

LHC ON THE MARCH 20-22 NOVEMBER 2012, PROTVINO, RUSSIA

### LHCb status and overview on behalf of LHCb collaboration

Daria Savrina (ITEP&SINP MSU)

## Heavy flavour physics

Like entering the building of the new physics through the backdoor

Needs high agility and attentiveness



Many observables, which allow to distinguish between different models Rare and very rare decays of beauty and charm particles Asymmetries: CP, forward-backward, isospin

#### Help from the heavy flavours:

More potentially interesting decays Large asymmetries are expected **Relatively long lifetimes** 

Small effects  $\rightarrow$  new contributions should be immediately visible!

Ba

b



### LHCb collaboration



# **Heauty and charm decays**

LHC is a Flavor Factory @ 7 TeV :  $-\sigma(pp \rightarrow ccX) = ~6 mb$ [LHCb-CONF-2010-013]  $-\sigma(pp \rightarrow bbX) = ~0.3 mb$ [PLB 694 (2010) 209] All possible b- and c- species are produced

Spreading predominantly in the narrow cone around the beam





 $\sigma_{inel} \sim 60 mb - trigger is essential!$ Lifetime resolution (B<sub>s</sub> oscillation period ~350 fs) Mass resolution to reduce background Particle identification to suppress peaking backgrounds

## LHCb detector@LHC



Cross-section in detector acceptance@7TeV:  $\sigma_{bb} \sim 75 \mu b$  [PLB 694 (2010) 209]  $\sigma_{cc} \sim 1.7 m b$ 

LH

### **Detector performance**

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **VErtex LOcator**

![](_page_6_Picture_2.jpeg)

Impact parameter resolution:

20 µm for high-pT tracks Decay time resolution: 45 fs

![](_page_6_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Tracking system

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

T1-T3 Inner tracker:

Silicon microstrip detector Outer tracker:

Straw tubes

Track reconstruction efficiency: > 96% for long tracks

#### Momentum resolution:

Δp/p = 0.4% at 5 GeV/c 0.6% at 100 GeV/c Trigger tracker(TT):

Before magnet

Reconstruction of the low-momentum particles Reconstruction of the long-lived neutral particles 4 planes of 500 µm silicon sensors

Dipole magnet:

Bending power 4 Tm Length 5m

![](_page_7_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **RICH detectors**

#### RICH2 HPD Panels with Pixels and CK Rings

![](_page_8_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_4.jpeg)

Charged hadrons identification Separation of  $p/K/\pi$  candidates

#### RICH1:

before magnet 2 GeV/c <  $p_T^h$  < 60 GeV/c C<sub>4</sub>F<sub>10</sub> and aerogel radiators

### RICH2:

after magnet  $p_T^{h}$  up to 100 GeV/c  $CF_4$  radiator

#### Photodetectors: hybrid photodiodes (HPD's) with pixel readout

![](_page_8_Picture_11.jpeg)

Aerogel

VELO exit window

Plane Mirror Photon Detectors

250 mrad

Mirror

Beam pipe

Track

z (cm)

C4F10

Spherical

### Charged hadrons identification

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Calorimeter system

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

Scitillating Pad Detector and Preshower detector ~6000 plastic scintillator pads 15 mm thick interlayed with 2.5 X<sub>0</sub> lead converter;

### Electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL)

Shashlik sampling technology
 Alternating scintillator (4 mm)/lead (2mm) tiles
 42mm thick = 25X<sub>0</sub>

#### Hadron calorimeter (HCAL)

Iron plates interspaced with scintillating tiles 5.6 λ<sub>I</sub> thick

![](_page_10_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_9.jpeg)

# **HCb** Calorimeters performance

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Radiative B-decays on hadron machine! Mass resolution ~90 MeV

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Muon system

Muon reconstruction, identification and measurement of their momenta

5 stations of multi-wire proportional chambers and GEM detectors interlayed with muon filter

#### Muon filter

between M1 and M2: calorimeter system between M2, M3, M4 and M5: 80cm iron plates  $(20\lambda_1)$ 

![](_page_12_Figure_7.jpeg)

### Muon ID efficiency: ~97% for 1-3% $\pi \rightarrow \mu$

mis-id probability

Momentum resolution: ~20%

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

## LHCb trigger

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

Level-0 (hardware): Calorimeter system, muon system High transverse momentum candidates

### High level trigger (software):

HLT1

High-momentum tracks, impact parameters

### HLT2

(Almost) fully reconstructed events Exclusive and inclusive selections

### **Trigger efficiencies:**

~90% for dimuon channels

- ~30% for multi-body hadronic final states
- ~10% for charm decays

4.5 kHz – 2012 (increased number of CPUs)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

**Operation** 

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

# First experience with ions

LHCb Event Display

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### September runs with pA collisions

Stable conditions Multiplicities in detector are comparable with pp collisions Various resonances reconstructed offline

#### LHCb will take data in pA runs planned

Luminosity  $\sim 10^{26}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> Production and polarization studies V0, quarkonia, b-quarks etc.

![](_page_15_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### Lambda production

### Highlights of recent physics results

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Physics topics**

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

Beautiful... Rare Decays Leptonic, electroweak, radiative decays Lepton flavour and number violating decays See talk by Olga Kochebina B decays to Charmonia Lifetimes,  $\phi_s$ ,  $\Delta\Gamma_s$  of B-mesons Amplitude analyses See talk by Ivan Polyakov B decays to Open Charm

Charmless B decays

Semileptonic B decays

QCD, Electroweak and Exotica t-quark,W, Z production and asymmetries Search for the exotic particles

B hadrons and Quarkonia Quarkonia production and properties Potentially exotic quarkonia (X, Y, Z) Production and spectroscopy of b-hadrons See talks by Yiming Li and Alexander Artamonov Charm Physics Mixing, CP-violation, rare decays of charm Charm production and spectroscopy

![](_page_17_Picture_8.jpeg)

and charming!

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Mixing-induced CP-violation

### Standard Model prediction:

 $\varphi_s = -2arg(-V_{ts}V_{tb}^*/V_{cs}V_{cb}^*) = -0.036 \pm 0.002$ [J. Charles et al. PRD 84 (2011) 033005] New physics may induce large deviations

### "Golden mode" $B_s \to J/\psi \phi$

In many items is like "golden mode" of  $B^0$  mixing  $B^0 \to J/\psi K^*$ 

#### But:

B<sub>s</sub> oscillations are much faster → needs excellent proper time resolution  $\Delta\Gamma_s = \Gamma_L - \Gamma_H$  is to be measured as well Not a CP-eigenstate → angular analysis is needed

#### $B_s \to J/\psi \pi^{\scriptscriptstyle +} \pi^{\scriptscriptstyle -}$

Less statistics Purely CP-odd eigenstate  $\rightarrow$  no angular analysis needed

![](_page_18_Figure_10.jpeg)

## $\varphi_{s} \text{ in } B_{s} \rightarrow J/\psi \varphi$

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

20

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

 Contributions) grows slowly
 P wave strong phase (φ contribution) grows rapidly
 Difference between S-wave and P-wave phases rapidly falling

![](_page_20_Figure_5.jpeg)

[JHEP 0909:074, 2009]

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

 $\varphi_{s} \text{ in } B_{s} \rightarrow J/\psi \varphi$ 

#### **Total fit**

Parameter	Value	Stat.	Syst.
$\Gamma_s \text{ [ps}^{-1}\text{]}$	0.6580	0.0054	0.0066
$\Delta \Gamma_s \text{ [ps}^{-1]}$	0.116	0.018	0.006
$ A_{\perp}(0) ^2$	0.246	0.010	0.013
$ A_0(0) ^2$	0.523	0.007	0.024
$F_{ m S}$	0.022	0.012	0.007
$\delta_{\perp}$ [rad]	2.90	0.36	0.07
$\delta_{\parallel}$ [rad]	[2.81,	3.47]	0.13
$\delta_s$ [rad]	2.90	0.36	0.08
$\phi_s$ [rad]	-0.001	0.101	0.027

[LHCb-CONF-2012-002]

Ambiguity is solved

Word best measurements of  $\phi_s$  and  $\Delta\Gamma_s$  with only 1fb^-1 of data

Both  $\Delta\Gamma$  and  $\phi_s$  show no deviation from the Standard Model

![](_page_21_Figure_8.jpeg)

# $\varphi_s \text{ in } B_s \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Combined result from  $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$  and  $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^* \pi^-$ 

 $\varphi_s = -0.002 \pm 0.083 \pm 0.027$  (preliminary)

In perfect agreement and with the Standard Model!

# **LHCD** More channels for $\varphi_s$ measurement

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

# *LHCb* Measurement of the y angle

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Measurement of the y angle

The cleanest method  $B^{\pm} \rightarrow DK^{\pm}$  (very sensitive!)  $B^{\pm} \rightarrow D\pi^{\pm}$  (less sensitive)  $(D = D^{0} \text{ or } \overline{D}^{0})$ [Phys. Lett. B265 (1991) 172]

D decay mode is accessible both for  $D^0$  and  $\overline{D}^0$ 

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

LHCb is making use of several D decay modes: Gronau-London-Wyler (GLW):  $D \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$  and  $D \rightarrow K^{+}K^{-}$ [Phys. Lett. B265 (1991) 172] Atwood-Dunietz-Soni (ADS):  $D \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}K^{\pm}$  and  $D \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}K^{\pm}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$  Large interference! [Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 3257] Giri-Grossman-Soer-Zupan (GGSZ):  $D \rightarrow K^{0}_{\ s}h^{+}h^{-}$  (h =  $\pi$ , K) [Phys. Rev. D68 (2003) 054018]

## **LHCb** Preceding LHCb measurements

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Combined result for y angle

With 1fb<sup>-1</sup> of data

$B^{\pm} \to DK^{\pm}$	GLW&ADS			
$B^{\pm} \to D\pi^{\pm}$	GLW&ADS	FLD / 12 (2012) 203		
$B^{\pm} \rightarrow DK^{\pm}$ GGSZ				
$B^{\pm} \to D\pi^{\pm}$	GGSZ	PLB / 18 (2012) 43-55		
$B^{\pm} \to DK^{\pm}$	ADS	1 UCH CONE 2012 20		
$B^{\pm} \to D\pi^{\pm}$	ADS	LICD-CONF-2012-30		
$B \rightarrow DK \mod_{0.8}$	es only LHCb Preliminary $\gamma = (71.1 + 16.6)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = (71.1 + 16.6)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = (71.1 + 16.6)^{\circ}$ LHCb-CON	$B \rightarrow D\pi \text{ and } B \rightarrow DK \text{ combined}$ $T_{0}^{0} \qquad \qquad$		
	$\gamma \in [61.8, 67.8]^{\circ}$ or	$[77.9, 92.4]^{\circ}$ @ 68% CL [42.8, 101.5]^{\circ} @ 05% CL		
L	$\gamma \in$	[45.6, 101.5] @ 95% CL Preliminary		

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

 $B_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

Theoretical branching ratio predictions:

 $B(B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu) = (3.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-9}$ 

 $B(B^0 \rightarrow \mu\mu) = (0.10 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-9}$ [arXiv:1007.5291]

Theoretical uncertainties are very small

Sensitive to the models with extended Higgs and high  $tan\beta$ 

2-dimensional analysis with blinded signal mass region

- Boosted decision tree based on topological variables
- dimuon invariant mass

For the details see talk by Olga Kochebina

![](_page_28_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

 $B_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ 

2011 data (1fb<sup>-1</sup>), tightest upper limits were set up

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

And then first part of 2012 datasample was added (1.1 fb<sup>-1</sup>) and the box was opened

# *LHCb* First evidence of $B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-$

### Combined 2011+2012 datasample

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

Branching fraction is measured  $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = (3.2^{+1.4}_{-1.2}(\text{stat})^{+0.5}_{-0.3}(\text{syst})) \times 10^{-9}$ 

### Tightest upper limit is set $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) < 9.4 \times 10^{-10}$

#### [LHCb-PAPER-2012-043]

The TeV-scale SUSY is almost excluded However there are still a number of models, which behave in the same way in this point

![](_page_30_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

 $B^{0} \rightarrow K^{*}\mu + \mu -$ 

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

Zero crossing point theoretical prediction  $q^2(A_{FB}=0)=(4-4.3)GeV^2/c^4$ 

![](_page_31_Figure_4.jpeg)

LHCb measurement with  $1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  of data:

 $q^{2}(A_{FB}=0)=4.9^{+1.1}_{-1.3}GeV^{2}/c^{4}$ preliminary

Consistent with the Standard Model prediction

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Radiative decays

### Theoretical predictions for branching fractions:

 $B^0 → K^* \gamma$ : (4.3 ± 1.4)×10<sup>-5</sup>  $B_s → φ γ$ : (4.3 ± 1.4)×10<sup>-5</sup>

[Eur. Phys. J. C 55 (2008) 577]

Suffer from large uncertainties from hadronic form factors!

### But there are observables, which don't

Ratio of branching fractions, predicted value: 1.0 ± 0.2 Direct CP-asymmetry, predicted value: (-0.61 ± 0.43)% [Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 014013]

![](_page_32_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_9.jpeg)

# **LHCD** Direct CP-asymmetry in $B^0 \rightarrow K^*\gamma$

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{CP} \big( B^0 \to K^{*0} \gamma \big) &= \big( 0.8 \pm 1.7 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.9 \text{ (syst.)} \big) \% \\ \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \gamma)}{\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \phi \gamma)} &= 1.23 \pm 0.06 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.04 \text{ (syst.)} \pm 0.10 \text{ (} f_s / f_d \text{)} \end{aligned}$$

World best measurements, no deviation from the Standard Model!

[Nuclear Physics B 867 (2012) 1–18]

Upgrade

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Upgrade motivation

		Year	Energy	Int. Lumi.
Get ready for luminosity of 10 <sup>33</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> and 40 MHz bunch crossing frequency ~5 fb <sup>-1</sup> /year		2010	7 TeV	37 pb⁻¹
		2011	2.76 TeV	71 pb⁻¹
		2011	7 TeV	1.0 fb <sup>-1</sup>
		2012	8 TeV	2.2 fb <sup>-1</sup>
		2013	L HC onli	oo ropoir
"Exploration"	"Precision studies"	_ 2014		
Search for $B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-$	Measure $\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$ to a precision of ~ 10% of SM value	2015	13 TeV	
Study other kinematical observables	Measure $2\beta_{-}$ to precision	2016	25 ns	> 5 fb <sup>-1</sup>
in $B^0 \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ , e.g. $A_T(2)$	< 20% of SM value	2017	crossing	
	Measure $\gamma$ to $<1^\circ$ to match	2018	LHCb ւ	ıpgrade
CPV studies with gluonic penguins e.g. $B_s \rightarrow \phi \phi$	anticipated theory improvements	2019		
Measure $CP$ violation in	Charm CPV search below $10^{-4}$	2020	5 fb⁻¹	/year
$B_s$ mixing $(A_{fs}^s)$	Measure photon polarisation in $\exp(*)$ to the % level	2021		
	exclusive $0 \rightarrow s\gamma^{(1)}$ to the 70 level	2022		iuparado
		2023		
		2024		
		_		

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Timescale

**LHCb** UPGRADE Letter of Intent HCb CERN/LHCC 2012-0 Framework LHCb UPGRADE Technical Design Report

LHCD LHCb Lol

March 2011 Letter of Intent http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1333091/files/LHCC-I-018.pdf 2012 Framework TDR http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1443882/files/LHCB-TDR-012.pdf R&D, technological choices 2013 Subsystem TDRs R&D, technological choices 2014 First infrastructures for upgrade **Tender & production** 2015-2017 LHCb data taking Acceptance testing 2018 - 2019 Installation > 2019 Data taking

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Prospects**

Purely software trigger to operate under higher occupancies Access to full event information ~2 times gain in signal rates for hadronic channels Upgrading all front-end electronics to readout at 40 MHz Upgrading tracking detectors Upgrading PID detectors

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Expected** sensitivities

Type	Observable	Current	LHCb	Upgrade	Theory
AT 100.00	4	precision	$(5 \text{ fb}^{-1})$	$(50 \text{ fb}^{-1})$	uncertainty
Gluonic	$S(B_s \to \phi \phi)$	-	0.08	0.02	0.02
penguin	$S(B_s \to K^{*0} \bar{K^{*0}})$	_	0.07	0.02	< 0.02
	$S(B^0 \to \phi K_S^0)$	0.17	0.15	0.03	0.02
$B_s$ mixing	$2\beta_s \ (B_s \to J/\psi\phi)$	0.35	0.019	0.006	$\sim 0.003$
Right-handed	$S(B_s \to \phi \gamma)$	-	0.07	0.02	< 0.01
currents	${\cal A}^{\Delta\Gamma_s}(B_s o \phi\gamma)$	-	0.14	0.03	0.02
E/W	$A_T^{(2)}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-)$	-	0.14	0.04	0.05
penguin	$s_0 A_{\rm FB}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-)$	-	4%	1%	7%
Higgs	$\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$	-	30%	8%	< 10%
penguin	$\frac{\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)}$	-	-	$\sim 35\%$	$\sim 5\%$
Unitarity	$\gamma \ (B \to D^{(*)} K^{(*)})$	$\sim 20^{\circ}$	$\sim 4^{\circ}$	$0.9^{\circ}$	negligible
triangle	$\gamma \ (B_s \to D_s K)$	-	$\sim 7^{\circ}$	$1.5^{\circ}$	negligible
angles	$eta  \left( B^0  ightarrow J/\psi  K^0  ight)$	$1^{\circ}$	$0.5^{\circ}$	$0.2^{\circ}$	negligible
Charm	$A_{\Gamma}$	$2.5 \times 10^{-3}$	$2 \times 10^{-4}$	$4 \times 10^{-5}$	-
CPV	$A_{CP}^{dir}(KK) - A_{CP}^{dir}(\pi\pi)$	$4.3  imes 10^{-3}$	$4 \times 10^{-4}$	$8 \times 10^{-5}$	2=1

http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1333091/files/LHCC-I-018.pdf

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Conclusions

The LHCb detector is in a brilliant shape

Lots of "most precise" measurements and "first observations" with 1 year of datataking

The evidence of the rarest decay,  $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ , is found with only 1.5 years of datataking

 $\Delta\Gamma_{\rm s} > 0, \, \phi_{\rm s} = -0.002 \pm 0.083 \pm 0.027$ 

Direct CP-asymmetry in the  $B \to DK^{\pm}$ 

Observation of  $B \rightarrow DK^{\pm}ADS$  modes

Angle  $\gamma = (71.1^{+16.6}_{-15.7})^{\circ}$ 

 $B \rightarrow K^* \mu \mu$ : q<sup>2</sup>(A<sub>FB</sub>=0)=4.9<sup>+1.1</sup><sub>-1.3</sub>GeV<sup>2</sup>/c<sup>4</sup>

$$B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$$
:  $A_{CP} = (0.8 \pm 1.7 \pm 0.9)\%$ 

- - -

Unfortunately, the New Physics did not show up yet But there's still space for it left  $\rightarrow$  need better precision!

> Many plans for the future studies Looking forward for more data and new perspectives!

### Thank you for your attention!

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)