# **Diffraction Radiation test at Cornell**

<u>L. Bobb<sup>1, 2</sup>, T. Aumeyr<sup>1</sup>, M. Billing<sup>3</sup>, E. Bravin<sup>2</sup>, P. Karataev<sup>1</sup>, T. Lefevre<sup>2</sup>, S. Mazzoni<sup>2</sup>, H. Schmickler<sup>2</sup></u>

- 1. John Adams Institute at Royal Holloway, Egham, Surrey, United Kingdom
- 2. CERN European Organisation for Nuclear Research, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland
- 3. Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA



#### **Goals of Phase 1 test:**

To test all hardware designed and assembled at CERN:

- Installation of the ODR tank
- Control of target mechanism and replacement chamber mechanism
- Image acquisition using optical system

To establish a procedure to align the electron beam to pass through the dummy target aperture.

#### **Dummy Target**



Aperture sizes: 2 mm and 4 mm (etched)

Material: Stainless steel

Note: This dummy target was not polished





Technical drawings by N. Chritin Images by Y. Li



L. Bobb, 30th January 2013, CLIC Workshop

#### Vacuum chamber assembly



#### *Technical drawings by N. Chritin Simulations by A. Nosych*







H-field surface tang complex magnitude (Loss map) Mode Fr = 1.19 GHz, Q = 3309, Ploss = 0.075 W



Total power loss for single bunch = 0.6 W

#### Vacuum chamber assembly cont'd







Images taken during assembly at CERN and current testing at Cornell.











L. Bobb, 30th January 2013, CLIC Workshop

## **Method of Operation**

- 1. Alignment of the electron beam with the target aperture:
  - BPMs for centering
  - Target imaging to look for OTR from beam halo
  - Correlate with BLMs:





### Method of Operation cont'd

To find the position of the aperture centre:

1. Rotate the target such that the aperture is in the horizontal plane. (Centre of rotation at aperture centre).



- 3. Record the vertical position BLMs pick up beam scattering.
- 4. Repeat steps 2 and 3 starting with the beam below the target.
- 5. Take the average of the 2 recorded positions as the aperture centre.

## Results

Beam lifetime much shorter than expected (approx. 2-3 mins)

Start-point camera acquisition details: 12bit camera exposure time: 15 ms (approx. 5860 turns) camera gain: 10 Intensifier: open Intensifier gain: 2V

target slit size [mm]	vertical beam size [µm]	beam lifetime [min]
0.1	9.2	2.40
0.5	30	60 (max)
	50	2.22
1.0	50	60 (max)

1mA single bunch (injection 7; 2.1 GeV)

2 mA 10 bunches (injection 8; 2.1 GeV)



#### **Results cont'd**

- Investigation into the shorter than expected beam lifetime is on-going:
  - xBSM was not available during our machine studies at CESR. Before breaking vacuum for installation  $\sigma_y \sim 50 \ \mu m$ .
  - Vertical beam scraper results from Cornell have indicated the beam size was much larger than expected possibly due to beam-gas scattering from breaking vacuum during installation.



# Outlook

- Target metrology
- Redesign of target holder to prevent drooping
- Survey of L3 straight section
- From the location of SR relative to the TR + DR fringes observed we can improve our set-up for the next experimental test e.g.
  - Since intensity scales with the reflection coefficient of the target, to use a matt surface over the SR region and a polished surface around the 2mm aperture.
- Select the best wavelength to intensity and visibility (I<sub>min</sub>/I<sub>max</sub>) compromise for beam size measurements.
- Quantitative analysis of images acquired from December test- in particular the ratio of SR to TR+DR.



#### Outlook cont'd



#### Conclusion

- ODR tank, optical system, mechanisms and controls were all installed and tested successfully.
- Using information provided by beam lifetime, BPMs, BLMs and target imaging diagnostics allowed we could establish an alignment procedure.
- Electron beam passed through both the 2 mm and 4 mm apertures as required.
- Work is on-going for combining the analytical equations of DR with the physical optics of Zemax.
- Next step is to prepare for April 2013 test to measure beam size  $\sigma_{v}$ .

## Acknowledgements



CERN: A. Apyan, S. Burger, N. Chritin, A. Jeff, O.R. Jones, A. Nosych, S. Vulliez

#### Cornell:

J. Barley, J. Conway, J. Lanzoni, Y. Li, T. O'Connell, M. Palmer, D. Rice, D. Rubin, J. Sexton, J. Shanks, C. Strohman, S. Wang

Members of CERN main workshop, BI workshop and CESR

#### BE-BI/PM





Thank you for your attention

Questions?

Extra slides

\*\*

### **Higher Order Modes (HOMs)**

E-field + B-field magnitude of a single bunch pass in time domain (Gaussian bunch, length = [-4 $\sigma$ ,4 $\sigma$ ],  $\sigma$  = 10mm)



#### Fork OUT, Chamber IN



H-field surface tang complex magnitude (Loss map)





Main requirement:

Non- invasive measurement

#### Feasibility of a ring beam size monitor

- LHC also has  $\gamma \approx 4000$  (@ E = 4000 GeV)
- Using proton beam:

DR intensity norm. to  $TR_{max}$ 

- Reduced SR background
- Larger beam size



# **Optical Diffraction Radiation Interference (ODRI)**



#### **Phase 2: Micron-scale resolution**

- DR at soft X-ray wavelengths:
  - More complex optical system.
  - Grazing target tilt angle
- Aperture size determined by impact parameter for given wavelength



Must use shorter wavelengths

Micron-scale resolution

Main requirement:

Sensitivity @50 nm  $\approx$  2x sensitivity @200 nm

- There are 2 stepping motors:
  - 1x linear movement of the fork
  - o 1x angular movement of the fork
- There is 1 DC (+24V) motor that drives a linear movement for the replacement chamber
- The linear (stepping) movement of the fork and the linear (DC) movement of the replacement chamber have to be interlocked one with respect to the other to avoid collision (see next slide)
- Cabling of stepping motors has to be adapted to available driver (unipolar/bipolar) (tests @ CERN were performed with bipolar driver and cabling)



Hardware INTERLOCK logic: ODR fork can not move if replacement chamber not OUT Replacement chamber can not move if ODR fork not OUT

Interlock ODR movemented, 30th January 2013, CLIC workship CERN screen instrumentation 'standard' driver)

Stephane.burger@cern.ch

