Precision QCD in DIS at HERA

- Introduction
- HERA-II Updates
- H1 NC/CC e±p
- \cdot H1 NC High y $e^{\pm}p$
- ZEUS NC e⁺p
- HERAPDF Plans

Eram Rizvi

PDF4LHC CERN − 7th Oct. 2012

For central production $x=x_1=x_2$ $M=x\sqrt{s}$ i.e. $M > I$ TeV probes $x > 0.1$ Searches for high mass states require precision knowledge at high x Z' / quantum gravity / susy searches... DGLAP evolution allows predictions to be made High x predictions rely on

- data (DIS / fixed target)
- sum rules
- behaviour of PDFs as x→1

$$
\frac{d\sigma_{NC}^{\pm}}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{x} \left[\frac{1}{Q^2} \right]^2 \left[Y_+ \tilde{F}_2 \mp Y_- x \tilde{F}_3 - y^2 \tilde{F}_L \right]
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d\sigma_{CC}^{\pm}}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{G_F^2}{4\pi x} \left[\frac{M_W^2}{M_W^2 + Q^2} \right]^2 \left[Y_+ \tilde{W}_2^{\pm} \mp Y_- x \tilde{W}_3^{\pm} - y^2 \tilde{W}_L^{\pm} \right]
$$
\n
$$
Y_{\pm} = 1 \pm (1 - y)^2
$$

 $\tilde{F}_2 \propto \sum (xq_i + x\overline{q}_i)$ $x\tilde{F}_3 \propto \sum (xq_i - x\overline{q}_i)$ $\tilde{F}_L \propto \alpha_s \cdot x g(x, Q^2)$

Dominant contribution

Only sensitive at high
$$
Q^2 \sim M_Z^2
$$

Only sensitive at low Q^2 and high y

similarly for pure weak CC analogues: W_2^{\pm} , xW_3^{\pm} and W_L^{\pm}

The NC reduced cross section defined as:

$$
\tilde{\sigma}_{NC}^{\pm} = \frac{Q^2 x}{2\alpha \pi^2} \frac{1}{Y_+} \frac{d^2 \sigma^{\pm}}{dx dQ^2}
$$

$$
\tilde{\sigma}_{NC}^{\pm} \sim \tilde{F}_2 \mp \frac{Y_-}{Y_+} x \tilde{F}_3
$$

The CC reduced cross section defined as:

$$
\sigma_{CC}^{\pm} = \frac{2\pi x}{G_F^2} \left[\frac{M_W^2 + Q^2}{M_W^2} \right]^2 \frac{d\sigma_{CC}^{\pm}}{dx dQ^2}
$$

$$
\frac{d\sigma_{CC}^{\pm}}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[Y_+ W_2^{\pm} \mp Y_- x W_3^{\pm} - y^2 W_L^{\pm} \right]
$$

Neutral current event selection:

High P_T isolated scattered lepton Suppress huge photo-production background by imposing longitudinal energy-momentum conservation

Kinematics may be reconstructed in many ways: energy/angle of hadrons & scattered lepton provides excellent tools for sys cross checks

Removal of scattered lepton provides a high stats "pseudo-charged current sample" Excellent tool to cross check CC analysis

Final selection: \sim 10⁵ events per sample at high Q² ~10⁷ events for $10 < Q^2 < 100$ GeV²

Charged current event selection:

Large missing transverse momentum (neutrino) Suppress huge photo-production background Topological finders to remove cosmic muons Kinematics reconstructed from hadrons Final selection: \sim 10³ events per sample

Status: 1-July-2007 HERA-I operation 1993-2000 **400** H1 Integrated Luminosity / pb⁻¹ **H1 Integrated Luminosity / pb-1** $Ee = 27.6$ GeV **electrons positrons** Ep = 820 / 920 GeV **low E** ∫*L* ~ 110 pb-1 per experiment **300 HERA-2** HERA-II operation 2003-2007 Ee = 27.6 GeV $Ep = 920 GeV$ **200** ∫*L* ~ 330 pb-1 per experiment Longitudinally polarised leptons **HERA-1 100** Low Energy Run 2007 $Ee = 27.6$ GeV $Ep = 575$ & 460 GeV Dedicated FL measurement $0\frac{1}{0}$ **0** 500 1000 1500

Days of running

breakdown of HERA-II data samples

$e\, p$	$\mathcal{L} = 47.3 \,\text{pb}^{-1}$	$\mathcal{L} = 104.4$ pb ⁻¹	
	$P_e = (+36.0 \pm 1.0)\%$	$P_e = (-25.8 \pm 0.7)\%$	
e^+p	$\mathcal{L} = 101.3 \,\text{pb}^{-1}$	$\mathcal{L} = 80.7 \,\text{pb}^{-1}$	
	$P_e = (+32.5 \pm 0.7)\%$	$P_e = (-37.0 \pm 0.7)\%$	

Eram Rizvi **FRANC - CERN - Oct.** 2012

Summary of HERA-I datasets Combined in HERAPDF1.0

Available since 2009

 100 pb^{-1} e^+p \blacksquare low \blacksquare \blacksquare High Q² NC and CC data limited to 100 pb $^{-1}$ e⁺p 16 pb⁻¹ e⁻p

Up till now HERA-II datasets only partially published

HERA-II datasets Combined in HERAPDF1.5 (except ZEUS NC e⁺p)

Complete the analyses of HERA high Q^2 inclusive structure function data

New published data increase ∫*L* by \sim factor 3 for e^+p ~ factor 10 for e[−]p much improved systematic uncertainties

High Q2 NC Cross Sections

High Q^2 is the EW physics regime: Z^0 contribution enhances as Q^2 increases

Final measurement of ZEUS NC e⁺p data

Shown here for P=0 Polarised measurements also available

Compared to published NC e⁻p data

High Q2 NC Cross Sections

. 25

∆²

i,i, a

i,syst#

 3 dx \sim 1.16+0.02 \sim

. The

 $i = \frac{1}{2}$ is the measured central value of the reduced ethnicial value of the reduced ethnic in with α

 α combined statistical and uncorrelated systematic uncorrelation \sim

enhanced e⁻ cross section wrt e⁺ Difference is xF₃ Sensitive to valence PDFs \tilde{L} and \tilde{L} and anti-quark sea distribution \tilde{L} emanted e tross secuon wrt e
Difference is xF₃

Sensitive to valence PDFs
$$
x\tilde{F}_3 \propto \sum (xq_i - x\overline{q}_i)
$$

H1 measure integral of
$$
\mathbf{x} \mathbf{F}_3^{\gamma Z}
$$
 - validate sumrule:
\n
$$
\int_{0.016}^{0.725} dx \ F_3^{\gamma Z}(x, Q^2 = 1500 \text{ GeV}^2) = 1.22 \pm 0.09 \text{(stat)} \pm 0.07 \text{(syst)}
$$
\nbe 5

O integral predicted to $5/3 + O(\alpha_s/\pi) = 1.16$

xF₃

and Rizvi **PDF4LHC** - CERN - Oct. 2012

■ PDF4LHC - CERN - Oct. 2012

Figure 12. NC high y reduced cross sections sections of e−p (open circles) and e+p (solid squares) data shown
Data shown to the p (solid squares) data shown to the p (solid squares) data shown to the p (solid squares) da as a function of Q2. The inner and outer error bars represent the statistical and total errors, respectively. The luminosity and polarisation uncertainties are not included in the error bands show the error bands show the tot Total uncertainty reduced by factor 2: $HERA-I ~ 4%$ HERA-II ~2%

High Q2 CC Cross Sections

$$
\frac{d^2\sigma_{CC}^-}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{G_F^2}{2\pi} \left(\frac{M_W^2}{M_W^2 + Q^2} \right)^2 \left[(u+c) + (1-y)^2 (\overline{d} + \overline{s}) \right]
$$

H1 combination of high Q² CC data (HERA-I+II) Improvement of total uncertainty Dominated by statistical errors Provide important flavour decomposition information $\mathsf{H}\mathsf{I}\mathsf{I}\mathsf{computation}$ or nigh $\mathsf{Q}^2\mathsf{C}\mathsf{C}\mathsf{a}$ data (HERA-I+II). Improvement of total uncertainty.

Electron scattering Electron scattering \blacksquare

$$
\frac{d^2\sigma_{CC}^+}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{G_F^2}{2\pi} \left(\frac{M_W^2}{M_W^2 + Q^2} \right)^2 \left[(\overline{u} + \overline{c}) + (1 - y)^2 (d + s) \right]
$$

CC e+ data provide strong d_v constraint at high x Precision limited by statistics: typically 5-10% HERA-I precision of 10-15% for e+p Large gain to come after combination with ZEUS various fixed Q² as a function of x in comparison with the expectation from H1PDF 2012. The inner and

Polarisation dependence of CC cross section now final from H1 and ZEUS

Polarised NC Cross Sections

Polarised NC measurements completed for e^+p , e^-p , L-handed , R-handed scattering

Difference in L,R scattering visible at high Q^2

NC Polarisation Asymmetry

³ 10 ⁴ 10

$$
A^{\pm} = \frac{2}{P_L^{\pm} - P_R^{\pm}} \cdot \frac{\sigma^{\pm}(P_L^{\pm}) - \sigma^{\pm}(P_R^{\pm})}{\sigma^{\pm}(P_L^{\pm}) + \sigma^{\pm}(P_R^{\pm})}
$$

$$
\text{At large x } A^{\pm} \propto \pm \kappa \frac{1 + d_v/u_v}{4 + d_v/u_v}
$$

0.4

Measuring the difference in NC polarised cross sections gives access to new structure functions: $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and the difference in the left and right polarised NC cross sections is sections in the left and right polarised NC cross sections is a section of \mathcal{A} Measuring the difference in NC polarised cross sections given.
In Afference in NC polarised cross sections given

electron and the contractions at large Q2.

$$
\frac{\sigma^{\pm}(P^{\pm}_L)-\sigma^{\pm}(P^{\pm}_R)}{P^{\pm}_L-P^{\pm}_R}=\frac{\kappa Q^2}{Q^2+M_Z^2}\left[\mp a_e F^{\gamma Z}_2\right]+\frac{Y_-}{Y_+}v_exF^{\gamma Z}_3-\frac{Y_-}{Y_+}\frac{\kappa Q^2}{Q^2+M_Z^2}(v_e^2+a_e^2)xF^Z_3\right]
$$

 xF_3 terms eliminated by subtracted e⁻p from e⁺p

F2^ɣ^Z

Shifts by Bram Rizvi bidge from the minimisation for the minimisation fo

New H1 data are combined with all previously published H1 inclusive cross section measurements

854 data points averaged to 413 measurements χ^2 /ndf = 412/441 = 0.93

Normalisation shifts for H1 data after averaging

Precision medium Q2 HERA-I data ~unshifted

New high Q² HERA-II data shifted by \sim 1.7% (less than I std.dev)

New PDF fit performed: can be thought of as a 'stepping-stone' towards HERAPDF2.0

$$
xg(x) = A_g x^{B_g} (1-x)^{C_g} - A'_g x^{B'_g} (1-x)^{25},
$$

\n
$$
xu_v(x) = A_{u_v} x^{B_{u_v}} (1-x)^{C_{u_v}} (1 + E_{u_v} x^2),
$$

\n
$$
xd_v(x) = A_{d_v} x^{B_{d_v}} (1-x)^{C_{d_v}},
$$

\n
$$
x\overline{U}(x) = A_{\overline{U}} x^{B_{\overline{U}}} (1-x)^{C_{\overline{U}}},
$$

\n
$$
x\overline{D}(x) = A_{\overline{D}} x^{B_{\overline{D}}} (1-x)^{C_{\overline{D}}}.
$$

\n
$$
x\overline{D}(x) = A_{\overline{D}} x^{B_{\overline{D}}} (1-x)^{C_{\overline{D}}}.
$$

\n
$$
x\overline{D}(x) = A_{\overline{D}} x^{B_{\overline{D}}} (1-x)^{C_{\overline{D}}}.
$$

 $\sf I$ 3 parameter fit: additional flexibility given to u_v and d_v compared to <code>HIPDF2009</code> / <code>HERAPDFI.0</code>

Apply momentum/counting sum rules: Farameter constraints:

\n $\int_{0}^{1} dx \cdot (xu_{v} + xd_{v} + x\overline{U} + x\overline{D} + xg) = 1$ \n	\n $\int_{0}^{1} dx \cdot (xu_{v} + xd_{v} + x\overline{U} + x\overline{D} + xg) = 1$ \n	\n $\int_{0}^{1} dx \cdot u_{v} = 2$ \n	\n $\int_{0}^{1} dx \cdot d_{v} = 1$ \n	\n $\int_{0}^{1} dx \cdot d_{v} = 1$ \n	\n $\int_{0}^{1} dx \cdot d_{v} = 1$ \n	\n $\int_{0}^{1} dx \cdot d_{v} = 1$ \n	\n $\int_{0}^{1} dx \cdot d_{v} = 1$ \n	\n $\int_{0}^{1} dx \cdot d_{v} = 1$ \n	\n $\int_{0}^{1} dx \cdot d_{v} = 1$ \n	\n $\int_{0}^{1} dx \cdot d_{v} = 1$ \n	\n $\int_{0}^{1} dx \cdot d_{v} = 1$ \n	\n $\int_{0}^{1} dx \cdot d_{v} = 1$ \n
--	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Parameter constraints: $f_s = sbar/Dbar$ The NC reduced cross sections in the phase-space of $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{D}$

 $B_{\text{Ubar}} = B_{\text{Dbar}}$ are $O^2 > 3.5 \text{ GeV}^2$ $Ubar = Dbar$ at $x=0$
The second with P_{λ} is the substantial functions P_{λ} n/counting sum rules: $\qquad \qquad$ Parameter constraints: $\qquad \qquad Q_0{}^2$ = 1.9 GeV² (below $m_c)$ Q^2 > 3.5 GeV² $(2 \times 10^{-4} < x < 0.65)$
an at $x=0$ FIERAPDF1.0
 $Q_0^2 = 1.9 \text{ GeV}^2$ (below m_c)
 $Q^2 > 3.5 \text{ GeV}^2$
 $2 \times 10^{-4} \le x \le 0.65$

Fits performed using RT-VFNS
 \therefore
 \therefore a fits

meter fit & Q_0^2 variations

mean of replicas \neq central fit
 $\frac{m_i^2 + \delta$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{E}}$ and R data sections sections for the L and R data sets are very different for all \mathcal{E}

Experimental uncertainties produced using RMS spread of 400 Experimental uncertainties produced using it is spread of 400 replicants
Parameterisation uncertainty determined from envelope of 14 parameter fit & Q_0^2 variations sections are presented in tables 21 and 22. However, these cross sections are redundant with those Experimental uncertainties produced using RMS spread of 400 replica fits sensitivity to the beam polarisation is small. Therefore the left and right handed polarised data sets Error band is applied to central value fit \Rightarrow asymmetric errors since mean of replicas \neq central fit m^c = 1.4 GeV and beauty, m^b = 4.75 GeV are chosen following [84]. The strong coupling cxperimental uncertain
Peremeterisation uncer The internation which is minimiped the MINUIT of the MINUIT parameter in $\alpha \vee 0$ variation

$$
\chi^2 = \sum_i \frac{\left[\mu_i - m_i \left(1 - \sum_j \gamma_j^i b_j\right)\right]^2}{\delta_{i,\text{unc}}^2 m_i^2 + \delta_{i,\text{stat}}^2 \mu_i m_i \left(1 - \sum_j \gamma_j^i b_j\right)} + \sum_j b_j^2 + \sum_i \ln \frac{\delta_{i,\text{unc}}^2 m_i^2 + \delta_{i,\text{stat}}^2 \mu_i m_i}{\delta_{i,\text{unc}}^2 \mu_i^2 + \delta_{i,\text{stat}}^2 \mu_i^2}
$$

modified χ^2 definition includes In term to account for likelihood transition to χ^2 after error scaling modified χ^2 definition includes In term to account for likelihood transition to χ^2 after error scaling

Eram Rizvi **PDF4LHC - CERN - Oct. 2012** sections, as they are redundant. T_{total} and use statistical and uncorrelated systematic uncertainty $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ \overline{D} definition takes into account that the quoted uncertainties are based on measured cross sections, secti

(middle) and the parametrisation variation (outer). All uncertainties are added in quadrature.

 $\frac{f}{f}$ $\frac{f}{f}$ $\frac{f}{f}$ = 18. Parton functions of $\frac{f}{f}$ and $\frac{f}{f}$ a $\frac{1}{2}$ are scale plot (left) are scale plot (left) are scaled by a factor 0.05 . The PDFs with figure also with fig χ^2 /ndf = 1570/1461 = 1.07

PDF4LHC - CERN - Oct. 2012

H1 Collaboration

Cc

wit

Lar

rar

Imp

Err

Hig

vio Comparison of PDF uncertainties from H1 fits with and without new HERA-II data

Large improvement in xd_v and xD over wide x range - driven by more precise CC e⁺p data

Improvement in xu_v from NC at high x . Error reduction at low x arises from sum rules

High x gluon is also improved from scaling violations

Compendium for HERAPDF

HERAPDF1.0

Combine NC and CC HERA-I data from H1 & ZEUS Complete MSbar NLO fit NLO: standard parameterisation with 10 parameters $\alpha_s = 0.1176$ (fixed in fit)

HERAPDF1.5

Include additional NC and CC HERA-II data Complete MSbar NLO and NNLO fit NLO: standard parameterisation with 10 parameters

HERAPDF1.5f NNLO: extended fit with 14 parameters

HERAPDF1.6 Include additional NC inclusive jet data $5 < Q^2 < 15000$ Complete MSbar NLO fit NLO: standard parameterisation with 14 parameters $\alpha_s = 0.1202 \pm 0.0013$ (exp) ± 0.004 (scales) free in fit

HERAPDF1.7

Include 41 additional F_2^{cc} data 4 < Q^2 < 1000 Include 224 combined cross section points $E_p = 575/460$ GeV Complete MSbar NLO fit NLO: standard parameterisation with 14 parameters

HERAPDF2.0

Include final:

HERA-I low/medium Q² precision F₂ HERA-II high Q² polarised NC/CC data HERA-II low/medium energy NC data

HERA-I+II F_{2cc} combined data - almost ready HERA-I+II multijet data - awaiting H1 publication

Combined F_2^{cc} now at 2^{nd} stage of internal review

Expect journal submission \sim early Nov.

Final structure function measurements from H1 / ZEUS now published Combination of the data is underway New combination will include: HERA-I published data HERA-II published data low/medium energy $E_p = 575/460$ GeV run data

Expect several fits: NLO vs NNLO NLO will be: inclusive NC/CC data & inclusive + F_2^{cc} (+ jets?) Include fit to α_s MC method for experimental errors will be used

Timescale ~ spring 2013 (DIS workshop?)

- H1 / ZEUS completed their final SF measurements
- New HERA-II data provide tighter constraints at high \times / Q^2
- These data provide some of the most stringent constraints on PDFs
- Stress-test of QCD over 4 orders of mag. in Q^2
- DGLAP evolution works very well
- HERA data provide a self-consistent data set for complete flavour decomposition of the proton
- New combination of HERA data underway
- Combination \Rightarrow HERAPDF2.0 QCD fit

 $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n]$ in $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n]$ in $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n]$

 \sim Table 10. Results of the H1PDF 2012 fit. For each data set the number of data points are given, along with \sim

normalisations from H1PDF 2012

Low Q^2 data shifted by -0.7% HERA-1 high Q^2 by -0.3% HERA-II high Q^2 by $+2$ to $+4\%$

All shifts are <1.3 std.devs

HERAPDF

HERAPDF1.0

Combine NC and CC HERA-I data from H1 & ZEUS Complete MSbar NLO fit NLO: standard parameterisation with 10 parameters $\alpha_s = 0.1176$ (fixed in fit)

desy-09-158

and the *u*-type and distributions $\overline{\text{HERAPDF1.5}}$

*x <i>f(x***) +** *x* + *Ax*^{*B*(1 − 1}) + *Ax*

Include additional NC and CC HERA-II data to zero (this leaves 9 parameters 9 parameters 9 parameters free) and the fit procedure, one at the fit procedure, one a time the standard parameterisation with 10 parameters and **D** and **B** and **E** and **E** and $\frac{1}{2}$ **D** and $\frac{$ HERAPDF1.5f NNLO: extended fit with 14 parameters **E** parameters are the state and the time, then added, one at a time, the 11 parameter fit. The 11 parameter fit
The 11 parameter fit. The 11 parameter fit. The 11 parameter fit. The 11 parameter fit. The 11 parameter fit. $\rule{1em}{0.5em}$ $\rule{1em}{0.5em$ The 10 parameter fit, selected as the central fit, has a good $\frac{1}{2}$

^C(1 + #

√*x* + *Dx* + *Ex*²

$$
xf(x,Q_0^2) = A \cdot x^B \cdot (1-x)^C \cdot (1+Dx+Ex^2)
$$

HI-10-142 / ZEUS-prel-10-018
10²

$$
xg \qquad xg \qquad xg \qquad xg(x) = A_g x^{B_g} (1-x)^{C_g},
$$

\n
$$
xu_v \qquad xU = xu + xc \qquad xu_v(x) = A_{u_v} x^{B_{u_v}} (1-x)^{C_{u_v}} (1 + E_{u_v} x^2),
$$

\n
$$
x\overline{U} = x\overline{u} + x\overline{c} \qquad x\overline{U}(x) = A_{\overline{U}} x^{B_{\overline{U}}} (1-x)^{C_{\overline{U}}},
$$

\n
$$
x\overline{D} = x\overline{d} + x\overline{s} \qquad x\overline{D}(x) = A_{\overline{D}} x^{B_{\overline{D}}} (1-x)^{C_{\overline{D}}},
$$

\n
$$
x\overline{D} = x\overline{d} + x\overline{s} \qquad x\overline{D}(x) = A_{\overline{D}} x^{B_{\overline{D}}} (1-x)^{C_{\overline{D}}}.
$$

 $\overline{\Omega}$ strange see is a fixed fraction f of \overline{D} at Ω ² ν suralige sears a fixed if action f_s or D at $Q\theta^2$ $x\overline{s} = f_s x D$ strange sea is a fixed fraction f_s of \overline{D} at $Q_o{}^2$

Apply momentum/counting sum rules:

$$
\int_{0}^{1} dx \cdot (xu_{v} + xd_{v} + x\overline{U} + x\overline{D} + xg) = 1
$$

$$
\int_{0}^{1} dx \cdot u_{v} = 2 \qquad \int_{0}^{1} dx \cdot d_{v} = 1
$$

Parameter constraints: $B_{\text{uv}} = B_{\text{dv}}$ $B_{Ubar} = B_{Dbar}$ sea = $2 \times$ (Ubar +Dbar) Ubar = Dbar at $x=0$

⁴The largest decrease in ^χ² is [∆]χ² ⁼ [−]5, for ^a fit which has *xd*^v < *xd*¯ at large *^x*. Q^2 > 3.5 GeV² Q_0^2 = 1.9 GeV² (below m_c) $2 \times 10^{-4} < x < 0.65$ Fits performed using RT-VFNS

Eram Rizvi PDF4LHC - CERN - Oct. 2012 27

HERAPDF1.0 central values:

		$\bm{\mathcal{B}}$	\mathcal{C}	E
xq	6.8	0.22	9.0	
xu_v	3.7	0.67	4.7	9.7
xd_v	2.2	0.67	4.3	
xU	0.113	-0.165	2.6	
xD	0.163	-0.165	2.4	

 χ^2 /ndf = 574/582 $\frac{1}{2}$: Central values of the HERAPDF1.0 parameters. Experimental systematic sources of uncertainty allowed to float in fit zxpermiental systematic sources or uncertainty:
Include model assumptions into uncertainty: f_s , m_c , m_b , Q^2 ₀, Q^2 _{min}

A B C E

*x*g 6.8 0.22 9.0

xu^v 3.7 0.67 4.7 9.7

Variation | Standard Value | Lower Limit | Upper Limit *f^s* 0.31 0.23 0.38 *m_c* [GeV] 1.4 1.35^(*a*) 1.65 *m_b* [GeV] 4.75 4.3 5.0 Q_{min}^2 [GeV²] $\Big|$ 3.5 $\Big|$ 2.5 5.0 Q_0^2 [GeV²] 1.9 1.5^(*b*) 2.5^(*c*,*d*) $(a)Q_0^2 = 1.8$ (*c*) $(c)_{m_c} = 1.6$ $(b) f_s = 0.29$ (*d*) $f_s = 0.34$

Excellent consistency of input data allow standard *x*-independent fraction \overline{f} at $\overline{$ $\Delta v^2 = 1$

 $\Delta \chi^2$ = 1 production [45,53]. The further constraint *AU*¯ = *AD*¯ (1 − *fs*), together with the requirement

Exclusive jet data required for free α_s fit See talk of Krzysztof Nowak Γ radiusing interdets no quine defense and Γ

do *B*_d are also set equal, but the set of the parameter fit: α considered. The considered in the parameters are given in Table 3. The parameters are given in Table 3. The α allow more flexible gluon and variations. Such as $\sum_{i=1}^R$ of $\sum_{i=1}^R$ of $\sum_{i=1}^R$ for $\sum_{i=1$ $xg(x,Q_0^2) = A \cdot x^B \cdot (1-x)^C - A' \cdot x^{B'} \cdot (1-x)^{25}$ In 14 parameter fit: release $B_{uv} = B_{dv}$ constraint a single parameter for the sea distributions. The sea of the sea of the strange quark distribution is expressed as $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ $xg(x,\mathcal{Q}_0)$

allows for valence-like or negative gluon at O_0^2 strangeness fraction increases as *Q*² α value α is α is α is α α α β allows for valence-like or negative gluon at Qo^2 is chosen to be consistent with determinations of this fractions of this fraction using neutrino-induced di-muon

10⁻⁴ 10³ **10**² **10**¹

0.2

xS (! **0.05)**

xg (! **0.05)**

0.4

Jet data bring significant sensitivity to α_s Disentangles correlation between $xg(x,Q^2)$ and α_s

HERAPDF1.6 : Simultaneous NLO QCD fit to

- combined NC inclusive cross section data
- combined CC inclusive cross sections data
- normalised H1/ZEUS inclusive jet data

 $\alpha_S(M_Z) = 0.1202 \pm 0.0013$ (exp) *±* 0*.*0007 (model) *±* 0*.*0012 (hadronisation) $^{+0.0045}_{-0.0036}$ (scales)

Only combined PDF / α_s fit on the market

H1 and ZEUS (prel.)

 \mathbf{x}^{-1}

 $\frac{0}{10^{-4}}$

xS (! **0.05)**

xg (! **0.05)**

0.2

HERAPDF Structure Function Working Group March 2011

xdv

High Q2 NC Multi-jets

H1prelim-11-032

New H1 measurement of inclusive, dijet and trijet rates First measurement of double diff'l trijet cross section Significantly reduced systematic errors 1% hadronic scale uncertainty For now - unnormalised cross sections...

> Jets in Breit frame: $5 < P_T < 50$ GeV M_{12} > 16 GeV

Greater sensitivity to α_s with more jets High Q² and large jet $P_T \Rightarrow$ multi-scale QCD problem Good description in NLO (worse for di-jets at low $\langle P_T \rangle$...)

NLO calculation
$$
\mu_R = \mu_F = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(Q^2 + P_T^2)}
$$

scales varied by factors of 2 for uncertainty

High Q2 NC Multi-jets

H1prelim-11-032

Di-jet rates in reasonable agreement \sim 10% discrepancy at low \leq P_T $>$ ~10% aiscrepancy at 10w **<r**7>
Data want smaller α_s or smaller xg ?

PDF uncertainty from CT10 error propagation *2* $\frac{1}{2}$ $\$ Extract α_s independently for each jet data set in NLO PDF uncertainty from CT10 error propagation

Inclusive jets:

$$
\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1190 \pm 0.0021 \, (exp.) \pm 0.0020 \, (pdf)^{+0.0050}_{-0.0056}(th.)
$$

Dijets:

 $\alpha_s(M_Z)$ =0.1146±0.0022(*exp*.)±0.0021(*pdf*)^{+0.0044}(*th.*)

-'

 $\alpha_s(M_Z)$ = 0.1196 ± 0.0016 (*exp.*) ± 0.0010 (*pdf*)^{+0.0055} (*th.*)

Achieved ~1% experimental precision on αs Theoretical uncertainty (scales) dominate ~4% PDF uncertainty ~1% \mathbf{r}

To come: Use of normalised cross sections cancellation of systematic uncertainties \rightarrow reduced error for α_s

> [GeV] ^T <P