



Thermal Field Theory to All Orders in Gradient Expansion

Peter Millington p.w.millington@sheffield.ac.uk

Astro-Particle Theory and Cosmology Group, University of Sheffield, UK, Consortium for Fundamental Physics

> arXiv: 1211.3152 PM & Apostolos Pilaftsis (University of Manchester)

> > Thursday, 6th December, 2012

Discrete 2012

CFTP, IST, Universidade Tecnica de Lisboa

Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Formalism
- 3. Master Time Evolution Equations
- 4. Simple Example
- 5. Conclusions

4 T

EL SQA

Motivation

- the density frontier: ultra-relativistic many-body dynamics
- early Universe:
 - baryon asymmetry of the Universe
 - electroweak phase transition
 - reheating/preheating
 - relic densities
- 'terrestrial:'
 - quark gluon plasma/glasma/color glass condensates

ELE SOO

Current Approaches

- (semi-classical) Boltzmann transport equations
 - effective resummation of finite-width effects

E 5 4 E

ELE NOR

Current Approaches

- (semi-classical) Boltzmann transport equations
 - effective resummation of finite-width effects
- Kadanoff–Baym \Rightarrow quantum Boltzmann equations
 - incorporation of off-shell effects
 - truncated gradient expansion in time derivative
 - separation of time scales and quasi-particle approximation
 - varying definitions of physical observables, e.g. particle number density

▲ Ξ ▶ ▲ Ξ ▶ Ξ ΙΞ

Current Approaches

- (semi-classical) Boltzmann transport equations
 - effective resummation of finite-width effects
- Kadanoff–Baym \Rightarrow quantum Boltzmann equations
 - incorporation of off-shell effects
 - truncated gradient expansion in time derivative
 - separation of time scales and quasi-particle approximation
 - varying definitions of physical observables, e.g. particle number density
- underlying perturbation series contain pinch singularities: $\delta^2(p^2-m^2)$

Boundary Conditions

- No assumption of adiabatic hypothesis.
- QM pictures have a finite microscopic time of coincidence \tilde{t}_i :

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{x}; \tilde{t}_i) = \Phi_{\mathrm{I}}(\tilde{t}_i, \mathbf{x}; \tilde{t}_i) = \Phi_{\mathrm{H}}(\tilde{t}_i, \mathbf{x}; \tilde{t}_i)$$

EL SQA

Boundary Conditions

- No assumption of adiabatic hypothesis.
- QM pictures have a finite microscopic time of coincidence \tilde{t}_i :

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{x};\tilde{t}_i) = \Phi_{\mathrm{I}}(\tilde{t}_i,\mathbf{x};\tilde{t}_i) = \Phi_{\mathrm{H}}(\tilde{t}_i,\mathbf{x};\tilde{t}_i)$$

- \Rightarrow interactions switched on at \tilde{t}_i
- \Rightarrow initial density matrix $\rho(\tilde{t}_i; \tilde{t}_i)$ specified fully in on-shell Fock states
- \Rightarrow finite lower bound on time integrals in path-integral action

Canonical Commutation Relations

• Interaction-picture creation and annihilation operators satisfy:

$$\left[a(\mathbf{p},\tilde{t};\tilde{t}_i),a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}',\tilde{t}';\tilde{t}_i)\right] = (2\pi)^3 2E(\mathbf{p})\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}')e^{-iE(\mathbf{p})(\tilde{t}-\tilde{t}')}$$

I= nac

Canonical Commutation Relations

• Interaction-picture creation and annihilation operators satisfy:

$$\left[a(\mathbf{p},\tilde{t};\tilde{t}_{i}),a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}',\tilde{t}';\tilde{t}_{i})\right] = (2\pi)^{3} 2E(\mathbf{p})\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}')e^{-iE(\mathbf{p})(\tilde{t}-\tilde{t}')}$$

• Ensemble Expectation Value (EEV) at macroscopic time $t = \tilde{t}_f - \tilde{t}_i$:

$$\langle \bullet \rangle_t = \frac{\operatorname{tr} \rho(\tilde{t}_f; \tilde{t}_i) \bullet}{\operatorname{tr} \rho(\tilde{t}_f; \tilde{t}_i)}$$

Canonical Commutation Relations

• Interaction-picture creation and annihilation operators satisfy:

$$\left[a(\mathbf{p}, \tilde{t}; \tilde{t}_i), a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}', \tilde{t}'; \tilde{t}_i)\right] = (2\pi)^3 2 E(\mathbf{p}) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') e^{-iE(\mathbf{p})(\tilde{t} - \tilde{t}')}$$

• Ensemble Expectation Value (EEV) at macroscopic time $t = \tilde{t}_f - \tilde{t}_i$:

$$\langle \bullet \rangle_t = \frac{\operatorname{tr} \rho(\tilde{t}_f; \tilde{t}_i) \bullet}{\operatorname{tr} \rho(\tilde{t}_f; \tilde{t}_i)}$$

Most general EEVs permitted:

 $\begin{aligned} \langle a(\mathbf{p}, \tilde{t}_{f}; \tilde{t}_{i}) a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}', \tilde{t}_{f}; \tilde{t}_{i}) \rangle_{t} &= (2\pi)^{3} 2 E(\mathbf{p}) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') \\ &+ 2 E^{1/2}(\mathbf{p}) E^{1/2}(\mathbf{p}') f(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t) \\ \langle a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}', \tilde{t}_{f}; \tilde{t}_{i}) a(\mathbf{p}, \tilde{t}_{f}; \tilde{t}_{i}) \rangle_{t} &= 2 E^{1/2}(\mathbf{p}) E^{1/2}(\mathbf{p}') f(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t) \end{aligned}$

Schwinger-Keldysh CTP Formalism

$$\mathcal{Z}[\rho, J_{\pm}, t] = \operatorname{tr}\left[\bar{\operatorname{T}}e^{-i\int_{\Omega_{t}} \mathrm{d}^{4}x J_{-}(x)\Phi_{\mathrm{H}}(x)}\right]\rho_{\mathrm{H}}(\tilde{t}_{f}; \tilde{t}_{i})\left[\operatorname{T}e^{i\int_{\Omega_{t}} \mathrm{d}^{4}x J_{+}(x)\Phi_{\mathrm{H}}(x)}\right]$$
$$x_{0} \in \left[\tilde{t}_{i} = -\frac{t}{2}, \tilde{t}_{f} = +\frac{t}{2}\right]$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Schwinger-Keldysh CTP Formalism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}[\rho, J_{\pm}, t] &= \operatorname{tr}\left[\bar{\operatorname{T}} e^{-i\int_{\Omega_t} \mathrm{d}^4 x \, J_-(x)\Phi_{\mathrm{H}}(x)}\right] \rho_{\mathrm{H}}(\tilde{t}_f; \tilde{t}_i) \left[\operatorname{T} e^{i\int_{\Omega_t} \mathrm{d}^4 x \, J_+(x)\Phi_{\mathrm{H}}(x)}\right] \\ x_0 &\in \left[\tilde{t}_i = -\frac{t}{2}, \tilde{t}_f = +\frac{t}{2}\right] \end{aligned}$$



\Rightarrow finite upper and lower bounds on time integrals in path-integral action.

Peter Millington (University of Sheffield)

TFT to All Orders in Gradient

Discrete 2012, IST, Lisboa

7 / 15

Non-Homogeneous Free Propagators

Propagator	Double-Momentum Representation
Feynman (Dyson)	$i\Delta_{\mathrm{F(D)}}^{0}(p,p',\tilde{t}_{f};\tilde{t}_{i}) = \frac{(-)i}{p^{2} - M^{2} + (-)i\epsilon} (2\pi)^{4} \delta^{(4)}(p-p') + 2\pi 2p_{0} ^{1/2} \delta(p^{2} - M^{2}) \tilde{f}(p,p',t) e^{i(p_{0} - p'_{0})\tilde{t}_{f}} 2\pi 2p'_{0} ^{1/2} \delta(p'^{2} - M^{2})$
+(–)ve- freq. Wightman	$i\Delta^{0}_{>(<)}(p,p',\tilde{t}_{f};\tilde{t}_{i}) = 2\pi\theta(+(-)p_{0})\delta(p^{2}-M^{2})(2\pi)^{4}\delta^{(4)}(p-p')$ +2\pi 2p_{0} ^{1/2}\delta(p^{2}-M^{2})\tilde{f}(p,p',t)e^{i(p_{0}-p'_{0})\tilde{t}_{f}}2\pi 2p'_{0} ^{1/2}\delta(p'^{2}-M^{2})
Retarded (Advanced)	$i\Delta^{0}_{\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A})}(p,p') = \frac{i}{(p_0 + (-)i\epsilon)^2 - \mathbf{p}^2 - M^2} (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p-p')$
Pauli- Jordan	$i\Delta^{0}(p,p') = 2\pi\varepsilon(p_0)\delta(p^2 - M^2)(2\pi)^4\delta^{(4)}(p-p')$
Hadamard	$i\Delta_1^0(p, p', \tilde{t}_f; \tilde{t}_i) = 2\pi\delta(p^2 - M^2)(2\pi)^4\delta^{(4)}(p - p') + 2\pi 2p_0 ^{1/2}\delta(p^2 - M^2)2\tilde{f}(p, p', t)e^{i(p_0 - p'_0)\tilde{t}_f}2\pi 2p'_0 ^{1/2}\delta(p'^2 - M^2)$
Principal- part	$i\Delta^{0}_{\mathcal{P}}(p,p') = \mathcal{P}\frac{i}{p^{2} - M^{2}}(2\pi)^{4}\delta^{(4)}(p-p')$

Diagrammatics

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \Phi \partial^{\mu} \Phi - \frac{1}{2} M^2 \Phi^2 + \partial_{\mu} \chi^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} \chi - m^2 \chi^{\dagger} \chi - g \Phi \chi^{\dagger} \chi$$



E 990

Diagrammatics

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \Phi \partial^{\mu} \Phi - \frac{1}{2} M^2 \Phi^2 + \partial_{\mu} \chi^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} \chi - m^2 \chi^{\dagger} \chi - g \Phi \chi^{\dagger} \chi$$



1. time-dependent, energy-non-conserving vertices:

$$\sim -ig \frac{t}{2\pi} \operatorname{sinc}\left[\left(\sum_{i} p_{i}^{0}\right) \frac{t}{2}\right] \delta^{(3)}\left(\sum_{i} \mathbf{p}_{i}\right)$$

1.5

< ⊒ >

Diagrammatics

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \Phi \partial^{\mu} \Phi - \frac{1}{2} M^2 \Phi^2 + \partial_{\mu} \chi^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} \chi - m^2 \chi^{\dagger} \chi - g \Phi \chi^{\dagger} \chi$$



1. time-dependent, energy-non-conserving vertices:

$$\sim -ig \frac{t}{2\pi} \operatorname{sinc}\left[\left(\sum_{i} p_{i}^{0}\right) \frac{t}{2}\right] \delta^{(3)}\left(\sum_{i} \mathbf{p}_{i}\right)$$

2. momentum-non-conserving, non-homogeneous free propagators

313 DQC

• Construct from EEVs of field operators:

$$\langle \Phi(x;\tilde{t}_i)\Phi(y;\tilde{t}_i)\rangle_t = \frac{\operatorname{tr}\rho(\tilde{t}_f;\tilde{t}_i)\Phi(x;\tilde{t}_i)\Phi(y;\tilde{t}_i)}{\operatorname{tr}\rho(\tilde{t}_f;\tilde{t}_i)}$$

A B < A B </p>

EL SQA

• Construct from EEVs of field operators:

$$\langle \Phi(x;\tilde{t}_i)\Phi(y;\tilde{t}_i)\rangle_t = \frac{\operatorname{tr}\rho(\tilde{t}_f;\tilde{t}_i)\Phi(x;\tilde{t}_i)\Phi(y;\tilde{t}_i)}{\operatorname{tr}\rho(\tilde{t}_f;\tilde{t}_i)}$$

• Physically meaningful observables must be equal-time and picture-independent.

• Construct from EEVs of field operators:

$$\left\langle \Phi(x;\tilde{t}_i)\Phi(y;\tilde{t}_i)\right\rangle_t \;=\; \frac{\operatorname{tr}\rho(\tilde{t}_f;\tilde{t}_i)\Phi(x;\tilde{t}_i)\Phi(y;\tilde{t}_i)}{\operatorname{tr}\rho(\tilde{t}_f;\tilde{t}_i)}$$

- Physically meaningful observables must be equal-time and picture-independent.
- Particle number density: count charges not quanta of energy ⇒ no need for quasi-particle approximation.

• Construct from EEVs of field operators:

$$\langle \Phi(x;\tilde{t}_i)\Phi(y;\tilde{t}_i)\rangle_t = \frac{\operatorname{tr}\rho(\tilde{t}_f;\tilde{t}_i)\Phi(x;\tilde{t}_i)\Phi(y;\tilde{t}_i)}{\operatorname{tr}\rho(\tilde{t}_f;\tilde{t}_i)}$$

- Physically meaningful observables must be equal-time and picture-independent.
- Particle number density: count charges not quanta of energy ⇒ no need for quasi-particle approximation.
- By writing the Noether charge in terms of a charge density, we may define the particle number density:

$$n(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{X}, t) = \lim_{X_0 \to t} 2 \int \frac{\mathrm{d}p_0}{2\pi} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 P}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-iP \cdot X} \theta(p_0) p_0 i \Delta_{<}(p + \frac{P}{2}, p - \frac{P}{2}, t; 0)$$

Master Time Evolution Equations

Partially inverting the CTP Schwinger–Dyson equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f(\mathbf{p} + \frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}, \mathbf{p} - \frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}, t) &- \iint \frac{\mathrm{d}p_0}{2\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}P_0}{2\pi} \, e^{-iP_0 t} \, 2 \, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{P} \, \theta(p_0) \Delta_{<}(p + \frac{P}{2}, p - \frac{P}{2}, t; 0) \\ &+ \iint \frac{\mathrm{d}p_0}{2\pi} \, \frac{\mathrm{d}P_0}{2\pi} \, e^{-iP_0 t} \, \theta(p_0) \Big(\, \mathscr{F}(p + \frac{P}{2}, p - \frac{P}{2}, t; 0) \, + \, \mathscr{F}^*(p - \frac{P}{2}, p + \frac{P}{2}, t; 0) \Big) \\ &= \iint \frac{\mathrm{d}p_0}{2\pi} \, \frac{\mathrm{d}P_0}{2\pi} \, e^{-iP_0 t} \, \theta(p_0) \Big(\, \mathscr{C}(p + \frac{P}{2}, p - \frac{P}{2}, t; 0) \, + \, \mathscr{C}^*(p - \frac{P}{2}, p + \frac{P}{2}, t; 0) \Big) \end{aligned}$$

EL SQA

- E

Master Time Evolution Equations

Partially inverting the CTP Schwinger–Dyson equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f(\mathbf{p} + \frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}, \mathbf{p} - \frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}, t) &- \iint \frac{\mathrm{d}p_0}{2\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}P_0}{2\pi} e^{-iP_0 t} \, 2 \, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{P} \, \theta(p_0) \Delta_{<}(p + \frac{P}{2}, p - \frac{P}{2}, t; 0) \\ &+ \iint \frac{\mathrm{d}p_0}{2\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}P_0}{2\pi} e^{-iP_0 t} \, \theta(p_0) \Big(\mathscr{F}(p + \frac{P}{2}, p - \frac{P}{2}, t; 0) + \mathscr{F}^*(p - \frac{P}{2}, p + \frac{P}{2}, t; 0) \Big) \\ &= \iint \frac{\mathrm{d}p_0}{2\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}P_0}{2\pi} e^{-iP_0 t} \, \theta(p_0) \Big(\mathscr{C}(p + \frac{P}{2}, p - \frac{P}{2}, t; 0) + \mathscr{C}^*(p - \frac{P}{2}, p + \frac{P}{2}, t; 0) \Big) \end{aligned}$$

Force and collision terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{F}(p+\frac{P}{2},p-\frac{P}{2},t;0) &= -\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4}q}{(2\pi)^{4}} \ i\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(p+\frac{P}{2},q,t;0) \ i\Delta_{<}(q,p-\frac{P}{2},t;0), \\ \mathscr{C}(p+\frac{P}{2},p-\frac{P}{2},t;0) &= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4}q}{(2\pi)^{4}} \left[i\Pi_{>}(p+\frac{P}{2},q,t;0) \ i\Delta_{<}(q,p-\frac{P}{2},t;0) \\ &- i\Pi_{<}(p+\frac{P}{2},q,t;0) \left(i\Delta_{>}(q,p-\frac{P}{2},t;0) - 2i\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}(q,p-\frac{P}{2},t;0) \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

No nested Poisson brackets as in gradient expansion of Kadanoff-Baym equations.

Time-Dependent Width

•
$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \Phi \partial^{\mu} \Phi - \frac{1}{2} M^2 \Phi^2 + \partial_{\mu} \chi^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} \chi - m^2 \chi^{\dagger} \chi - g \Phi \chi^{\dagger} \chi$$

- t < 0: Φ 's and χ 's in non-interacting equilibria at same temperature
- t = 0: interactions switched on

A B < A B </p>

ELE NOR

Time-Dependent Width

•
$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \Phi \partial^{\mu} \Phi - \frac{1}{2} M^2 \Phi^2 + \partial_{\mu} \chi^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} \chi - m^2 \chi^{\dagger} \chi - g \Phi \chi^{\dagger} \chi$$

- t < 0: Φ 's and χ 's in non-interacting equilibria at same temperature
- t = 0: interactions switched on



= 200

Time-Dependent Width

•
$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \Phi \partial^{\mu} \Phi - \frac{1}{2} M^2 \Phi^2 + \partial_{\mu} \chi^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} \chi - m^2 \chi^{\dagger} \chi - g \Phi \chi^{\dagger} \chi$$

- t < 0: Φ 's and χ 's in non-interacting equilibria at same temperature
- t = 0: interactions switched on



Peter Millington (University of Sheffield)

Evanescent Processes



ELE DOG

Time Evolution Equations

Truncating the master time evolution equations in a loopwise sense:

$$\partial_{t}f_{\Phi}(|\mathbf{p}|,t) = -\frac{g^{2}}{2} \sum_{\{\alpha\}=\pm 1} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{1}{2E_{\Phi}(\mathbf{p})} \frac{1}{2E_{\chi}(\mathbf{k})} \frac{1}{2E_{\chi}(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k})} \\ \times \frac{t}{2\pi} \operatorname{sinc} \left[\left(\alpha E_{\Phi}(\mathbf{p}) - \alpha_{1}E_{\chi}(\mathbf{k}) - \alpha_{2}E_{\chi}(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}) \right) \frac{t}{2} \right] \\ \times \left\{ \pi + 2\operatorname{Si} \left[\left(\alpha E_{\Phi}(\mathbf{p}) + \alpha_{1}E_{\chi}(\mathbf{k}) + \alpha_{2}E_{\chi}(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}) \right) \frac{t}{2} \right] \right\} \\ \times \left\{ \left[\theta(-\alpha) + f_{\Phi}(|\mathbf{p}|,t) \right] \left[\theta(\alpha_{1}) \left(1 + f_{\chi}(|\mathbf{k}|,t) \right) + \theta(-\alpha_{1}) f_{\chi}^{C}(|\mathbf{k}|,t) \right] \\ \times \left[\theta(\alpha_{2}) \left(1 + f_{\chi}^{C}(|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}|,t) \right) + \theta(-\alpha_{2}) f_{\chi}(|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}|,t) \right] \\ - \left[\theta(\alpha) + f_{\Phi}(|\mathbf{p}|,t) \right] \left[\theta(\alpha_{1}) f_{\chi}(|\mathbf{k}|,t) + \theta(-\alpha_{1}) \left(1 + f_{\chi}^{C}(|\mathbf{k}|,t) \right) \right] \\ \times \left[\theta(\alpha_{2}) f_{\chi}^{C}(|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}|,t) + \theta(-\alpha_{2}) \left(1 + f_{\chi}(|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}|,t) \right) \right] \right\}$$

Still valid to all orders in gradient expansion.

▲ Ξ ▶ ▲ Ξ ▶ Ξ ΙΞ

• Obtain master time evolution equations valid to all orders in gradient expansion and to all orders in perturbation theory.

▲ Ξ ▶ ▲ Ξ ▶ Ξ ΙΞ

- Obtain master time evolution equations valid to all orders in gradient expansion and to all orders in perturbation theory.
- Loopwise truncation of time evolution equations resum all loop insertions and remain valid to all orders in gradient expansion.

- Obtain master time evolution equations valid to all orders in gradient expansion and to all orders in perturbation theory.
- Loopwise truncation of time evolution equations resum all loop insertions and remain valid to all orders in gradient expansion.
- Underlying non-equilibrium field theory free of pinch singularities.

⇒ ↓ = ↓ = |= √QQ

- Obtain master time evolution equations valid to all orders in gradient expansion and to all orders in perturbation theory.
- Loopwise truncation of time evolution equations resum all loop insertions and remain valid to all orders in gradient expansion.
- Underlying non-equilibrium field theory free of pinch singularities.
- Non-homogeneous free propagators and time-dependent vertices break space-time translational invariance from tree-level.

1 3 1 4 3 1 3 1 3 1 4 4 4 4 A

- Obtain master time evolution equations valid to all orders in gradient expansion and to all orders in perturbation theory.
- Loopwise truncation of time evolution equations resum all loop insertions and remain valid to all orders in gradient expansion.
- Underlying non-equilibrium field theory free of pinch singularities.
- Non-homogeneous free propagators and time-dependent vertices break space-time translational invariance from tree-level.
- Early-time dynamics consistently describe energy-violating processes, leading to non-Markovian evolution of memory effects.

Particle Number Density

• Charge operator:

$$\mathcal{Q}(x_0; \tilde{t}_i) = i \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \left[\Phi^{\dagger}(x; \tilde{t}_i) \pi^{\dagger}(x; \tilde{t}_i) - \pi(x; \tilde{t}_i) \Phi(x; \tilde{t}_i) \right]$$

$$\stackrel{?}{=} \int d^3 \mathbf{X} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{X}, X_0; \tilde{t}_i)$$

• Insert unity and symmetrise in x and y:

$$1 = \int \mathrm{d}^4 y \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})} \delta(x_0 - y_0)$$

• Charge-density operator:

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{X}, X_0; \tilde{t}_i) = \frac{i}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^4 R \, e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{R}} \, \delta(R_0) \\ \times \left[\Phi^{\dagger}(X - \frac{R}{2}; \tilde{t}_i) \pi^{\dagger}(X + \frac{R}{2}; \tilde{t}_i) - \pi(X - \frac{R}{2}; \tilde{t}_i) \Phi(X + \frac{R}{2}; \tilde{t}_i) + (R \to -R) \right]$$

-

EL SQA

Particle Number Density

• Take EEV in equal-time limit:

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{X}, \tilde{t}_f; \tilde{t}_i) \rangle_t &= \lim_{X_0 \to \tilde{t}_f} i \int \mathrm{d}^4 R \; e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{R}} \\ &\times \; \delta(R_0) \; \partial_{R_0} \Big[i \Delta_{<}(R, X, \tilde{t}_f; \tilde{t}_i) \; - \; i \Delta_{<}(-R, X, \tilde{t}_f; \tilde{t}_i) \Big] \end{split}$$

• Separate particles (+ve freq.) and anti-particles (-ve freq.):

$$\delta(R_0) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \left[\frac{1}{R_0 + i\epsilon} - \frac{1}{R_0 - i\epsilon} \right]$$

• +ve freq. part of $i\Delta_{<}(R, X, \tilde{t}_{f}; \tilde{t}_{i})$ and -ve freq. part of $i\Delta_{<}(-R, X, \tilde{t}_{f}; \tilde{t}_{i})$ \Rightarrow particle number density

► ▲ = ► = = • • • •

Particle Number Density

• Fourier transform w.r.t. R and shift $\tilde{t}_f \rightarrow \tilde{t}_f - \tilde{t}_i = t$: \Rightarrow particle number density:

$$n(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{X}, t) = \lim_{X_0 \to t} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}p_0}{2\pi} p_0$$

$$\times \left[\theta(p_0) i \Delta_{<}(p, X, t; 0) - \theta(-p_0) i \Delta_{<}(-p, X, t; 0) \right]$$

- Also counts off-shell contributions.
- Inserting equilibrium propagators:

$$n(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{X}, t) = f_{\mathrm{B}}(E(\mathbf{p})) = \frac{1}{e^{\beta E(\mathbf{p})} - 1}$$

ELE NOR

Pinch Singularities: $\delta^2(p^2 - M^2)$

• early times:
$$\delta^2(p^2 - M^2) \rightarrow \delta(p^2 - M^2)\delta_t(p_0 - p_0')\delta(p'^2 - M^2)$$

- intermediate times:
 - pinch singularities grow: $t\delta(p^2 M^2)$
 - equilibration occurs: $f(t) f_{eq} = \delta f(t) = \delta f(0) e^{-\Gamma t}$
- late times: $f \rightarrow f_{eq}$ and pinch singularities cancel

$\Leftarrow \mathsf{finite} \mathsf{ time} \mathsf{ domain}$

 $\Leftarrow f$'s in free propagators evaluated at time of observation

Phase-Space Evolution



Peter Millington (University of Sheffield)

< 行

() < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < ()

JIN NOR

Non-Markovian Oscillations



Peter Millington (University of Sheffield)

Discrete 2012, IST, Lisboa

(4) (5) (4) (5)

< 47 ▶

Kadanoff–Baym Equations

Kinetic equation:

$$\begin{split} q \cdot \partial_X \Delta_{\gtrless}(q, X) &- \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 Q}{(2\pi)^4} \, (2\pi)^4 \delta_t^{(4)}(Q) \, \sin\left(\,Q \cdot X \,+\, \diamondsuit_{q,X}^- \,+\, 2\diamondsuit_{Q,X}^+\,\right) \\ &\left(\,\{\Pi_{\gtrless}(q + \frac{Q}{2}, X)\}\{\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}(q - \frac{Q}{2}, X)\} \,+\,\{\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(q + \frac{Q}{2}, X)\}\{\Delta_{\gtrless}(q - \frac{Q}{2}, X)\}\,\right) \\ &= \,\frac{i}{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 Q}{(2\pi)^4} \, (2\pi)^4 \delta_t^{(4)}(Q) \, \cos\left(\,Q \cdot X \,+\, \diamondsuit_{q,X}^- \,+\, 2\diamondsuit_{Q,X}^+\,\right) \\ &\left(\,\{\Pi_{\gt}(q + \frac{Q}{2}, X)\}\{\Delta_{\lt}(q - \frac{Q}{2}, X)\} \,-\,\{\Pi_{\lt}(q + \frac{Q}{2}, X)\}\{\Delta_{\gt}(q - \frac{Q}{2}, X)\}\,\right) \end{split}$$

Diamond operators:

$$\diamondsuit_{p,X}^{\pm}\{A\}\{B\} = \frac{1}{2}\{A, B\}_{p,X}^{\pm} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial p^{\mu}} \frac{\partial B}{\partial X_{\mu}} \pm \frac{\partial A}{\partial X^{\mu}} \frac{\partial B}{\partial p_{\mu}} \right)$$

Peter Millington (University of Sheffield)

Not Just a Complicated Zero



EL SQA

Inclusion of Thermal Masses

• Local self-energy $-\lambda [\chi^{\dagger} \chi]^2$:

$$\Pi_{\chi}^{\text{loc}(1)}(p,p',\tilde{t}_{f};\tilde{t}_{i}) = -(2\pi)^{4} \delta_{t}^{(4)}(p-p') e^{i(p_{0}-p'_{0})\tilde{t}_{f}} m_{\text{th}}^{2}(\tilde{t}_{f};\tilde{t}_{i})$$

Thermal mass:

$$\begin{split} m_{\rm th}^2(\tilde{t}_f;\tilde{t}_i) \ &= \ \frac{\lambda}{2} \int \frac{{\rm d}^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{\chi}(\mathbf{k})}} \int \frac{{\rm d}^3 \mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{\chi}(\mathbf{k}')}} \\ & \left[f_{\chi}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',t) e^{i[E(\mathbf{k})-E(\mathbf{k}')]\tilde{t}_f} + f_{\chi}^{C*}(-\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{k}',t) e^{-i[E(\mathbf{k})-E(\mathbf{k}')]\tilde{t}_f} \right] \end{split}$$

- Quasi-particle approximation: $m^2 \rightarrow m^2_{
 m th}(\tilde{t}_f;\tilde{t}_i)$
- Coupling to system of ODEs (spatially homogeneous case):

$$\partial_t m_{\rm th}(t) = \frac{\lambda}{2m_{\rm th}(t)} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_{\chi}(\mathbf{k})} \frac{1}{2} \Big[\partial_t f_{\chi}(|\mathbf{k}|, t) + \partial_t f_{\chi}^C(|\mathbf{k}|, t) \Big]$$

向 ト イヨト イヨト ヨヨ のくら