Identified Charged Hadron Spectra and Ratios in Au+Au and d+Au Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$

Ron Belmont University of Michigan for the PHENIX Collaboration (also Wayne State University)


July 22nd, 2013





Introduction	Motivation	Results	
PHENIX			

- Central arms: hadrons, photons, electrons
- Muon arms: muons
- New for 2011: VTX
- New for 2012: FVTX
- VTX and FVTX give good c/b separation



Introduction	Motivation	Results	
What is and	isn't in this talk		

Things NOT in this talk

- Heavy Flavor—See talk by D. Jouan for overview of recent PHENIX heavy flavor results! Tomorrow, 23 July, at 10am (Plenary 4)
- Lower energy—See talk by Y. Ikeda for recent PHENIX results from the beam energy scan! Friday, 26 July, at 11am (Plenary 11)

What I'm focusing on in this talk


- Identified charged hadrons $\pi/K/p$ from recent PHENIX paper arXiv:1304.3410
- $\bullet\,$ I'll also use some previously published PHENIX data on the π^0 and the ϕ meson

Motivation

Results

Summary

Baryon vs. meson production




- R_{AA} of unidentified hadrons and π^0 shows factor of 5(!) suppression
- *R_{CP}* shows no suppression of baryons?
- Heavy meson φ has similar mass to proton (1.019 GeV/c² cf 0.938 GeV/c²) but similar suppression to pion—not a mass effect

Results

Summary

Baryon vs. meson production



- Baryon production significantly enhanced relative to meson production
- Hadronization by string fragmentation yields similar baryon/meson ratios in p+p and Au+Au
- Hadronization by parton recombination may explain this enhancement (also explains quark number scaling found in elliptic flow data)


Motivation

Results

Summary

Cold nuclear matter effects

- In addition to effects from the QGP, there are initial state effects caused by the cold nuclear matter
- Some models proposed particle suppression at RHIC could be from initial state effects, but the data show Cronin enhancement
- Cronin enhancement: enhancement of particle yield at intermediate p_T in p+A collisions relative to p+p
- Unidentified hadrons show greater enhancement than neutral pions...




PHENIX, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 072303 (2003)

Introduction Motivation Results Summary

Cold nuclear matter effects

- Strong particle species dependence for Cronin enhancement
- Most models of the Cronin enhancement rely on initial state effects like multiple parton rescatterings—no particle species dependence
- Recombination model applied to d+Au uses final state effect in cold nuclear matter, greater Cronin enhancement for baryons than for mesons—discussed in Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 082302 (2004) by R.C. Hwa and C.B. Yang
- Soft partons at low x can take place of thermal partons in hot nuclear matter, so recombination may make sense here




PHENIX, Phys. Rev. C91, 024904 (2006)

Motivatior

Results

Summary

Pion spectra




- New PHENIX results, arXiv:1304.3410
- Au+Au up to 6 GeV/c and d+Au up to 5 GeV/c

Results

Summary

Kaon spectra




- New PHENIX results, arXiv:1304.3410
- Au+Au up to 4 GeV/c and d+Au up to 3.5 GeV/c

Results


Summary

Proton spectra




- New PHENIX results, arXiv:1304.3410
- Au+Au up to 6 GeV/c and d+Au up to 5 GeV/c

Introduction Motivation Results Summary Ratio K/π in Au+Au



- No difference between charges (K⁻/K⁺ and π⁻/π⁺ are flat)
- Ratios rise steadily over the whole available p_T range, although expected to turn over and decrease at some point
- Overall level rises with centrality—indicative of strangeness enhancement
- Ratios rise more quickly in Au+Au than in p+p up to about 2 GeV/c—may give insight into strangeness production mechanism


Introduction Motivation Results Summary Ratio K/π in d+Au



- No difference between charges
 (K⁻/K⁺ and π⁻/π⁺ are flat)
- As with Au+Au, ratios rise steadily over the whole available p_T range
- No centrality dependence and no difference from ratio in p+p
- d+Au seems to be missing the additional strangeness production mechanism present in Au+Au

Results

Ratio p/π in Au+Au




- Identical centrality dependence and p_T shapes $(\bar{p}/p \text{ and } \pi^-/\pi^+ \text{ are flat})$
- Attempts to explain baryon enhancement as due to strong flow cannot reproduce the strong centrality dependence
- Ratio rises quickly, reaches maximum at about 2.5 GeV/c in the most central collisions, then falls off slowly—the maximum appears to shift to lower p_T as the collisions become more peripheral

Motivation

Results

Summary


Ratio p/π in d+Au



- Identical centrality dependence and p_T shapes $(\bar{p}/p \text{ and } \pi^-/\pi^+ \text{ are flat})$
- Ratio rises quickly, reaches maximum at about 2.0 GeV/c, then falls off slowly
- Strong centrality dependence (consider small range of N_{part} and N_{coll} values)—what causes this?


- Centrality dependence of K/π in Au+Au is consistent with strangeness enhancement
 - The detailed p_T dependence may shed light on the strangeness production mechanism
 - The K/π ratio in d+Au is centrality independent and consistent with the ratio in p+p, in contrast to Au+Au
- The p/π ratio exhibits strong centrality dependence in both Au+Au and d+Au
 - The enhancement of p/π in Au+Au and d+Au relative to p+p cannot be attributed to flow alone
 - The centrality dependence of p/π in Au+Au is straightforward to understand based on the system size, what about d+Au?

Nuclear modification factor R_{CP} in Au+Au



- All particles show a "bump", a rise then a fall—the proton bump is larger and at a higher p_T than that of the mesons
- The kaon bump is higher than the pion but in the same place; the enhancement relative to the pion is decreased for 0–10%/40-60% relative to 0–10%/60–92%


Nuclear modification factor R_{AA} for different centralities



• The kaon and pion are most separated in the most central

- ${\ensuremath{\bullet}}$ The ϕ seems to stay in between the kaon and the proton
- The proton shows little or no centrality dependence

Nuclear modification factor R_{dA} for different centralities



- The charged pions and kaons are consistent with each other
- The ϕ meson exhibits minimal modification to higher p_T like the π^0
- All four mesons consistent while protons strikingly different with strong centrality dependence

What did we learn from the nuclear modification factors?

- Two main things to consider—strangeness and baryon production
- The additional strangeness production mechanism present in Au+Au is absent in d+Au
 - Kaon R_{AA} is above pion R_{AA} and the difference varies with centrality, and the ϕR_{AA} is in between kaons and protons
 - The R_{dA} of pions, kaons, and ϕ are all consistent with each other
 - The K/π ratios tell a similar story
- Both Au+Au and d+Au have significant baryon enhancement
 - The enhancement in d+Au has no dependence on mass or strangeness, but strong dependence on type (baryon vs meson)
 - The enhancement in both systems is strongly centrality dependent, as seen in the p/π ratios as well as the R_{dA}

Motivation

Results


Summary

Peripheral Au+Au and central d+Au

Centrality	$\langle N_{coll} \rangle$	$\langle N_{part} \rangle$
Au+Au		
60-92%	14.8 ± 3.0	$\textbf{14.7} \pm \textbf{2.9}$
d+Au		
0-20%	$\textbf{15.1} \pm \textbf{1.0}$	$\textbf{15.3} \pm \textbf{0.8}$

- Peripheral Au+Au and central d+Au have the same N_{coll}
- Peripheral Au+Au and central d+Au have the same N_{part}
- The N_{coll} ratio is 1.02 \pm 0.22, the N_{part} ratio is 1.04 \pm 0.21
- As an added bonus, all 4 of these numbers are consistent within uncertainties


Introduction Motivation Results S K/π and p/π in peripheral Au+Au and central d+Au



PHENIX, arXiv:1304.3410


Both height and shape are identical for peripheral Au+Au and central d+Au

Ratio of yields in peripheral Au+Au to central d+Au



- No scaling applied, *N_{coll}* and *N_{part}* have very similar values
- Flat in p_T above 2.5–3.0 GeV/c with no species dependence
- Upward trend at low p_T with possible mass ordering
- Which physics effects cancel out and which ones are at play? Rapidity shift? Cronin? Flow? Baryon enhancement? nPDFs?

Ratio of yields in peripheral Au+Au to central d+Au



- No scaling applied, *N_{coll}* and *N_{part}* have very similar values
- Flat in p_T above 2.5–3.0 GeV/c with no species dependence
- Upward trend at low p_T with possible mass ordering
- Which physics effects cancel out and which ones are at play? Rapidity shift? Cronin? Flow? Baryon enhancement? nPDFs?

Motivation What did we learn from this comparison?

- Identical K/π and p/π ratios suggest common mechanisms for strangeness and baryon production in the two systems
- Direct ratio of spectra is flat and independent of species above 2.5 GeV/c
 - Baryon enhancement is quantitatively the same—this is further evidence that the mechanism is the same in both systems

Results

- Ratio is significantly less than unity—suggests energy loss for all species in peripheral Au+Au
- The ϕ data don't have enough precision for this measurement, but the kaons seem to suggest that any possible strangeness effects also cancel
- Remarkable similarities between peripheral Au+Au and central d+Au suggest other asymmetric collision species could reveal some very interesting physics
 - Should see rapidity shift
 - nPDFs will be different
 - Strangeness effects may come into play
 - Cu+Au in Run12! ³He+Au planned for Run15! How about Si+Au?

Introduction	Motivation	Results	Summary
Summary			

- Strangeness enhancement in Au+Au but not in d+Au
 - R_{AA} of phi is above kaon, which is above pion
 - K/π ratio in Au+Au show centrality dependent enhancement
 - R_{dA} of strange and non-strange mesons consistent with each other
 - K/π ratio in d+Au has no centrality dependence and is consistent with p+p
- Baryon enhancement in both Au+Au and d+Au
 - p/π ratios have strong centrality dependence in both systems
 - R_{dA} of protons has strong centrality dependence
- Striking similarities between peripheral Au+Au and central d+Au
 - Identical K/π and p/π ratios
 - Direct ratio of spectra is independent of particle species
- Further theoretical investigation and comparison to these precision data is warranted! Viscous hydro, recombination, baryon junctions, color field effects, etc...

PH ENIX Universidade de São Paulo, Instituto de Física, Caixa Postal 66318, São Paulo CEP05315-970, Brazil China Institute of Atomic Energy (CIAE), Beijing, People's Republic of China Peking University, Beijing, People's Republic of China Charles University, Ovocnyth 5, Praha 1, 116 36, Prague, Czech Republic Czech Technical University, Zikova 4, 166 36 Prague 6, Czech Republic Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Na Slovance 2, 182 21 Prague 8, Czech Republic Helsinki Institute of Physics and University of Jvyäskylä, P.O.Box 35, FI-40014 Jvyäskylä, Finland Dapnia, CEA Saclay, F-91191, Gif-sur-Yvette, France Laboratoire Leorince-Rinquet, Ecole Polytechnique, CNRS-IN2P3, Route de Saclay, F-91128, Palaiseau, France Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire (LPC), Université Blaise Pascal, CNRS-IN2P3, Clermont-Fd, 63177 Aubiere Cedex, France IPN-Orsay, Universite Paris Sud, CNRS-IN2P3, BP1, F-91406, Orsay, France Debrecen University, H-4010 Debrecen, Equetem tér 1, Hungary ELTE, Eötvös Loránd University, H - 1117 Budapest, Pázmány P. s. 1/A, Hungary KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (MTA KFKI RMK H-1525 Budapest 114, POBox 49, Budapest, Hundary Department of Physics, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 221005, India Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Bombay 400 085, India Weizmann Institute, Rehovot 76100, Israel Center for Nuclear Study, Graduate School of Science, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-0033 Janan Hiroshima University Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, 2-4 Shirakata Shirane, Tokai-mura, Naka-gun, Ibaraki-ken 319-1195, Japan KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan Kvoto University, Kvoto 606-8502, Japan Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki-shi, Nagasaki 851-0193, Japan RIKEN. The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan Physics Department, Rikkvo University, 3-34-1 Nishi-Ikebukuro, Toshima, Tokvo 171-8501, Japan Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Oh-okayama, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan Institute of Physics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan IHEP Protvino, State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics. Protvino, 142281, Russia INR RAS, Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences, prospekt 60-letiya Oktyabrya 7a, Moscow 117312, Russia Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia, Russian Research Center "Kurchatov Institute", Moscow, Russia PNPI Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute Gatchina Leningrad region 188300 Russia Saint Petersburg State Polytechnic University, St. Petersburg, Russia Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992 Russia Chonbuk National University, Jeoniu, South Korea Ewha Womans University, Seoul 120-750, South Korea Hanvang University, Seoul 133-792, South Korea Korea University, Seoul, 136-701, South Korea Accelerator and Medical Instrumentation Engineering Lab. SungKyunKwan University. 53 Myeongnyun-dong, 3-ga, Jongno-gu, Seoul, South Korea Myongii University, Yongin, Kyonggido 449-728, Korea Department of Physocs and Astronomy, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea Yonsei University, IPAP, Seoul 120-749, South Korea Department of Physics, Lund University, Box 118, SE-221 00 Lund, Sweden

14 countries, 73 institutions, Jan. 2013

Abilene Christian University, Abilene, TX 79699, U.S. Department of Physics Augustana College, Sigux Falls, SD 57197 Baruch College, CUNY, New York City, NY 10010-5518, U.S. Collider-Accelerator Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973-5000, U.S. Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973-5000, U.S. University of California - Riverside, Riverside, CA 92521, U.S. University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, U.S. Columbia University, New York, NY 10027 and Nevis Laboratories, Irvington, NY 10533, U.S. Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, FL 32901, U.S. Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306, U.S. Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA 30303, U.S. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, U.S. Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, U.S. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550, U.S. Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, U.S. University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, U.S. Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003-9337, U.S. Department of Physics: University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1040 Morgan State University, Baltimore, MD 21251, U.S. Muhlenberg College, Allentown, PA 18104-5586, U.S. University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131, U.S. New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, NM 88003, U.S. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, U.S. Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701, U.S. RIKEN BNL Research Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973-5000, U.S. Chemistry Department, Stony Brook University, SUNY, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3400, U.S. Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, SUNY, Stony Brook, NY 11794, U.S. University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, U.S. Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235, U.S.


Introduction	Motivation	Results	
Extra Material			

Extra Material

Results

Summary

Our good friends in STAR




STAR, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 152301 (2006)

- STAR sees R_{CP} and p/π with very similar trends as we do
- *R_{CP}* of proton comes down and gets very close to pion, consistent within (large) uncertainties at highest *p_T*
- p/π rises quickly, falls off much more slowly than model predictions




Cronin enhancement even at 2.76 TeV, though appreciably smaller than at 200 GeV





Introduction	Motivation	Results	

R_{CP} and v_2



R. Belmont, Nucl. Phys. A830, 697c-700c (2009)

Relative change for protons to pions

	R _{CP}	<i>V</i> ₂
reco	1	\uparrow
eloss	\rightarrow	\uparrow


- Recombination dominates for p_T up to 4 GeV/c
- Fragmentation or something like it takes over at higher p_T
- At high p_T, proton R_{CP} and v₂ approach pion
- Need PID R_{AA} or R_{CP} and v₂ to higher p_T

Motivatio

Results

Summary

Ratio π^-/π^+






- π^-/π^+ ratio is independent of p_T , centrality, and collision system
- Ratio is essentially equal to unity
- Ratio decreases with increasing p_T in p+p

Results

Summary

Ratio K^-/K^+






- K^-/K^+ ratio is independent of p_T , centrality, and collision system
- Ratio is slightly less than unity (0.93)
- Ratio decreases with increasing p_T in p+p

Motivation

Results

Summary

Ratio \bar{p}/p






- \bar{p}/p ratio is independent of p_T , centrality, and collision system
- Ratio is roughly 0.73
- Ratio decreases with increasing p_T in p+p

Results

Antiparticle/particle ratios in p+p




What did we learn from antiparticle/particle ratios?

- The most boring result ever? Minimal dependence on *p*_T, centrality, and collision species...
- But the result is different in p+p collisions!
- The heuristic argument in p+p is basically isospin conservation—high p_T produced particles should have at least once valence quark from the initial state
- This favors production of π⁺(ud
), K⁺(us
), and p(uud), so all the ratios decrease with increasing p_T
- The $\pi^{-}(\bar{u}d)$ also has a valence quark in common with the initial reactants, while $K^{-}(\bar{u}s)$ and $\bar{p}(\bar{u}\bar{u}d)$ do not—thus the π^{-}/π^{+} ratio falls off more slowly
- Something similar may happen in d+Au and Au+Au, but if so the p_T regime is higher than in p+p

Results

Summary

Radial flow is important



- Radial flow is important
- Hadron spectra and ratios reflect the interplay of many important and disparate phenomena