# QCD+EW corrections to DY in POWHEG

#### Luca Barzè University & INFN – Pavia

with G. Montagna, P. Nason, O. Nicrosini, F. Piccinini & A. Vicini

also on behalf of C. Bernaciack & D. Wackeroth

LHC EWWG meeting – October 09, 2012

QCD+EW corrections to DY in POWHEG

# 

 $d\sigma = d\sigma_0 + d\sigma_{\alpha_s} + d\sigma_{\alpha} + d\sigma_{\alpha_s} + d\sigma_{\alpha_s} + \dots$ Fixed order MC:

- FEWZ:  $\alpha_s^2(+\alpha \text{ for neutral DY})$
- SANC:  $\alpha_s + \alpha$

Melnikov & Petriello, **PRL** 96 (2006) 231803 Li & Petriello, arXiv:1208.5967

Bardin & al., arXiv:1207.4400

Matching realized using different generators:

- MC@NLO ⊕ HORACE Balossini & al., JHEP 1001 (2010) 013

NLO matched to PS (POWHEG):

• W\_EW-BMNNP

- L. B. & al., **JHEP** 1204 (2012) 037
- W\_EW-BW Bernaciack & Wackeroth, PRD 85 (2012) 093003
  - Z in progress (preliminary results)

 $QCD{+}EW$  corrections to DY in <code>POWHEG</code>

# General features of EW radiative corrections

- Unstable particles ( $\Gamma \neq 0$ ) in the loops W · → avoid gauge invariance violation!  $\rightarrow$  Complex Mass (for DY simpler schemes)
- $m_{\gamma} = m_q = 0 \Rightarrow$  IR singularities (regularized):
  - **Soft**  $\rightarrow$  cancel between R and V
  - **IS collinear**  $\rightarrow$  redefinition of PDFs log
  - **FS collinear**  $\rightarrow \log(Q^2/m_{\rho}^2)$  are physical:

# General features of EW radiative corrections

- at  $\sqrt{\hat{s}} \sim M_{W/Z}$  QED corrections important  $\rightarrow$  QED structure functions  $\rightarrow$  QED PS  $\rightarrow$  HORACE / PHOTOS Colonka & Was, EPJC45 (2006) 97 Carloni & al., JHEP 0612 (2006) 016  $\rightarrow$  YFS  $\rightarrow$  WINHAC + SANC Jadach & Placzek, EPJC29 (2003) 325
- γ induced processes



 $\rightarrow$  only MRSTQED2004 provides photon distribution function

$$\frac{\text{QCD/EW NLO Cross section}}{\text{d}\sigma_{NLO}} = \begin{cases} B(\Phi_n) + V^b(\Phi_n) \\ + \int \overbrace{R(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad})}^{-\infty} \text{d}\Phi_{rad} \\ + \int \overbrace{R(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad})}^{\infty} \text{d}\Phi_{rad} \\ + \int \underbrace{\frac{\text{d}z}{z}}_{z} G^{\oplus}(\Phi_{n,\oplus}) + \int \frac{\text{d}z}{z} G^{\ominus}(\Phi_{n,\ominus}) \\ = \boxed{B \, \text{d}\Phi_n} \\ V^b = V^b_{EW} + V^b_{QCD} \quad R = R_{EW} + R_{QCD} \quad G = G_{EW} + G_{QCD} \end{cases}$$



#### Virtual part (W\_EW-BMNNP)

- $V_{EW}^{b}$  calculated (cross-checked) in different ways
  - We chose to use:
    - Dittmaier & Krämer 2002 for *W* PRD65 073007
    - Dittmaier & Huber 2010 for  $Z/\gamma^*$  JHEP 1001 060
    - finite part of dimensional regularization of IR divergent scalar functions  $(m_q^{in} = m_\gamma = 0, m_\ell \neq 0)$  Denner, Dittmaier NPB 844:199-242, 2011 Dittmaier NPB 565:69-122, 2000
    - factorizing out  $\mathcal{N} = \frac{(4\pi)^{\epsilon}}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)} (\frac{\mu_R^2}{Q^2})^{\epsilon}$

 $\Rightarrow$  direct extension of subtraction procedure—

 $C/G_{QCD}$  in POWHEG with FKS algorithm  $\rightarrow C/G_{QED}$ 

#### NLO Checked with HORACE

Carloni & al., **JHEP** 10 (2007) 190 Carloni & al., **JHEP** 12 (2006) 016



QCD+EW corrections to DY in POWHEG

# Virtual part II (W\_EW-BW)

- $V_{EW}^{b}$  taken from WGRAD2 Baur & Wackeroth, PRD 70, 073015, 2004
  - Mass regularization  $(m_q \neq 0, m_\gamma \neq 0)$

• 2-cutoff ( $\delta_c$ ,  $\delta_s$ ) phase space slicing  $m_q$ ,  $m_\gamma$ ,  $\delta_c$ ,  $\delta_s$  must cancel in physical result

$$d\sigma_{NLO}^{EW} = \left\{ B(\Phi_n) + \underbrace{(V+s)(\Phi_n)}_{\sim \delta_s} + \int_{\sigma_s, \delta_c} d\Phi_{rad} H\bar{C}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad}) \right\} d\Phi_n$$
$$\int_{1,2} \frac{dz}{z} \underbrace{HC(\Phi_n, z)}_{\sim \delta_c} + \int_{\delta_s, \delta_c} d\Phi_{rad} H\bar{C}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad}) \right\} d\Phi_n$$
Within POWHEG-BOX:
$$\bar{B} = B + V_{OCD} + V_{s,EW} + R_{OCD} + R_{EW} + G_{OCD} + G_{EW}$$

# **NLO Checks**

	Tevatron, $W^+$	LHC, W <sup>+</sup>	LHC, W <sup>-</sup>
WGRAD2	362.55(2) pb	1059.6(1) pb	759.26(3) pb
W_EW-BW	362.4(2) pb	1059.0(5) pb	758.7(8) pb

$(\delta_s, \delta_c)$	Tevat, $W^+$	LHC, W <sup>+</sup>	LHC, W <sup>-</sup>
0.01, 0.005	362.4(2) pb	1059.0(5) pb	758.7(8) pb
0.01, 0.001	362.4(2) pb	1059.1(7) pb	759.2(5) pb
0.001, 0.0005	362.3(2) pb	1059.4(9) pb	759.4(5) pb
0.001, 0.0001	362.3(2) pb	1059.2(8) pb	759.3(5) pb



#### $QCD/EW NLO + PS in W_EW-BMNNP$



# W<sup>+</sup> Transverse Mass at LHC w\_Ew-BMNNP



#### *W*<sup>+</sup> Transverse Mass at LHC **W**\_EW-BW



W transverse mass is an observable inclusive over QCD radiation  $\Rightarrow O(\alpha \alpha_s)$  leading logs ~ cancel.

### $\mu^+ \perp$ Momentum at LHC w\_Ew-BMNNP



#### $\mu^+ \perp Momentum at LHC w_ew-bw$



 $\mu$  transverse momentum isn't an observable inclusive over QCD radiation  $\Rightarrow O(\alpha \alpha_s)$  leading logs important.

# W<sup>+</sup> Total Cross Section at LHC w\_Ew-BMNNP



QCD+EW corrections to DY in POWHEG

# W<sup>+</sup> Total Cross Section at LHC w\_Ew-Bw

	σ <sub>lo</sub> σ <sub>Nloew</sub>	1024.0(1) pb 1059.0(5) pb			
	$\sigma_{QCD\otimes PS}$ $\sigma_{(QCD+EW)\otimes PS}$	PYTHIA 1014(3) pb 1052(3) pb	HERWIG 1027(3) pb 1066(3) pb		
$\frac{\sigma_{NLOEW} - \sigma_{LO}}{\sigma_{LO}} = \frac{\sigma_{(QCD + EW) \otimes PS} - \sigma_{QCD \otimes PS}}{\sigma_{QCD \otimes PS}} \sim 0.5\%$					
Same order of Balossini & al., JHEP 1001 (2010) 013					
Cuts & parameters different → Comparison needed.					

# Z preliminary results W\_EW-BMNNP



# Z (very) preliminary results W\_EW-BMNNP



# Summary

#### POWHEG-BOX for CC DY with QCD & EW at NLO

- normalization with NLO QCD  $\oplus$  EW accuracy;
- matched mixed QCD  $\otimes$  QED PS;
- leading part of mixed  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha \alpha_s)$  corrections  $\rightarrow$  important contribution for some observables;
- similar results from different approaches  $\rightarrow$  comparison ongoing.



# Summary

#### POWHEG-BOX for CC DY with QCD & EW at NLO

- normalization with NLO QCD  $\oplus$  EW accuracy;
- matched mixed QCD  $\otimes$  QED PS;
- leading part of mixed  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha \alpha_s)$  corrections  $\rightarrow$  important contribution for some observables;
- similar results from different approaches  $\rightarrow$  comparison ongoing.





**W\_EW-BMNNP:** try to generate  $\gamma$ s as hardest particle  $\rightarrow$  consistent to use QED shower

# **W\_EW-BW**: do not try to generate $\gamma$ s $\rightarrow$ double counting if QED shower

• It is much more likely to generate g / q instead of  $\gamma_{--}$ 

