# Neutrino Mass from d>5 Effective Operators in an SU(5) GUT with Discrete Symmetry

in collaboration with Davide Meloni, Walter Winter and Werner Porod



#### Martin B. Krauss

Universität Würzburg Institut für Theoretische Physik und Astrophysik

December 4, 2012



Many new physics models come with an **extented Higgs sector** and additional **(discrete) symmetries**.

- Systematic study of neutrino mass generation by higher-dimensional effective operators
- New physics at the TeV scale and phenomenological implications at the LHC
- Embedding in SU(5) GUT and consequences for phenomenology



• The usual type I seesaw introduces new physics close to the GUT scale.



- At low energies the new physics effects can be described by the Weinberg operator O<sub>W</sub> = (*L̄*<sup>c</sup>iτ<sup>2</sup>*H*)(*H*iτ<sup>2</sup>*L*) of *d* = 5.
- After EWSB  $\frac{Y_N^2}{m_N} \langle H \rangle^2 \bar{\nu}^c \nu \rightarrow$  generates neutrino mass  $m_{\nu}^{\text{eff}} \propto \frac{v^2}{\Lambda}$ , with  $\Lambda = m_N$

# Not testable in experiments!

... down to the TeV scale

In theories with additional scalars (THDM, MSSM, NMSSM, ...)  $\rightarrow$  Operators with d > 5 can have significant contribution to neutrino mass



- $\blacksquare$  Theories with discrete symmetries ightarrow operator can be forbidden at d=5
- Operator with d > 5 as leading contribution to neutrino mass
- New physics scale can be at lower energy

# Possible Effective Operators in the NMSSM

$$W_{\text{NMSSM}} = y_u u^c Q H_u + y_d d^c Q H d + y_e e^c L H_d + \lambda S H_u H_d + \frac{1}{3} \kappa S^3$$

	Op.#	Effective interaction	Charge	Same as
d = 5	1	$LLH_uH_u$	$2q_L + 2q_{H_u}$	
<i>d</i> = 6	2	LLH <sub>u</sub> H <sub>u</sub> S	$2q_L + q_{H_u} - q_{H_d}$	
d = 7	3	$LLH_uH_uH_dH_u$	$2q_L + 3q_{H_u} + q_{H_d}$	
	4	LLH <sub>u</sub> H <sub>u</sub> SS	$2q_L - 2q_{H_d}$	
<i>d</i> = 8	5	LLH <sub>u</sub> H <sub>u</sub> H <sub>d</sub> H <sub>u</sub> S	$2q_L + 2q_{H_{\mu}}$	#1
	6	LLH <sub>u</sub> H <sub>u</sub> SSS	$2q_L + 2q_{H_u}$	#1
d = 9	7	LLH <sub>u</sub> H <sub>u</sub> H <sub>d</sub> H <sub>d</sub> H <sub>d</sub> H <sub>d</sub> H <sub>u</sub>	$2q_L + 4q_{H_{\mu}} + 2q_{H_{d}}$	
	8	LLH <sub>u</sub> H <sub>u</sub> H <sub>d</sub> H <sub>u</sub> SS	$2q_L + q_{H_u} - q_{H_d}$	#2
	9	LLH <sub>u</sub> H <sub>u</sub> SSSS	$2q_L + q_{H_u} - q_{H_d}$	#2

#### Characteristics

Julius-Maximilians

WÜRZBURG

- Condition for discrete charges of fields from neutrality of superpotential
- Rules out some operators as leading contribution to neutrino mass
- Several possible fundamental theories can lead to the same effective operator

#### MBK, Ota, Porod, Winter (2011); PRD 84, 115023

(c.f. Bonnet, Hernandez, Ota, Winter (2009); JHEP 0910, 076 for a study in the THDM)  $\frac{4}{16}$ 

# UNIVERSITÄT Possible Decompositions for d = 7



- Same external fields, different mediators
- Scalar mediators potentially problematic: VEV of scalar → induces d = 6 operator



#### Superpotential

$$W = W_{\text{(N)MSSM}} + Y_N \hat{N} \hat{L} \cdot \hat{H}_u - \kappa_1 \hat{N}' \hat{\xi} \cdot \hat{H}_d + \kappa_2 \hat{N}' \hat{\xi}' \cdot \hat{H}_u + m_N \hat{N} \hat{N}' + m_\xi \hat{\xi} \cdot \hat{\xi}'$$



#### New fields:

- SM singlets N, N'
- $SU(2)_L$  doublets  $\xi$ ,  $\xi'$

• In the basis  $f^0 = (\nu, N, N', \xi^0, {\xi'}^0)$  we obtain the mass matrix

Mass Matrix

$$M_{f}^{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y_{N}v_{u} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Y_{N}v_{u} & 0 & m_{N} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_{N} & 0 & \kappa_{1}v_{d} & \kappa_{2}v_{u} \\ 0 & 0 & \kappa_{1}v_{d} & 0 & m_{\xi} \\ 0 & 0 & \kappa_{2}v_{u} & m_{\xi} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

By integrating out the heavy fields we obtain an effective mass matrix for the three SM neutrinos at low energies

$$m_
u = v_u^3 v_d Y_N^2 rac{\kappa_1 \kappa_2}{m_\xi m_N^2}$$

Masses at TeV scale for couplings  $O(10^{-3})$ 

Julius-Maximilians

# UNIVERSITÄT WÜRZBURG LHC Phenomenology

#### Production of the new particles

- **•** Rare production of  $\hat{N}$  and  $\hat{N}'$  due to small Yukawa couplings
- SU(2)<sub>L</sub> doublets can be produced in Drell-Yan processes ( $\sigma \sim 10^2 \, {\rm fb}$ )

#### **Characteristic Signals**

- Displaced vertices due to small mixing between heavy and light neutrinos
- Lepton number violating processes
  - □ LNC cross-section for  $pp \rightarrow W\ell\ell$  of  $\mathcal{O}(10^2)$  fb LNV processes suppressed due to pseudo-Dirac pairs (<  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$  fb)
  - □ For  $pp \rightarrow W\ell W\ell$  LNV processes larger than naively expected ( $O(10^{-2})$  fb)

MBK, Ota, Porod, Winter (2011); PRD 84, 115023



- Additional particles modify running of the gauge couplings
- Spoils unification
- Add complete SU(5) multiplets to avoid this
  - □ Singlets: N, N', (S)

□ 5-plets:

$$\bar{\mathbf{5}}_{M} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{1}^{c} \\ d_{2}^{c} \\ d_{3}^{c} \\ e^{-} \\ -\nu_{e} \end{pmatrix}_{L} \qquad \bar{\mathbf{5}}_{\xi'} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{1}^{\prime c} \\ d_{2}^{\prime c} \\ d_{3}^{\prime c} \\ \xi^{\prime -} \\ -\xi^{\prime 0} \end{pmatrix}_{L} \qquad \mathbf{5}_{\xi} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{1}^{\prime \prime} \\ d_{2}^{\prime \prime} \\ d_{3}^{\prime \prime} \\ d_{3}^{\prime \prime} \\ \xi^{+} \\ -\xi^{0} \end{pmatrix}_{R}$$

$$H_{5} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{1} \\ H_{2} \\ H_{3} \\ H_{4}^{\prime} \\ H_{0}^{\prime} \\ H_{0}^{\prime} \end{pmatrix} \qquad H_{5} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{1}^{\prime} \\ H_{2}^{\prime} \\ H_{3}^{\prime} \\ H_{6}^{\prime} \\ H_{6}^{\prime} \\ H_{6}^{\prime} \end{pmatrix}$$

matter 10-plet

#### Most general SU(5) invariant superpotential

**MSSM** scenario

$$W = y_1 N 5_{\xi} H_5 + y_2 N \overline{5}_{\xi'} H_5 + y_3 N \overline{5}_M H_5 + y_1' N' 5_{\xi} H_5 + y_2' N' \overline{5}_{\xi'} H_5 + y_3' N' \overline{5}_M H_5 + m_{\xi'} \overline{5}_M 5_{\xi} + m_{\xi} \overline{5}_{\xi'} 5_{\xi} + m_N N' N + m_{NN} NN + m_{N'N'} N' N' + y_d \overline{5}_M 10 H_5 + y_d' \overline{5}_{\xi'} 10 H_5 + y_u 10 10 H_5.$$

If charged under a discrete symmetry,

Multiplet
 
$$\bar{5}_M$$
 $H_5$ 
 $H_{\bar{5}}$ 
 $N$ 
 $N'$ 
 $5_{\xi}$ 
 $\bar{5}_{\xi'}$ 
 10

  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  charge
 1
 1
 1
 2
 0
 0
 1

the superpotential reduces to

$$W = y_3 N \bar{5}_M H_5 + y'_1, N' 5_{\xi} H_5 + y'_2 N' \bar{5}_{\xi'} H_5 + m_{\xi} \bar{5}_{\xi'} 5_{\xi} + m_N N' N y_d \bar{5}_M 10 H_5 + y_u 10 10 H_5 - \mu H_5 H_5.$$

10 / 16

Julius-Maximilians-UNIVERSITÄT





# Interactions of d'

- Coloured components of mediator 5-plets
- Behave like heavy d-quarks
- RGE running leads to mass shift between quarks and lepton doublet
- Decay of d' protected by symmetry that forbids d = 5 operator

#### **Cosmological constraints:**

- From Big Bang Nucleosynthesis: Heavy nuclei → altering BBN processes → affecting observed abundancies of light elements e.g. locco et. al. (2009); Phys.Rept. 472
- Search for heavy hadrons in water excludes stable heavy d-like quarks Nardi, Roulet (1990); Phys. Lett. B 245, 105
- Effective operator  $\epsilon^{ijklm}(5_{\xi})_i(H_5)_j(H_5)_k(10)_{lm}$  leads to the decay

$$\overline{d}' 
ightarrow H^+_u \overline{u}$$





# Interactions of d'

- Coloured components of mediator 5-plets
- Behave like heavy d-quarks
- RGE running leads to mass shift between quarks and lepton doublet
- Decay of d' protected by symmetry that forbids d = 5 operator

#### **Cosmological constraints:**

- From Big Bang Nucleosynthesis: Heavy nuclei → altering BBN processes → affecting observed abundancies of light elements e.g. locco et. al. (2009); Phys.Rept. 472
- Search for heavy hadrons in water excludes stable heavy d-like quarks Nardi, Roulet (1990); *Phys. Lett. B 245, 105*
- Effective operator  $\epsilon^{ijklm}(5_{\xi})_i(H_5)_j(H_5)_k(10)_{lm}$  leads to the decay

$$\overline{d}' 
ightarrow H^+_u \overline{u}$$



- The term  $\mu H_u H_d$  explicitly breaks the discrete symmetry (Otherwise every operator of the type  $LLH_uH_u(H_uH_d)^n$  has same charge as Weinberg operator)
- $\mu$ -problem of the MSSM ( $\mu$  has to be set to 100 GeV to few TeV by hand)
- Same issue with TeV mediator masses

#### **Possible Alternative:**

Use the NMSSM where  $\mu$  and the mediator masses are generated by VEV of an additional scalar field  ${\it S}.$ 

# Most general SU(5) invariant superpotential

NMSSM scenario

$$\begin{split} W &= y_1 \, N \, 5_{\xi} \, H_{\bar{5}} + y_2 \, N \, \bar{5}_{\xi'} \, H_5 + y_3 \, N \, \bar{5}_M \, H_5 + \\ & y_1', \, N' \, 5_{\xi} \, H_{\bar{5}} + y_2' \, N' \, \bar{5}_{\xi'} \, H_5 + y_3' \, N' \, \bar{5}_M \, H_5 + \\ & \lambda_{\xi'} \, S \, \bar{5}_M \, 5_{\xi} + \lambda_{\xi} \, S \, \bar{5}_{\xi'} \, 5_{\xi} + \lambda_N S \, N' \, N + \lambda_{NN} S \, NN + \lambda_{N'N'} S \, N' \, N' + \\ & y_d \, \bar{5}_M \, 10 \, H_{\bar{5}} + y_d' \, \bar{5}_{\xi'} \, 10 \, H_{\bar{5}} + y_u \, 10 \, 10 \, H_5 \, . \end{split}$$

**BUT** if all masses generated by  $\langle S \rangle$  the effective operators become

$$\frac{1}{\langle S \rangle} LLH_u H_u , \qquad \frac{1}{\langle S \rangle^3} (LLH_u H_d) (H_u H_d) , \quad \dots$$

 $\Rightarrow \langle S \rangle$  breaks discrete symmetry

Superpotential constrains charges in a way that we always will have a d = 5 contribution.

Julius-Maximilians



We introduce an additional scalar S' and obtain the superpotential

$$\begin{split} W &= y_3 \, N \, \overline{5}_M \, H_5 + y_1', \, N' \, 5_{\xi} \, H_{\overline{5}} + y_2' \, N' \, \overline{5}_{\xi'} \, H_5 + \lambda_{\xi} \, S' \, \overline{5}_{\xi'} \, 5_{\xi} + \lambda_N S' \, N' N \\ &+ y_d \, \overline{5}_M \, 10 \, H_{\overline{5}} + y_u \, 10 \, 10 \, H_5 + \lambda_S \, S H_{\overline{5}} H_5 + \kappa S^3 + \lambda_S' \, S' \, H_{\overline{5}} H_5 + \kappa' \, S'^3 \, . \end{split}$$

we can choose the charges

Multiplet
 
$$\overline{5}_M$$
 $H_5$ 
 $H_{\overline{5}}$ 
 $N$ 
 $N'$ 
 $5_{\xi}$ 
 $\overline{5}_{\xi'}$ 
 $10$ 
 $S$ 
 $S'$ 
 $\mathbb{Z}_3$  charge
 1
 1
 1
 2
 0
 0
 1
 1
 0

• The term  $\lambda'_{S}$  breaks the symmetry softly.

UNIVERSITÄT Soft breaking of the discrete symmetry

- Couplings breaking the symmetry must be small! (Supression of the Weinberg operator)
- Soft breaking term  $y'_3 N' \, \overline{5}_M \, H_5 
  ightarrow d = 5$  contribution to  $m_
  u$

$$m_{\nu}^{d=5}=rac{y_3y_3'v_u^2}{\langle S'
angle}\,.$$

We require

ulius-Maximilians-

$$m_{\nu}^{d=5} < m_{\nu}^{d=7} = \frac{y_1 y_2 y_3^2 v_u^3 v_d}{\langle S' \rangle^3}$$
  
$$\Rightarrow y_3' < \frac{y_1 y_2 y_3 v_u v_d}{\langle S' \rangle^2}$$

• d' decay via the soft breaking operator  $\overline{5}_{\xi}H_{\overline{5}}10$ 



- Possible to use effective operators with d > 5 to generate neutrino masses
- New physics at TeV scale, phenomenological implications at LHC
- Full SU(5) multiplets necessary to not spoil unification
- Additional d-quarks → consider cosmological constraints, decay via effective operator or by soft symmetry breaking
- NMSSM realization with softly broken symmetry



# **Backup-Slides**

#### Julius-Maximilians-UNIVERSITÄT WÜRZBURG

# Decompositions

#	Operator	Mediators	SU(5) multiplets
1	$(H_u i \tau^2 \overline{L^c})(H_u i \tau^2 L)(H_d i \tau^2 H_u)$	$1_{0}^{R}$ , $1_{0}^{L}$ , $1_{0}^{s}$	1, 1, 1
2	$(H_u i \tau^2 \vec{\tau} L^c) (H_u i \tau^2 L) (H_d i \tau^2 \vec{\tau} H_u)$	$3_{0}^{R}$ , $3_{0}^{L}$ , $1_{0}^{R}$ , $1_{0}^{L}$ , $3_{0}^{s}$	24, 24, (1), (1), 24
3	$(H_u i \tau^2 \vec{\tau} \overline{L^c}) (H_u i \tau^2 \vec{\tau} L) (H_d i \tau^2 H_u)$	$3_{0}^{R}$ , $3_{0}^{L}$ , $1_{0}^{s}$	24, 24, 1
4	$(-\mathrm{i}\epsilon^{abc})(H_{u}\mathrm{i}\tau^{2}\tau^{a}\overline{L^{c}})(H_{u}\mathrm{i}\tau^{2}\tau^{b}L)(H_{d}\mathrm{i}\tau^{2}\tau^{c}H_{u})$	<b>3</b> <sup><i>R</i></sup> <sub>0</sub> , <b>3</b> <sup><i>L</i></sup> <sub>0</sub> , <b>3</b> <sup><i>s</i></sup> <sub>0</sub>	24, 24, 24
5	$(\overline{L^c}\mathrm{i}\tau^2\vec{\tau}L)(H_d\mathrm{i}\tau^2H_u)(H_u\mathrm{i}\tau^2\vec{\tau}H_u)$	$3_{\pm1}^{s}, 3_{\pm1}^{s}, 1_{0}^{s}$	15, 15, 1
6	$(-i\epsilon_{abc})(\overline{L^{c}}i\tau^{2}\tau_{a}L)(H_{d}i\tau^{2}\tau_{b}H_{u})(H_{u}i\tau^{2}\tau_{c}H_{u})$	$3_{\pm1}^{s}$ , $3_{\pm1}^{s}$ , $3_{0}^{s}$	15, 15, 24
7	$(H_u i \tau^2 \overline{L^c}) (L i \tau^2 \vec{\tau} H_d) (H_u i \tau^2 \vec{\tau} H_u)$	$1_{0}^{R}$ , $1_{0}^{L}$ , $3_{-1}^{R}$ , $3_{-1}^{L}$ , $3_{+1}^{s}$	$1, 1, 15, \overline{15}, 15$
8	$(-i\epsilon^{abc})(H_ui\tau^2\tau^a\overline{L^c})(Li\tau^2\tau^bH_d)(H_ui\tau^2\tau^cH_u)$	$3_{0}^{R}$ , $3_{0}^{L}$ , $3_{-1}^{R}$ , $3_{-1}^{L}$ , $3_{+1}^{s}$	$24, 24, 15, \mathbf{\overline{15}}, 15$
9	$(H_u \mathrm{i} \tau^2 \overline{L^c})(\mathrm{i} \tau^2 H_u)(L)(H_d \mathrm{i} \tau^2 H_u)$	$1_{0}^{R}, 1_{0}^{L}, 2_{-1/2}^{R}, 2_{-1/2}^{L}, 1_{0}^{s}$	$1, 1, 5, \overline{5}, 1$
10	$(H_u \mathrm{i} \tau^2 \vec{\tau} \overline{L^c}) (\mathrm{i} \tau^2 \vec{\tau} H_u) (L) (H_d \mathrm{i} \tau^2 H_u)$	$3_{0}^{R}$ , $3_{0}^{L}$ , $2_{-1/2}^{R}$ , $2_{-1/2}^{L}$ , $1_{0}^{s}$	$24, 24, 5, \mathbf{\overline{5}}, 1$
11	$(H_u i \tau^2 \overline{L^c})(i \tau^2 H_u)(\vec{\tau} L)(H_d i \tau^2 \vec{\tau} H_u)$	$1_0^R$ , $1_0^L$ , $2_{-1/2}^R$ , $2_{-1/2}^L$ , $3_0^s$	$1, 1, 5, \overline{5}, 24$
12	$(H_u \mathrm{i} \tau^2 \tau^a \overline{L^c}) (\mathrm{i} \tau^2 \tau^a H_u) (\tau^b L) (H_d \mathrm{i} \tau^2 \tau^b H_u)$	$3_{0}^{R}$ , $3_{0}^{L}$ , $2_{-1/2}^{R}$ , $2_{-1/2}^{L}$ , $3_{0}^{s}$	$24, 24, 5, \overline{5}, 24$
13	$(H_u \mathrm{i} \tau^2 \overline{L^c})(L)(\mathrm{i} \tau^2 H_u)(H_d \mathrm{i} \tau^2 H_u)$	$1_{0}^{R}$ , $1_{0}^{L}$ , $2_{+1/2}^{s}$ , $1_{0}^{s}$	1, 1, 5, 1
14	$(H_u i \tau^2 \vec{\tau} \overline{L^c})(\vec{\tau} L)(i \tau^2 H_u)(H_d i \tau^2 H_u)$	$3_{0}^{R}$ , $3_{0}^{L}$ , $2_{+1/2}^{s}$ , $1_{0}^{s}$	24, 24, 5, 1
15	$(H_u \mathrm{i} \tau^2 \overline{L^c})(L)(\mathrm{i} \tau^2 \vec{\tau} H_u)(H_d \mathrm{i} \tau^2 \vec{\tau} H_u)$	$1_{0}^{R}$ , $1_{0}^{L}$ , $2_{+1/2}^{s}$ , $3_{0}^{s}$	1, 1, 5, 24
16	$(H_u \mathrm{i} \tau^2 \tau^a \overline{L^c})(\tau^a L)(\mathrm{i} \tau^2 \tau^b H_u)(H_d \mathrm{i} \tau^2 \tau^b H_u)$	$3_{0}^{R}$ , $3_{0}^{L}$ , $2_{+1/2}^{s}$ , $3_{0}^{s}$	24, 24, 5, 24
17	$(H_u \mathrm{i} \tau^2 \overline{L^c})(H_d)(\mathrm{i} \tau^2 H_u)(H_u \mathrm{i} \tau^2 L)$	$1_{0}^{R}$ , $1_{0}^{L}$ , $2_{-1/2}^{R}$ , $2_{-1/2}^{L}$	$1, 1, 5, \overline{5}$
18	$(H_u \mathrm{i} \tau^2 \vec{\tau} \overline{L^c})(\vec{\tau} H_d)(\mathrm{i} \tau^2 H_u)(H_u \mathrm{i} \tau^2 L)$	$3_{0}^{R}$ , $3_{0}^{L}$ , $2_{-1/2}^{R}$ , $2_{-1/2}^{L}$ , $1_{0}^{R}$ , $1_{0}^{L}$	$24, 24, 5, \overline{5}, (1), (1)$
19	$(H_u \mathrm{i} \tau^2 \overline{L^c})(H_d)(\mathrm{i} \tau^2 \vec{\tau} H_u)(H_u \mathrm{i} \tau^2 \vec{\tau} L)$	$1_{0}^{R}$ , $1_{0}^{L}$ , $2_{-1/2}^{R}$ , $2_{-1/2}^{L}$ , $3_{0}^{R}$ , $3_{0}^{L}$	$(1), (1), 5, \overline{5}, 24, 24$
20	$(H_u \mathrm{i} \tau^2 \tau^a \overline{L^c})(\tau^a H_d)(\mathrm{i} \tau^2 \tau^b H_u)(H_u \mathrm{i} \tau^2 \tau^b L)$	$3_{0}^{R}$ , $3_{0}^{L}$ , $2_{-1/2}^{R}$ , $2_{-1/2}^{L}$ ,	$24,24,5,\overline{5}$
21	$(\overline{L^{c}}\mathrm{i}\tau^{2}\tau^{a}L)(H_{u}\mathrm{i}\tau^{2}\tau^{a})(\tau^{b}H_{d})(H_{u}\mathrm{i}\tau^{2}\tau^{b}H_{u})$	$\overline{3_{+1}^{s}$ , $2_{+1/2}^{s}$ , $3_{+1}^{s}$	15, 5, 15
22	$(\overline{L^c}i\tau^2\tau^a L)(H_di\tau^2\tau^a)(\tau^b H_u)(H_ui\tau^2\tau^b H_u)$	$3_{\pm 1}^{s}, 2_{\pm 3/2}^{s}, 3_{\pm 1}^{s}$	15, 40, 15
23	$(\overline{L^{c}}; -2 \neq 1)(\Box; -2 \neq 1)(\Box)(\Box; -2 = 1)$	25 25 15	15 5 1