# Hadron particle detection (with the CMS detector)

Stefan Piperov (INRNE/BAS)

Sep.19, 2012, CERN

- Small introduction
- Hadron Calorimetry: Measuring the energy of a hadron
- CMS detector and its calorimetric systems
- TestBeam Measurements
- MonteCarlo Simulation and comparison to TestBeam

## Before we start...

## What do we know about matter?



This is what we know about the particles that constitute our Universe. The only thing still missing WAS the Higgs-boson that gives mass to all the particles. Plus everything that we still don't know about...

Bulgarian Teachers @CFRN (2012)

## LHC, CERN, Geneva



Bulgarian Teachers @CFRN (2012)



Start the protons out here

## The CMS experiment





#### Bulgarian Teachers @CERN (2012)

Width:



#### Bulgarian Teachers @CFRN (2012)

## **Atmospheric Showers**

Something similar happens to the hardons in the atmosphere above us:





#### Bulgarian Teachers @CFRN (2012)

Function of Calorimeters:

- Measure the energy of a hadron (in most cases jets of hadrons)
- Provide hermeticity, so that missing energy can be measured

Depending on their function and application, calorimeters can be of various kinds:

- Homogeneous vs. Sampling (structure)
- Solid vs. Liquid (medium)
- Scintillating vs. Cherenkov (signal)
- Compensating vs. Non-compensating (performance)







Bulgarian Teachers @CERN (2012)





HCAL = Hadronic Calorimeter ECAL = Electromagnetic Calorimeter HB = HCAL Barrel HE = HCAL EndCap HO = HCAL Outer



Calorimetric systems present on the Testbeam 2004 table.

Pivot point corresponds to interaction point in CMS. ECAL is a matrix of 7x7 prototype crystals.

HCAL Barrel modules are production wedges readout with real front-end electronics.



Beam from SPS.

Moving table allows beam to be sent into arbitrary eta/phi tower of HCAL. ECAL crystals always stay in the beam.







## Example of beam clean-up possible in the Test-Beam Run#29665: 5GeV pi+



All simulations done with Geant4 toolkit



#### G4.6.2\_p2 MC with noise G4.6.2\_p2 MC w/o noise TestBeam data HB1ECAL (MC) ECAL.vs.HB2 / 9 GeV pim LHEP/ e\_h HB1:ECAL 9GeV pi beam (MC) ECAL.vs.HB2 / 9 GeV pim LHEP/ Entries 56317 ECALint: MIP v1 cut: v1ECAL Xtal: 7x7 Entries 100000 ECALint: ANY v1 cut: v1NONE Xtal: 7x7 45 ∑920 Mean x 2.147 sim/dig: sim noise: nTB04 cuts: cutsTB04 Mean x 45 (Val) 14 HCAL (GeV) 15 2.4 sim/dig: sim noise: nNONE cuts: cutsNONE Mean y 4.382 Mean y 4.235 $10^{3}$ ശ RMS x 2.249 40 e h RMS x 2.135 40 HB1 RMS y 3.789 100000 RMS v 3.878 2.411 loan 0 370 35 0 35 4.158 Mean 1 99611 1 15 2.119 RMS x 0 17 0 12 3.639 30 RMS y 30 10 ntegral 9.961e+04 0 950 0 10<sup>2</sup> 10 0 99049 1 25 25 0 0 0 10 ntegral 9.905e+04 20 20 15 15 10 10 10 5 n -2 10 6 8 10 2 10 6 8 n 4 6 8 Ecal [GeV] ECAL (GeV) ECAL (GeV)

HCAL signal vs. ECAL signal - the "banana" plot

- electron contamination in pion beam
- interactions in beamline
- muons from pion decay

Bulgarian Teachers @CFRN (2012)



20

Calorimeter-based cuts are necessary to clean up the beam-interacted particles. These introduce systematic errors, but are the only way to enable comparison with the TB data.



Bulgarian Teachers @CFRN (2012)

## Comparison of Test-Beam data and Geant4 Linearity of Response



Bulgarian Teachers @CFRN (2012)

22



Bulgarian Teachers @CFRN (2012)

- Calorimeters play a crucial role in detecting hadrons
- They can be of various kinds, depending on their application
- Their MonteCarlo simulation is very expensive in terms of CPU power
- CMS calorimeters have been studied in TestBeams in great detail before installing them in the experiment