


Resonance excitation in ⁷Be + d reaction to study ⁷Li abundance anomaly

Dhruba Gupta

Department of Physics, Bose Institute, Kolkata

ISOLDE Workshop and Users Meeting, CERN, December 17-19, 2012

Physics case



Observed values represented by bands, predicted values represented by lines. $\eta_b^{WMAP} = n_B/n_\gamma = (6.23 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-10}$ ratio of the baryon and photon number densities Serious discrepancy of a factor of about 4 in primordial ⁷Li abundance, while good agreement of D, ^{3,4}He abundances BBN theory using η_b^{WMAP} : ⁷Li/H = 5.12 _{-0.62} ^{+0.71} × 10⁻¹⁰ Observationally extracted: ⁷Li/H = 1.23 _{-0.16} ^{+0.34} × 10⁻¹⁰

The Cosmological ⁷Li problem

Aim of the experiment:

Study discrepancy of ⁷Li abundance in the context of **resonance enhancement** of nuclear reactions

Importance:

One of the **important unresolved problems** of present-day astrophysics. **Existing data:** nuclear/astrophysical/new effects beyond standard BBN- inconclusive

Incomplete nuclear physics input for BBN calculations: Can resonant enhancement alleviate this discrepancy?





FIG. 1. Schematic illustration of the proposed ⁷Be destruction mechanism, $d + {}^{7}\text{Be} \rightarrow {}^{9}\text{B}^{*} \rightarrow p + {}^{8}\text{Be}^{*}$. The energies are in MeV relative to the ground state of ${}^{9}\text{B}$. Subsequently, ${}^{8}\text{Be}^{*}$ breaks up into two α particles.




⁷Li mostly produced as ⁷Be during Big Bang. One narrow nuclear level in ⁹B, $E_{5/2+}\approx 16.7$ MeV, not sufficiently studied experimentally, and just ~ 200 keV above the ⁷Be+d threshold, may lead to resonant enhancement of ⁷Be(d, γ)⁹B and ⁷Be(d,p)⁸Be reactions. *Cyburt et al*

arXiv:0906.4373v1 (2009)

 $^{7}\text{Be}(d,p)^{8}\text{Be}^{*}\rightarrow 2\alpha \text{ (Q = 16.490 MeV)}$

R. W. Kavanagh Nuclear Physics 18 (1960) 492 **upto** $E_x = 11 \text{ MeV}$

 $E_{cm} = 0.6 - 1.3$ MeV, reaction rate relied on an extrapolation to BBN energies. Differential cross section multiplied by 4π (assuming isotropic angular distribution) and arbitrarily by 3 (to estimate contribution of higher energy ⁸Be states)



 $^{7}\text{Be}(d,p)^{8}\text{Be}^{*}\rightarrow 2\alpha \text{ (Q = 16.490 MeV)}$

 $(E_{7Be} = 5.55, 1.71 \text{ MeV})$ upto $E_x = 13.8 \text{ MeV}$

• Kavanagh (1960)

• Angulo (2005), ⁸Be* (g.s + 1st ex.s)

Cross section overestimated previously Due to Coulomb barrier, contributions of the higher states were negligible. Small angular range covered (~ 7-17 deg) and full isotropy for proton angular distribution **assumed** in calculating average cross section


Resonance enhancement of the ${}^{7}Be(d,p)2\alpha$ reaction through the 5/2⁺ 16.7 MeV resonance state in ${}^{9}B$? ${}^{2}H({}^{7}Be,d){}^{7}Be$ (E_{7Be}= 10 MeV)

No evidence for a resonance observed

Scholl et al Phys. Rev. C 84, 014308 (2011)

 ${}^{9}\text{Be}({}^{3}\text{He,t}){}^{9}\text{B}$, E= 140 MeV/A, the state is strongly excited.

Energy: 16.800(10) MeV, width: 81(5) keV



Reaction rates of the ⁷Be destruction by deuterons could be large, owing to a narrow resonance 16.7 MeV ($5/2^+$) in ⁹B. **This resonance may be very strong**, and at the very limit of the quantum mechanically allowed value for the deuteron separation width. This would be responsible for a factor of ~ 2 suppression of the primordial ⁷Be yield, *resolving ⁷Li problem*.

(Resonant energy E_r , deuterium separation width Γ_d) \approx (170-220, 10-40) keV can eliminate current discrepancy. Such a large width at this resonant energy can only be achieved if the interaction radius for the deuterium entrance channel is very large, $a_{27} \ge 9$ fm

Chakraborty et al16.7 MeV (5/2+) in 9B, a large channel radiusPhys. Rev. D 83, 063006 (2011)(a>10 fm) needed to give sufficiently large widths

Clearly more study of possible resonances in ⁷Be + d reactions and the 16.7 MeV state in ⁹B needed. This state has the potential to significantly influence ⁷Be destruction.

Merit of the experiment

Measurements of the 16.7 MeV (5/2+) resonance in ⁹B at HIE-ISOLDE offering higher beam energies and intensity of ⁷Be can resolve the ⁷Li issue. Direct experimental information about its p and α decay properties unknown. Dominant single proton-decay branch to the 16.626 MeV (2⁺) state in ⁸Be ?


FIRST TIME

higher beam energy : measure higher excitation energies in ⁸Be up to about 20 MeV

wider angular coverage : 5-50 deg, improved average cross section measurements without assuming isotropy done in earlier works

POSSIBLE AT HIE-ISOLDE (beam energy and intensity)


35 MeV ⁷Be : measure (d,p) (d,d) with T-REX CD₂ target of thickness 1 mg/cm², I = 10^8 pps Estimated cross section ~ 500 mb Expected count rate ~ 1000/s





Kinematics







Kinematics of the 7Be(d,d), 7Be(d,p) reactions for ground state (d) (p), excitation of 20 MeV (p'), 5 MeV (d') respectively. The forward hashed (backward hashed) areas cover the detected p (d) energies and angles in CD and barrel detectors of T-REX.

CD (ΔE =500 µm, E = 1.5 mm), Forward Barrel (ΔE =140 µm, E = 1.0 mm)

Protons : $E_x = 0$, $E_p = 20-40$ MeV, do not stop

 $E_x = 20$ MeV, $E_p = 4-14$ MeV at 5 – 50 deg, corresponding α -particles stop in ΔE





Energy resolution of T-REX would be sufficient for particle identification in this experiment

Excitation energies of the populated states of interest can be reconstructed from deposited energies in various detectors for stopping particles. Breakup of ⁸Be $\rightarrow 2\alpha$ particles that stop in ΔE detectors of T-REX, can be identified by using a multiplicity trigger. At ISOLDE, ⁷Be is produced with very high yields and even with a modest charge breeding efficiency 2-3% we should get plenty of beam at the end of the linac. Energy should be well above 5.5 MeV/A for this A/q.

Concerning contaminations of the beam, both ¹⁴N and ²¹Ne can be **suppressed completely** by selecting ⁷Be⁴⁺ after a stripping foil inserted at the end of the linac (⁷Be³⁺ being accelerated up to the stripper foil).

As the halflife is long we will not reach saturation for the radiation level during the run. There are no restriction from a radiation protection point of view. If (for example) we take 10^8 pps for 3 x 8 h shifts we will end up with ~ $9x10^{12}$ ions collected which lead to an activity of 0.2 MBq. Regarding the radiological hazards of radioactive nuclei produced at ISOLDE, the activity we need for our experiment is only a very small fraction of the authorization limit LA (very small internal exposure risk).

The hazard related to **external exposure**, assessed via the h10 quantity which for $^{7}Be = 0.008 \text{ (mSv/h)/GBq}$ at 1 m quantity which give the dose rate at 1 m per GBq of activity.

Outcome of the Experiment

•Higher excitation energies in ⁸Be - measurement up to about 20 MeV from (d,p)

•**Properties of the 16.7 MeV (5/2+) resonance in** ⁹**B** from (d,d)

Direct experimental determination of corresponding reaction rates might either support/refute a nuclear physics solution to the lithium problem. Other effects may include astrophysical effects, new effects (beyond standard BBN model) or a combination of all. Conventional solutions to the lithium problem ask for experimental testing.

While astrophysical solutions are not ruled out, they are increasingly constrained. Thus a serious and thorough evaluation of all possible nuclear physics aspects of primordial lithium production is urgent in order to determine whether the lithium problem truly points to new fundamental physics.

•Possible at HIE-ISOLDE.



Collaborators:

Requested shifts: [15] shifts, (split into [1] runs over [1] years)

S. K. Saha (**Bose Institute**) Maria JG Borge, M. Kowalska, T. Stora, D. Voulot, F. Wenander (**CERN**) D. Müecher (**Technischen Universität Munchen, Munchen**) R. Raabe, G. Randisi (**IKS, KU Leuven**) P. Reiter (**IfK, Koeln**)

Thank You