# Higgs Production with a Jet Veto

Saba Zuberi UC Berkeley/LBL Chicago 2012 Workshop on LHC Physics

With: Frank Tackmann and Jon Walsh - arXiv: 1206.4312 Iain Stewart, Frank Tackmann and Jon Walsh





#### Jet Vetoes in Higgs Analyses

•  $pp \to H \to WW^* \to \ell \nu \ell \nu$ 



 "The systematic uncertainties that have the largest impact on the sensitivity of the search are the theoretical uncertainties associated with the signal." ATLAS Phys.Lett. B716 (2012) 1-29

$$\delta\sigma_0=17\%$$
  $\delta\sigma_1=28\%$  atlas-conf-2012-098

#### **Reducing Theory Uncertainties**



# **Reducing Theory Uncertainties**



Berger et al (1012.4480)

Transition Region: Phenomenologically important

Need a consistent description of entire region.

#### **Resummation and Fixed Order**

Resummation + Fixed order reduces scale uncertainty & improves convergence.



#### **Current Status of Jet Veto Resummation**

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(p_T^{\text{cut}}) &\sim 1 & L \equiv \ln \frac{p_T^{\text{cut}}}{m_H} \\ &+ \alpha_s L^2 + \alpha_s L + \alpha_s \text{ NLO} \\ &+ \alpha_s^2 L^4 + \alpha_s^2 L^3 + \alpha_s^2 L^2 + \alpha_s^2 L + \alpha_s^2 \text{ NNLO} \\ &+ \alpha_s^3 L^6 + \alpha_s^3 L^5 + \alpha_s^3 L^4 + \alpha_s^3 L^3 + \alpha_s^3 L^2 + \cdots \\ &\quad \text{LL NLL NNLL} \end{aligned}$$

 MC@NLO/POWHEG : LL + NLO Atlas: POWHEG re-weighted to Higgs pT spectrum NNLL+NNLO Bozzi et al (0508068)

#### **Current Status of Jet Veto Resummation**

- MC@NLO/POWHEG : LL + NLO Atlas: POWHEG re-weighted to Higgs pT spectrum NNLL+NNLO Bozzi et al (0508068)
- NNLO Anastasiou, Melnikov, Petriello (0501130)
- NLL+NNLO Banfi, Salam, Zanderighi (1203.5773)
- NNLL+NNLO Banfi et al. (1206.4998),  $\delta\sigma_0\sim 11\%$  Becher, Neubert (1205.3806v2),
- Extension to H+1 jet NLL resummation

Liu, Petriello (1210.1906)

## **Current Status of Jet Veto Resummation**

- MC@NLO/POWHEG : LL + NLO Atlas: POWHEG re-weighted to Higgs pT spectrum NNLL+NNLO Bozzi et al (0508068)
- NNLO Anastasiou, Melnikov, Petriello (0501130)
- NLL+NNLO Banfi, Salam, Zanderighi (1203.5773)
- NNLL+NNLO Banfi et al. (1206.4998),  $\delta \sigma_0 \sim 11^\circ$ Becher, Neubert (1205.3806v2), Stewart, Tackmann, Walsh, SZ (on going)

Sources of uncertainties:

Resummation scale variation. Combining resummed and fixed order. Higher order jet algorithm effects.

Extension to H+1 jet NLL resummation

Liu, Petriello (1210.1906)

## Why Jet Vetoes are Complicated

 Logs are a remnant of soft and collinear divergences. Their structure is determined by

$$\sigma(p_T^{\text{cut}}) = \int d\Phi \,\mathcal{A}(\Phi) \,\mathcal{M}(p_T^{\text{cut}}, \Phi)$$

- Amplitude universal structure in soft and collinear limit.
- Phase space depends on the way the veto is implemented To resum  $\alpha_{s^n} L^m$  need to know structure for <u>many emissions</u>

#### Inclusive vs. Jet Veto

#### **Inclusive Veto**

$$E_T = \sum_m |\vec{p}_{Tm}| < p_T^{\rm cut}$$

- Constraint same for each particle in final state, independent of α<sub>s</sub>
- All orders form simple  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(x, \Phi) = \prod_{i} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(1)}(x, p_{i})$

Product of constraint on single particle.

Eg. N-jettiness Stewart et al (1004.2489) Higgs pT NNLL+NLO resummation Bozzi et al (0302104) Jet Veto

$$p_{T\text{jet}} = \sum_{m \in \text{jet}} |\vec{p}_{Tm}| < p_T^{\text{cut}}$$

- Constraint depends on all particles in the final state.
  Changes at each α<sub>s</sub>
- All orders form complicated



Cacciari, Salam, Soyez (0802.1189)

#### Factorization

 Start from well-controlled theory limit. Factorization:

 $\sigma(p_T^{\text{cut}}) \sim H_{gg}(\mu)[B_a(\mu,\nu) \times B_b(\mu,\nu) \times S(\mu,\nu)] + \sigma_{ns}$ 



Factorization is an all-orders statement (α<sub>s</sub> and α<sub>s</sub> Ln p<sub>T</sub><sup>cut</sup>/m<sub>H</sub>)
 Systematically improvable way to combine resummation with full fixed order dependence.

#### Factorization in 3 Steps

- 1. Match to SCET  $\lambda \sim \frac{p_T^{\text{cut}}}{m_H} \ll 1 \quad p \sim (p^+, p^-, p_\perp)$   $\sigma(p_T^{\text{cut}}) \sim H(\mu) \langle \mathcal{O}_{ggH}(\mu)^{\dagger} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{jet}} \mathcal{O}_{ggH}(\mu) \rangle \quad \text{collinear beam} \quad p_c \sim m_H(1, \lambda^2, \lambda)$ isotropic soft  $p_s \sim m_H(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)$
- 2. Universal soft-collinear limit of amplitudes in QCD  $\mathcal{O}_{ggH} \rightarrow H \mathcal{O}_a \mathcal{O}_b \mathcal{O}_s$  Bauer, Pirjol, Stewart (1203.5773)

3. All-orders structure of jet veto

 $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{jet}} \to \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{a}^{\text{jet}} \times \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{b}^{\text{jet}} \times \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{s}^{\text{jet}} + \delta \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ 

Soft-collinear mixing: Must be suppressed in  $\lambda$ 

 $\sigma(p_T^{\mathrm{cut}}) \sim H_{gg}(\mu)[B_a(\mu,\nu) \times B_b(\mu,\nu) \times S(\mu,\nu)] + \sigma_{ns}$ 

Now understand algorithm effects

## Jet Algorithm Effects

 $p \sim (p^+, p^-, p_\perp)$ 

 $p_c \sim m_H(1, \lambda^2, \lambda)$ 

 $p_s \sim m_H(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)$ 

 $\lambda \sim \frac{p_T^{\rm cut}}{m_H} \ll 1$ 

Highly non-trivial

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{jet}} \to \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{a}^{\text{jet}} \times \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{b}^{\text{jet}} \times \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{s}^{\text{jet}} + \delta \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{s}^{\text{jet}}$$



Two sources of algorithm effects:

• Clustering **between** the soft and beam sectors  $\delta \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ 

 $R \gg p_T^{cut}/m_H \quad \sigma \supset \alpha_s^n R^2$ 

Soft-collinear mixing.

• Clustering within the soft and beam sectors  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{a,b}^{\text{jet}}$   $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{s}^{\text{jet}}$ 

 $R \sim p_T^{cut}/m_H$   $\sigma \supset \alpha_s^n \ln^{n-1} R$ 

Clustering logarithms.

\*analysis applies to H+N jets 9

#### Soft Collinear Mixing

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{jet}} \to \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{a}^{\text{jet}} \times \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{b}^{\text{jet}} \times \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{s}^{\text{jet}} + \delta \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$$

$$|\vec{p}_{Tc} + \vec{p}_{Ts}| < p_T^{\text{cut}}$$

$$\delta\sigma_{SC} = -\sigma_{LO} \left(\frac{\alpha_s C_A}{\pi}\right)^2 \frac{2\pi^2}{3} R^2 \ln \frac{m_H}{p_T^{\text{cut}}}$$

For 
$$R \gg p_T^{cut}/m_H$$
 NNLL

Tackmann, Walsh, SZ (1206.4312)

- Violates standard factorization.
- Effect understood to NNLL Banfi, Salam, Zanderighi (1203.5773). Include through non-singular terms.

$$\sigma(p_T^{\text{cut}}) \sim H_{gg}(\mu) \left[ B_a(\mu, \nu) \times B_b(\mu, \nu) \times S(\mu, \nu) \right] \left[ 1 + \delta \sigma_{SC}^{(2)} \right] \quad \text{to NNLL}$$

• Phenomenologically not important.

# **Clustering Logs**



Clustering log remnant of collinear divergence

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\epsilon} R^{-2\epsilon} \sim \ln R$$

What is higher order structure? Not constrained by factorization

# **Clustering Logarithms**

• For *n* final state particles there are at most *n-1* collinear divergences



General form of clustering logs:

$$\sigma_{LO} \, \alpha_s^n \ln^{n-1} R \, \ln \frac{m_H}{p_T^{\text{cut}}} + \mathcal{O}(R^2)$$

For  $R \sim p_T^{cut}/m_H$  NLL effect

 Show using non-abelian exponentiation and collinear singularities in subamplitudes

$$\exp\left[\sum_{n} c_n \alpha_s^n \ln \frac{m_H}{p_T^{\text{cut}}} \ln^{n-1} R\right]$$

• Although In R is exponentiated, the series is not resummed In general new coefficient to be calculated at each order in  $\alpha_s$ 



# **Numerical Relevance**

Tackmann, Walsh, SZ (1206.4312)



- Algorithm effects are significant fraction of In m<sub>H</sub>/p<sub>T</sub><sup>cut</sup> contribution at 2 loops
- Important to include clustering effect in uncertainty estimates.

#### **Resummation and Scale Variation**

 $\sigma(p_T^{\text{cut}}) \sim H_{gg}(\mu)[B_a(\mu,\nu) \times B_b(\mu,\nu) \times S(\mu,\nu)] + \sigma_{ns}(\mu)$ 

• Factorization separates scales. RG evolve to resum ratio ( $\mu_{H}$ ,  $\mu_{B}$ ,  $\mu_{S}$ ) ( $\nu_{B}$ , $\nu_{S}$ )  $\equiv \ln p_T^{cut}/m_H$  invariant mass rapidity



- Perturbative uncertainties generated by varying scales ( $\mu_{H}$ ,  $\mu_{B}$ ,  $\mu_{S}$ ,  $\nu_{B}$ ,  $\nu_{S}$ )
- Setting low scales ( $\mu_{B}$ ,  $\mu_{S}$ ,  $\nu_{S}$ ) equal to hard scales ( $\mu_{H}$ ,  $\nu_{B}$ ) turns off resummation.

# **Combing Resummation and Fixed Order**



## **Combining Scale Uncertainties**

Profile scales control scale variation and how resummation is turned off



Find envelope of **all** low scale variation

High scale (2  $m_H$ , $m_H$ /2) gives fixed order variation

40

 $p_T^{\mathrm{cut}}$ 

 $\mu_B, \mu_S, \nu_S$ 

Profile Scale

**Fixed Order** 

60

80

Combine resummation and fixed order scale uncertainty in quadrature
 Stewart, Tackmann (1107.2117)

$$\Delta^2 = \Delta_{\rm resum}^2 + \Delta_{\rm FC}^2$$

 $\mu_H, \nu_B$ 

20









# Outlook

- There are *formally* two competing effects make cross sections with a jet vetoes challenging
  - Clustering logarithms In R NLL  $R \sim p_T^{cut}/m_H$
  - Soft-collinear mixing  $R^2$  NNLL  $R \gg p_T^{cut}/m_H$
- Clustering logarithms appear to be phenomenologically relevant. Large effect at  $\alpha_s^2$ .

Next step include uncertainties from this effect. Size of clustering logs at  $\alpha_s^3 \ln^2 R \ln p_T^{cut}/m_H$  very useful.

 Control of scale variation that generates perturbative uncertainties allows combination of resummation and fixed order regions.

Important: life happens in between!

#### **Additional Slides**

# Higher Order Structure of Clustering Logs

- Soft function at  $\alpha_s$   $S^{(n)}(p_T^{\text{cut}}) = \int d\Phi_n \mathcal{A}_n(\Phi_n) \mathcal{M}_n(\Phi_n, p_T^{\text{cut}})$
- Non-Abelian exponentiation: eikonal matrix elements exponentiate and can be factorized in to c-webs

$$\mathcal{A}_n(\Phi_n) = \sum_W N_W \left[ \prod_{w \in W} \mathcal{A}_w(\Phi_{n_w}) \right]$$

c-web: diagram connecting eikonal lines that cannot be separated into lower order c-webs by cutting two eikonal lines

 Collinear singularities only between final state particles in the same cweb. Clustering between c-webs suppressed

$$\mathcal{M}_n(\Phi_n, p_T^{\text{cut}}) = \prod_{w \in W} \mathcal{M}_{n_w}(\Phi_{n_w}) + \mathcal{O}(R^2)$$



• So 
$$S(p_T^{\text{cut}}) = \exp\left[\sum_{\text{c-webs } w} \int d\Phi_{n_w} \mathcal{A}_{n_w}(\Phi_{n_w}) \mathcal{M}_{n_w}(\Phi_{n_w}, p_T^{\text{cut}})\right]$$

• Collinear singularity: clustering log (ln R)  $\exp \left| \sum_{n_w = 1}^{\infty} \alpha_s^{n_w} \ln \frac{\nu}{p_T^{\text{cut}}} \ln^{n_w - 1} R \right|$ 



 $p \sim (p^+, p^-, p_\perp)$  $p_c \sim m_H(1, \lambda^2, \lambda)$  $p_s \sim m_H(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)$