



$H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ Searches in ATLAS



Ricardo Gonalo – Royal Holloway
Chicago 2012 Workshop of LHC Physics in the Higgs Era

*University of Chicago
14th November 2012*

Introduction




- The 6 billion Swiss Franc question (plus M&O): **Is it THE Higgs?**
- $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ is important to answer it!
 - Direct sensitivity to Higgs couplings to fermions
 - Largest SM Higgs BR (58% at $\approx 125\text{ GeV}$) – help constrain total width
 - Measure top Yukawa coupling directly – largest in SM
 - Challenging backgrounds: use associated production with W, Z, tt
- This talk: new VH results from 7 and 8 TeV and ttH for 7TeV

New WH/ZH analysis of 7 and 8 TeV data

Search Strategy

- Search for Higgs decaying to pair of b-quarks
 - Associated production to reduce backgrounds
- The analysis is divided into three channels
 - Two ($llbb$), one ($lvbb$) or zero ($\nu\nu bb$), ($l=e,\mu$)
- Cuts common to all channels
 - Two or three jets: 1st jet $p_T > 45$ & other jets > 20 GeV
 - Two b-tags: 70% efficiency per tag
 - c-jet rejection factor ≈ 5
 - Light-jet rejection factor ≈ 150



Two lepton

$ZH \rightarrow llbb$

- No additional leptons
- $E_{T}^{\text{miss}} < 60$ GeV
- $83 < m_Z < 99$ GeV
- Single & di-lepton trigger

One lepton

$WH \rightarrow lvbb$

- No additional leptons
- $E_{T}^{\text{miss}} > 25$ GeV
- $40 < M_T^W < 120$ GeV
- Single lepton trigger

Zero lepton

$ZH \rightarrow \{\nu\} \{\nu\} bb$

- No leptons
- $E_{T}^{\text{miss}} > 120$ GeV
- E_{T}^{miss} trigger

Analysis Overview

- Previous publication: $4.7 \text{ fb}^{-1} \sqrt{s}=7 \text{ TeV}$
<http://arxiv.org/abs/1207.0210>
- This analysis: $4.7 \text{ fb}^{-1} \sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ & $13 \text{ fb}^{-1} \sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$
 - S/B is not large, but increases as p_T^{bb} increases
 - Therefore analysis broken into different p_T bins (use p_T^V of W or Z)
 - Not yet enough $\int \text{Ldt}$ to use jet substructure techniques
- Various substantial improvements wrt previous analysis
 - The analysis is divided into 16 categories using p_T^V
 - 0-lepton: E_T^{miss} [120-160] [160-200] [>200] GeV x (2 jets or 3 jets)
 - 1 & 2 lepton: $p_T^{\text{W/Z}}$ [0-50],[50,100],[100-150],[150-200] [>200] GeV
 - Cuts are optimised for each category ($\sim 30\%$ increase in sensitivity)
 - Muon energy ($p_T > 4 \text{ GeV}$) added for b-jets ($\sim 10\%$ resolution improve/)
 - Additional $t\bar{t}$ based b-tagging calibration ($\sim 50\%$ reduction in b-tagging systematic uncertainty)

Analysis Overview

- Previous publication: $4.7 \text{ fb}^{-1} \sqrt{s}=7 \text{ TeV}$
<http://arxiv.org/abs/1207.0210>
- This analysis: $4.7 \text{ fb}^{-1} \sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ & $13 \text{ fb}^{-1} \sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$
 - S/B is not large, but increases as p_T^{bb} increases
 - Therefore analysis broken into different p_T bins (use p_T^V of W or Z)
 - Not yet enough \sqrt{s} to use jet substructure techniques
- Various substantial improvements wrt previous analysis
 - The analysis is divided into 16 categories using p_T^V
 - 0-lepton: E_T^{miss} [120-160] [160-200] [>200] GeV x (2 jets or 3 jets)
 - 1 & 2 lepton: $p_T^{\text{W/Z}}$ [0-50],[50,100],[100-150],[150-200] [>200] GeV
 - Cuts are optimised for each category ($\sim 30\%$ increase in sensitivity)
 - Muon energy ($p_T > 4 \text{ GeV}$) added for b-jets ($\sim 10\%$ resolution improve/)
 - Additional $t\bar{t}$ based b-tagging calibration ($\sim 50\%$ reduction in b-tagging systematic uncertainty)

Details of event selection

- Basic event selection:

Object	0-lepton	1-lepton	2-lepton
Leptons	0 loose leptons	1 tight lepton + 0 loose leptons	1 medium lepton + 1 loose lepton
Jets	2 b -tags $p_T^1 > 45$ GeV $p_T^2 > 20$ GeV + ≤ 1 extra jets	2 b -tags $p_T^1 > 45$ GeV $p_T^2 > 20$ GeV + 0 extra jets	2 b -tags $p_T^1 > 45$ GeV $p_T^2 > 20$ GeV -
Missing E_T	$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 120$ GeV $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 30$ GeV $\Delta\phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, p_T^{\text{miss}}) < \pi/2$ $\text{Min}[\Delta\phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, \text{jet})] > 1.5$ $\Delta\phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, b\bar{b}) > 2.8$	-	$E_T^{\text{miss}} < 60$ GeV
Vector Boson	-	$m_T^W < 120$ GeV	$83 < m_{\ell\ell} < 99$ GeV

- Tuned kinematic cuts to optimise sensitivity in each category:


0-lepton channel					
$E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}$ (GeV)	120-160		160-200		>200
$\Delta R(b, \bar{b})$	0.7-1.9		0.7-1.7		<1.5
1-lepton channel					
p_{T}^W (GeV)	0-50	50-100	100-150	150-200	>200
$\Delta R(b, \bar{b})$	>0.7			0.7-1.6	<1.4
$E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}$ (GeV)	> 25				> 50
m_{T}^W (GeV)	> 40			-	
2-lepton channel					
p_{T}^Z (GeV)	0-50	50-100	100-150	150-200	>200
$\Delta R(b, \bar{b})$	>0.7			0.7-1.8	<1.6

Backgrounds and MC




- **Signal:** WH/ZH Pythia6/8
- **Diboson:** WW/WZ/ZZ Herwig
- **Multijet:** Data driven
- **$t\bar{t}$ bar:** MC@NLO
- **Single Top:** Acer/MC@NLO
- **$W+b$:** Powheg
- **$W+c$ /light-jets:** Alpgen
- **$Z+ b/c$ /light-jets:** Alpgen/Sherpa


- Background shapes from simulation and normalised using flavour & data fit
- Multi-jet bkg determined by data-driven techniques
- WZ($Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$) & ZZ($Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$) resonant bkg normalisation and shape from simulation



Z+jets






Top/W+jets



Z+jets/W+jets/Top

Control regions:

- Pre b-tag: rich in V+light jets
- 1 b-tag: V+light, V+c, V+b etc
- Top:
 - 1-lepton: ≥ 3 -jet region;
 - 2-lepton: $m(\ell\ell)$ sidebands of m_Z



Maximum likelihood Fits

- First perform the flavour ML fit
 - Determined V+light and V+c scale factors
 - Z+c factor changes due to MC treatment

	$\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$	$\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$
Z + c-jet	1.99 ± 0.51	0.71 ± 0.23
Z+ light jet	0.91 ± 0.12	0.98 ± 0.11
W + c-jet	1.04 ± 0.23	1.04 ± 0.24
W+ light jet	1.03 ± 0.08	1.01 ± 0.14

- Improved understanding of bkg V pT

- Using the high statistics at 8 TeV we discovered that the V pT spectrum falls more rapidly in data than expected from MC ☺
- W + jets and Z + jets: 5-10 % correction required
- Top background: 15 % correction required

- Using corrections & scale factors get good MC/data agreement
- Binned profile likelihood fit to 16 signal regions & top control regions

- W+b, Z+b and top bkg are floated
- Rescaling factors from the fit ➡

- $L(\mu, \theta)$ fit to signal strength $\mu (= \sigma/\sigma_{\text{SM}})$
- Nuisance parameters θ for systematics
- CL_s used to determine limits

	$\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$	$\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$
Top	1.10 ± 0.14	1.29 ± 0.16
Z + b-jet	1.22 ± 0.20	1.11 ± 0.15
W + b-jet	1.19 ± 0.23	0.79 ± 0.20

Analysis


Event selection:

0 lepton

1 lepton



2 lepton

Data-driven corrections:
 p_T^V correction of W+jets,
 Z+jets and top



Pre-tag

top CR (3/4j)







Flavour fit (V+c, V+light):
 Use different b/c/light content to
 get correction to flavour fractions

Pre-tag


1 b-tag

2 b-tag


top CR (3/4j)



Fix Higgs to SM and
 fit to get diboson
 significance:



Fix diboson to SM and do fit to
 extract final background
 normalizations and limits:



Systematic Uncertainties

• Experimental uncertainties

b-tagging and **jet energy** dominate

- Jets: components (7 JES, 1 p_T^{Reco} , resol.)
- E_T^{miss} – scale and resolution of soft components. Data/MC for E_T^{miss} trigger
- bTagging – light, c & 6 p_T bins for b-jet efficiency
- Lepton – energy, resolution, efficiency
- Multijet / diboson / Luminosity / MC stats

• Theoretical uncertainties

- $\text{BR}(H \rightarrow b\bar{b})$ @ $m_H=125$ GeV (3.3%)
- W/Z+jet $m_{b\bar{b}}$ (20%) and V pT (5-10%)
- Single top/top normalisation (15%)
- W+c/W+jets (30%), Z+c/Z+jets (30%)
- Diboson (11%)

Uncertainties given are after full cuts (pre-fit)

Systematic [%]	0 lepton	1 lepton	2 leptons
<i>b</i> -tagging	6.5	6.0	6.9
<i>c</i> -tagging	7.3	6.4	3.6
light tagging	2.1	2.2	2.8
Jet/Pile-up/ E_T^{miss}	20	7.0	5.4
Lepton	0.0	2.1	1.8
Top modelling	2.7	4.1	0.5
<i>W</i> modelling	1.8	5.4	0.0
<i>Z</i> modelling	2.8	0.1	4.7
Diboson	0.8	0.3	0.5
Multijet	0.6	2.6	0.0
Luminosity	3.6	3.6	3.6
Statistical	8.3	3.6	6.6




Background systematics

Systematic [%]	0 lepton		1 lepton		2 leptons
	<i>ZH</i>	<i>WH</i>	<i>WH</i>	<i>ZH</i>	
<i>b</i> -tagging	8.9	9.0	8.8	8.6	
<i>c</i> -tagging	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	
light tagging	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3	
Jet/Pile-up/ E_T^{miss}	19	25	6.7	4.2	
Lepton	0.0	0.0	2.1	1.8	
$H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ BR	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	
<i>VH</i> p_T -dependence	5.3	8.1	7.6	5.0	
<i>VH</i> theory PDF	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	
<i>VH</i> theory scale	1.6	0.4	0.4	1.6	
Luminosity	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	




Signal systematics

M_{bb} distribution (0-lepton, 8TeV)

2-jet categories



3-jet categories




$120 < E_T^{\text{miss}} < 160 \text{ GeV}$






$160 < E_T^{\text{miss}} < 200 \text{ GeV}$

$160 < E_T^{\text{miss}} < 200 \text{ GeV}$

M_{bb} distribution (1-lepton, 8TeV)



M_{bb} distribution (2-lepton, 8TeV)



$150 < p_T^Z < 200 \text{ GeV}$

$p_T^Z > 200 \text{ GeV}$

Results: Exp. S+B & Obs. events


8TeV analysis:

Bin	0-lepton, 2 jet			0-lepton, 3 jet			1-lepton					2-lepton				
	E_T^{miss} [GeV]			E_T^{miss} [GeV]			p_T^W [GeV]					p_T^Z [GeV]				
	120-160	160-200	>200	120-160	160-200	>200	0-50	50-100	100-150	150-200	> 200	0-50	50-100	100-150	150-200	>200
<i>ZH</i>	2.9	2.1	2.6	0.8	0.8	1.1	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	4.7	6.8	4.0	1.5	1.4
<i>WH</i>	0.8	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	10.6	12.9	7.5	3.6	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Top	89	25	8	92	25	10	1440	2276	1120	147	43	230	310	84	3	0
<i>W + c</i> ,light	30	10	5	9	3	2	580	585	209	36	17	0	0	0	0	0
<i>W + b</i>	35	13	13	8	3	2	770	778	288	77	64	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Z + c</i> ,light	35	14	14	8	5	8	17	17	4	1	0	201	230	91	12	15
<i>Z + b</i>	144	51	43	41	22	16	50	63	13	5	1	1010	1180	469	75	51
Diboson	23	11	10	4	4	3	53	59	23	13	7	37	39	16	6	4
Multijet	3	1	1	1	1	0	890	522	68	14	3	12	3	0	0	0
Total Bkg.	361 ± 29	127 ± 11	98 ± 12	164 ± 13	63 ± 8	42 ± 5	3810 ± 150	4310 ± 86	1730 ± 90	297 ± 27	138 ± 14	1500 ± 90	1770 ± 110	665 ± 47	97 ± 12	72 ± 12
Data	342	131	90	175	65	32	3821	4301	1697	297	132	1485	1773	657	100	69

Diboson production



- WZ & ZZ production with $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$
 - Similar signature, but 5 times larger cross-section
- Perform a separate fit for this to validate the analysis procedure
 - Profile likelihood fit performed (with systematics)
 - All bkg (except diboson) subtracted
- Clear excess is observed in data at the expected mass

- 0,1 and 2- lepton channels combined
- 2011 & 2012 data combined
- Full systematics are applied
- Results
 - $\sigma/\sigma_{\text{SM}} = \mu_D = 1.05 \pm 0.32$
 - Significance = 4.0σ
- In agreement with Standard Model





- For the Higgs analysis the diboson contribution is fixed to its SM expectation in the likelihood profile, with an uncertainty of 11%

CL_s limit results



- Observed & expected CL_s limit on normalised signal strength as function of Higgs Boson mass (0,1,2 lepton combined)
- Observed (expected) values at $m_H = 125 \text{ GeV}$
 - Limits 1.8 (3.3) & 3.4 (2.5) times the Standard Model
 - p_0 values: 0.97 (0.26) & 0.17 (0.20)
 - $\sigma/\sigma_{\text{SM}}$: $\mu = -2.7 \pm 1.1(\text{stat.}) \pm 1.1(\text{syst.})$ & $\mu = 1.0 \pm 0.9(\text{stat.}) \pm 1.1(\text{syst.})$


Combined (2011 & 2012) result



- Observed (expected) limit at $m_H = 125 \text{ GeV}$
 ➤ 1.8 (1.9) x SM prediction
- Observed (expected) p_0 value 0.64 (0.15)
- $\sigma/\sigma_{\text{SM}} = \mu = -0.4 \pm 0.7(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.8(\text{syst.})$
- Exclusion at $m_H \sim 110 \text{ GeV}$

More than doubled the analysis sensitivity ➡

Previous publication




ttH analysis of 7 TeV data

ttH, H->bb analysis

A yes we can! channel




- ATLAS-CONF-2012-135:
<https://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1478423>
- Data: 4.7fb⁻¹ at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV (2011)
- 9 categories based on jet & b-tag multiplicity
 - **Signal** enriched (5 jets, ≥ 6 jets) x (3, ≥ 4 b-tag)
 - Other are **background** enriched
- Final discriminants
 - m_{bb} for ≥ 6 jets and (≥ 3 b-tag) samples
 - H_t^{had} ($\sum p_{T,jet}$) for other samples
- To check fit control regions are used






	0 b-tags	1 b-tag	2 b-tags	3 b-tags	≥ 4 b-tags
4 jets	H_T^{had}	H_T^{had}		H_T^{had}	
5 jets	H_T^{had}	H_T^{had}	H_T^{had}	H_T^{had}	H_T^{had}
≥ 6 jets	H_T^{had}	H_T^{had}	H_T^{had}	m_{bb}	m_{bb}




ATLAS Analysis



Pre-fit




Post-fit



ATLAS ttH, H->bb Analysis

- Very challenging analysis!
 - High combinatorial background, small signal cross section
 - Difficult to describe backgrounds appropriately – data-driven constraints on background normalization and shape
 - Important for the measurement of top Yukawa couplings!
- First ATLAS results: ATLAS-CONF-2012-135 (September 2012): <https://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1478423>




m_H (GeV)	Obs	Exp	Stat
110	7.0	6.0	3.5
115	8.7	6.9	4.0
120	10.4	8.5	4.9
125	13.1	10.5	6.1
130	16.4	13.0	7.8
140	33.0	23.2	14.2

Conclusions



- First combined 2011 & 2012 VH analysis in $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ channel
 - Using 4.7fb^{-1} (2011) and 13fb^{-1} (2012) data
 - Significant improvements to all aspects of the analysis
 - Excellent data/MC agreement across all channels/control regions
- Observed (exp.) limits are 1.8 (1.9) \times SM at $m_H = 125$
 - Individual limits for 2011 & 2012: 1.8 (3.3) 3.4 (2.5) at $m_H = 125$
- Large improvement in sensitivity, previous results were 4.6 (4.0)
- Clear di-boson signal measured

$\mu_{\text{Diboson}} = 1.05 \pm 0.32$
Significance = 3.9σ
- Further plans
 - To simultaneously fit control regions for W +light and W +c
 - Update $t\bar{t}H$ with 2012 data for Moriond
- No observation and no surprises... but watch this space!



Bonus slides





Selection cuts

Object	0-lepton	1-lepton	2-lepton
Leptons	0 loose leptons	1 tight lepton + 0 loose leptons	1 medium lepton + 1 loose lepton
Jets	2 b -tags $p_T^1 > 45$ GeV $p_T^2 > 20$ GeV + ≤ 1 extra jets	2 b -tags $p_T^1 > 45$ GeV $p_T^2 > 20$ GeV + 0 extra jets	2 b -tags $p_T^1 > 45$ GeV $p_T^2 > 20$ GeV -
Missing E_T	$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 120$ GeV $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 30$ GeV	-	$E_T^{\text{miss}} < 60$ GeV
	$\Delta\phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, p_T^{\text{miss}}) < \pi/2$ $\text{Min}[\Delta\phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, \text{jet})] > 1.5$ $\Delta\phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, b\bar{b}) > 2.8$	$m_T^W < 120$	
Vector Boson	-	$m_T^W < 120$ GeV	$83 < m_{\ell\ell} < 99$ GeV

Further topological cuts

Specific cuts for 0, 1 & 2-lepton channels in p_T^W / p_T^Z intervals

Object	0-lepton	1-lepton	2-lepton
Leptons	0 loose leptons	1 tight lepton + 0 loose leptons	1 medium lepton + 1 loose lepton
Jets	2 b -tags $p_T^1 > 45$ GeV $p_T^2 > 20$ GeV + ≤ 1 extra jets	2 b -tags $p_T^1 > 45$ GeV $p_T^2 > 20$ GeV + 0 extra jets	2 b -tags $p_T^1 > 45$ GeV $p_T^2 > 20$ GeV -
Missing E_T	$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 120$ GeV $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 30$ GeV	-	$E_T^{\text{miss}} < 60$ GeV
	$\Delta\phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, p_T^{\text{miss}}) < \pi/2$ $\text{Min}[\Delta\phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, \text{jet})] > 1.5$ $\Delta\phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, b\bar{b}) > 2.8$	$m_T^W < 120$	
Vector Boson	-	$m_T^W < 120$ GeV	$83 < m_{\ell\ell} < 99$ GeV

QCD/multi-jet modelling

- 0 lepton
 - Use ABCD method
 - Regions defined by relative directions of MET/jets/pTmiss
 - Found to be small ($\sim 1\%$)
- 1 lepton
 - MET template by reverse isolation cuts
 - Normalised by fitting each WpT bin
 - Electroweak contamination removed from template
- 2 lepton
 - Template: reverse isolation/quality selection
 - Found to be small ($< 1\%$)


ABCD method

Use lack of correlation

$\Delta\phi$ ($E_{\text{miss}}, p_{\text{Tmiss}}$) vs


$\Delta\phi$ ($E_{\text{miss}}, \text{jets}$)

for multi-jet background estimation in signal region



$$N_{QCD}(A) = \frac{N(B)}{N(D)} \times N(C)$$


B-tagging




- Algorithms to identify heavy flavour content in reconstructed jets
- Impact parameter of tracks in jet
 - **IP3D** uses track weights based on longitudinal and transverse IP significance
- Displaced secondary vertex
 - **SV1** reconstructs inclusive displaced vertex
 - **JetFitter** reconstructs multiple vertices along implied b-hadron line of flight
 - Cascade decay topologies
- Advanced NN based algorithms
 - **JetFitterCombNN**: IP3D+JetFitter
 - **MV1**: IP3D+JetFitterCombNN+SV1

MC calibration results illustrated with MV1 @ 70% b-jet efficiency


Mbb distributions (0-lep, 7TeV)




(c) $160 < E_T^{\text{miss}} < 200 \text{ GeV}$, 2 jets



(d) $160 < E_T^{\text{miss}} < 200 \text{ GeV}$, 3 jets




(e) $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 200 \text{ GeV}$, 2 jets




(f) $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 200 \text{ GeV}$, 3 jets


Mbb distributions (1-lep, 7TeV)



(c) $100 < p_T^W < 150 \text{ GeV}$




(d) $150 < p_T^W < 200 \text{ GeV}$




(e) $p_T^W > 200 \text{ GeV}$


Mbb distributions (2-l, 7TeV)



(c) $100 < p_T^Z < 150 \text{ GeV}$




(d) $150 < p_T^Z < 200 \text{ GeV}$




(e) $p_T^Z > 200 \text{ GeV}$

V+light & V+c flavour fit





0 lepton







1 lepton



2 lepton




Flavour fit results (2-lepton)



Background estimation

- Most background shapes are taken from simulation and normalised using data control regions
- Multi-jet background determined entirely from data-driven techniques
- WZ(bb) & ZZ(bb) resonant bkg normalisation and shape from simulation




Samples & Yields for ≥ 6 jets ≥ 4 b's

Signal: 2.3 events

- PYTHIA 6.425, $m_t = 172.5$ GeV. Charged lepton filter: $p_T > 5$, $|\eta| < 5$

Backgrounds:


- **Dominant are tt+jets (16.4 events) and ttbb (26.5 events):**
 - ALPGEN 2.13+HERWIG 6.520 HFOR overlap removal.
 - tt+jets: Npartons = 0–5, $\sigma=73.08\text{pb}$, $K=1.755$;
 - ttbb : $\sigma = 0.856$ pb, $K=1.687$ (biggest sys.)
- Multijets (data-driven): 6.22 events (5.67 e channel; 0.55 μ channel)
- ttV: 2.2 events
 - Madgraph 4 + PYTHIA 6.425 $\sigma_{ttW} = 0.12\text{pb}$, $\sigma_{ttZ} = 0.096\text{pb}$
- Single Top: 1.28 events
 - s-channel (1.5 pb) and Wt (15.74 pb): MC@NLO 4.01 with HERWIG 6.520 and Jimmy 4.31.
 - t-channel (20.92 pb, $K=0.866$): AcerMC 3.8 with PYTHIA 6.425
- W+jets: 0.54 events
 - ALPGEN 2.13+HERWIG 6.520: Wbb, Wcc, Wc, $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$, $W \rightarrow \ell\nu$; HFOR overlap removal
 - Uses data to normalize and change mix of heavy flavours
- Minor backgrounds: 0.2 events
 - Dibosons and Z + jets;
 - Dibosons: HERWIG 6.520 and JIMMY 4.31; charged lepton filter $p_T > 10\text{GeV}$, $|\eta| < 2.8$.



m_H (GeV/ c^2)	Obs limit	Median Exp limit
110	2.5	3.1
115	2.8	3.6
120	3.1	4.1
125	3.8	4.9
130	4.4	6.3
135	5.6	7.8
140	6.7	10.5

Lepton+jets mode

ATLAS



m_H (GeV)	observed	median	stat only
110	7.0	6.0	3.5
115	8.7	6.9	4.0
120	10.4	8.5	4.9
125	13.1	10.5	6.1
130	16.4	13.0	7.8
140	33.0	23.2	14.2

Very big difference!...

ttH Systematic Uncertainties

- **tt+heavy-flavour** fractions: vary by 50% - theory studies suggest cross section uncertainty is 75% ; should be weighted down by the fraction of this background. Fit puts it at 30%.
- **tt modeling** (Alpgen):
 - **Qfac**: ($\pm 2.3\%$) The factorization scale for the hard scatter is varied by a factor of two up and down relative to the original scale, $Q^2 = \sum_{\text{partons}} m^2 + p_T^2$
 - **kTfac**: ($\pm 9.2\%$) The renormalisation scale associated with the evaluation of α_s at each local vertex in the matrix element calculation is varied by a factor of two up and down relative to the original scale, k_T , between two partons.
 - Functional form of the factorization scale (**iqopt2**): ($\pm 13\%$) Default choice (=1) for dynamic factorization scale, $Q^2 = \sum_{\text{partons}} m^2 + p_T^2$, changed to $Q^2 = x_1 x_2 s$. This has an order of magnitude larger effect than Qfac.

- **tt cross section:** +9.9 -10.7% using NNLO Hathor.
- **Jet Energy scale:** 16 eigenvectors recommended by the jet/ETmiss group are varied.
- **b, c and light tagging:** 9 (btag),5(ctag) eigenvectors recommended by b-tagging group are varied for heavy flavours and the one value for light flavours.
- **QCD Multijets:** Mostly in the electron channel. Correlated 50% uncertainty plus uncorrelated statistical estimate in each channel (66% in 6 jet 4 b-tag)
- **ttH parton shower modelling:** 1-5% effect at $m_H = 120$ GeV

ATLAS/CMS differences

Systematics:

- No QCD systematics (no QCD background?!)
- No ttH modeling
- No W+jets/HF systematic
- No JVF systematic (pileup suppression)
- Different treatment of Jet Energy Scale (ATLAS 16 NP), b-tag sys. (ATLAS 9 NP) and c-tag sys (ATLAS 5 NP): CMS one Nuis. Par.
- b and c tagging correlated
- One tt systematic uncertainty (ATLAS 3 NP)
- ttbar+HF 20% instead of 50% uncertainty

Cuts:

- Electrons and muon:
 - ATLAS $p_T > 20/25 \text{ GeV}$
 - CMS $p_T > 30 \text{ GeV}$
- Jets:
 - ATLAS $p_T > 25 \text{ GeV}$
 - CMS 3 leading jets $p_T > 40 \text{ GeV}$ (otherwise 30 GeV)
- More signal and higher cuts. Not clear what signal sources are used

Summary:


- ATLAS using CMS systematics: 35% better
- 20% improvement from more signal
- Remaining improvement from use of Multivariate analysis (22%)

In numbers:

- $\sigma/\sigma_{\text{SM}} = 10.5 \rightarrow 7.8$ from systematics
- Take 22% improvement from MVA: $\rightarrow 6.1$
- Take 20% additional signal: $\sigma/\sigma_{\text{SM}} \rightarrow 5.1$ (expect)
- CMS: 4.9 (expected)

Channel	Signal		Background		S/\sqrt{B}		Ratio: S/\sqrt{B}
	ATLAS	CMS	ATLAS	CMS	ATLAS	CMS	
6jet, 2tag	4.45	6.3	3567.38	2255.8	0.0745	0.133	1.78
4jet, 3tag	1.23	3.5	1294.14	1041.6	0.0341	0.108	3.17
5jet, 3tag	2.8	4.7	887.25	666.7	0.0940	0.182	1.94
6jet, 3tag	4.61	4.4	622.88	404.9	0.1847	0.219	1.18
4jet, 4tag	0.16	0.5	19.94	20	0.0358	0.112	3.12
5jet, 4tag	0.83	1.2	38.33	31.8	0.1341	0.213	1.59
6jet, 4tag	2.28	1.7	53.12	39.3	0.3128	0.271	0.86
Total	16.4	22.3			0.4084	0.492	1.20

The ATLAS detector



Inner detector

- for $\eta=0$, track has typically 3 Pixel, 8 SCT and 30 TRT hits
- magnetic field (~ 2 T) produced by solenoid
- coverage: $|\eta| < 2.5$ (2.0 for TRT)
- resolution: $\sigma(p_t)/p_t = 0.05\% \oplus 1\%$


Calorimeters

- Pb/LAr accordion structure for EM
- provides e/γ energy measurement with $\sigma/E \sim 10\%/\sqrt{E(\text{GeV})} \oplus 0.7\%$
- Iron scintillator tiles for hadronic
- provides jet and E_t^{miss} measurement with $\sigma/E \sim 50\%/\sqrt{E(\text{GeV})} \oplus 3\%$
- Forward calorimeter: FCAL covers up to $|\eta| < 4.9$


Muon spectrometer

- coverage: $|\eta| < 2.7$
- magnetic field (~ 0.5 T) produced by toroids
- $\sigma(p_t)/p_t \approx 10\%$ for $p_t = 1\text{TeV}$


DIBOSON




(a) Zero Lepton




(b) One Lepton





(a) $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$



(b) $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$