \\ + Q^2 \left[\delta J_m^{\text{virt}}(Q^2 \tau, M, \mu) + \mathcal{M}_J^{(1)}(Q^2 \tau, M, \mu) \right]$$

 $\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{J}^{(1)}(Q^{2}\tau, M, \mu) = -\delta J_{m}^{\text{virt}}(Q^{2}\tau, M, \mu) \text{ (integrate out virtual contributions)}$ $\rightarrow \text{ no real radiation appearing in mass matching functions}$

Scenario IV: $1 > \lambda > \lambda^2 > \lambda_M$



M = massive

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Soft function



$$S_{0+m}(\ell, M, \mu) = S_0(\ell, \mu) + \delta S_m^{\text{virt}}(\ell, M, \mu) + \theta(\ell - M) \,\delta S_m^{\text{real}}(\ell, M)$$
$$\mu \,\delta S_m^{\text{virt}}(\ell, M, \mu) = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4\pi} \left\{ \delta(\bar{\ell}) \left[2 \,\ln^2 \left(\frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \right) + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \right] - 8 \,\ln\left(\frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \right) \left[\frac{\theta(\bar{\ell})}{\bar{\ell}} \right]_+ \right\}$$
$$\delta S_m^{\text{real}}(\ell, M) = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4\pi} \left\{ -\frac{8}{\ell} \,\ln\left(\frac{\ell^2}{M^2} \right) \right\}$$

→ δS_m^{wirt} = virtual radiation ($\bar{\ell} \equiv \ell/\mu$) → δS_m^{real} = real radiation for $\ell > M$, continuous: $\delta S_m^{\text{real}}(\ell = M, M) = 0$ → correct massless limit: $S_{0+m}(\ell, M, \mu_S) \xrightarrow{M \to 0} 2S_0(\ell, \mu_S)$

Scenario IV: $1 > \lambda > \lambda^2 > \lambda_M$



ML = massless

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

M = massive

(Intermediate) Summary

Achieved: EFT setup for massive gauge boson radiation in thrust distribution for different scale hierarchies incorporating

 $\sqrt{}$ continuous transitions (up to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$), no large power corrections at the transition! $\sqrt{}$ anomalous dimensions mass-independent (same result for M and ML) $\sqrt{}$ decoupling limit reached

$$\mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{M},\mu_{H}) \stackrel{M \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{C}_{0}(\mathcal{Q},\mu_{H})$$

/ massless limit reached

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}''(Q,M,\mu_{H}) & \stackrel{M \to 0}{\longrightarrow} & 2C_{0}(Q,\mu_{H}) \\ J_{0+m}(s,M,\mu_{J}) & \stackrel{M \to 0}{\longrightarrow} & 2J_{0}(s,\mu_{J}) \\ S_{0+m}(\ell,M,\mu_{S}) & \stackrel{M \to 0}{\longrightarrow} & 2S_{0}(\ell,\mu_{S}) \end{array}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Backup-slides

Outline



Piotr Pietrulewicz (University of Vienna) Mass modes & secondary heavy quark radiation I

Durham, 16.03.2013 34 / 33

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Soft-bin subtractions

hard function



 $\delta F_m^{\text{eff}} \sim V_n - V_{n,0M} + V_{\bar{n}} - V_{\bar{n},0M} + V_s - W_f$ $\sim V_n + V_{\bar{n}} - V_s - W_f$

Idilbi, Mehen (2007) no regulator required if suitable combinations of integrals taken

• jet function



 \rightarrow remark: no soft diagrams appearing here

ELE SOG

Soft-bin subtractions to self-energy diagrams



- SCET reproduces QCD wave-function renormalization
- in scenario II: $Q < M < Q\lambda$
 - \rightarrow off-shellness for interactions with collinear mass mode gauge bosons:

$$s = (p+k)^2 \sim M^2$$

 \rightarrow off-shellness for interactions with soft mass mode gauge bosons:

$$s = (p+k)^2 \sim QM$$

 \Rightarrow soft-bin subtractions to (collinear) self-energy power-suppressed by M/Q

5 1 - A C

Soft function calculation



additional divergences in the lightcone components (not regularized by DIMREG) $\rightarrow S_a$ and S_b individually ill-defined \rightarrow we use analytic α -regulator (DIMREG in lightcone components) Becher, Bell (2011)

$$\int dk^{-} \int dk^{+} \rightarrow \int dk^{-} \left(\frac{\nu_{1}}{k^{-}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \int dk^{+} \left(\frac{\nu_{2}}{k^{+}}\right)^{\alpha_{2}}$$

 $\rightarrow S_a = 0, \, \delta S_m = S_b$