To Higgs or not to Higgs?



This is one of the questions being studied at the LHC John Ellis King's College London (& CERN)

The 'Standard Model' = Cosmic DNA

The matter particles



The fundamental interactions



Gravitation

electromagnetism weak nu

weak nuclear force

strong nuclear force

Summary of the Standard Model

• Particles and SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1) quantum numbers:

	L_L E_R	$\left(\begin{array}{c}\nu_{e}\\e^{-}\end{array}\right)_{L}, \left(\begin{array}{c}\nu_{\mu}\\\mu^{-}\end{array}\right)_{L}, \left(\begin{array}{c}\nu_{\tau}\\\tau^{-}\end{array}\right)_{L}\\e_{R}^{-}, \mu_{R}^{-}, \tau_{R}^{-}\end{array}\right)_{L}$		(1,2, -1) (1,1, -2)
	Q_L U_R D_R	$ \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}_{L}, \begin{pmatrix} c \\ s \end{pmatrix}_{L}, \begin{pmatrix} t \\ b \\ u_{R}, c_{R}, t_{R} \\ d_{R}, s_{R}, b_{R} \end{pmatrix} $	$\Big)_{L}$	$(\mathbf{3,2,+1/3})$ $(\mathbf{3,1,+4/3})$ $(\mathbf{3,1,-2/3})$
Lagrangian: $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F^{a}_{\mu\nu} F^{a\ \mu\nu} + i\bar{\psi} D\psi + h.c.$		gauge interactions matter fermions		
lo dire	ect	$\psi_i y_{ij} \psi_j \phi + h.c.$	Yu	kawa interactions
viden	ce	$ D_{\mu}\phi ^2 - V(\phi)$	Hi	ggs potential

Status of the Standard Model

- Perfect agreement with all *confirmed* accelerator data
- Consistency with precision electroweak data (LEP et al) *only if there is a 'Higgs boson'*
- Agreement seems to require a relatively light Higgs boson weighing < ~ 180 GeV
 - Raises many unanswered questions:

mass? flavour? unification?

Open Questions beyond the Standard Model

- What is the origin of particle masses?
 due to a Higgs boson?
- Why so many flavours of matter particles LHC

LHC

LHC

LHC

- What is the dark matter in the Universe?
- Unification of fundamental forces?
- Quantum theory of gravity?

At what Energy is the New Physics?



Why do Things Weigh?

Newton: Weight proportional to Mass

Einstein: Energy related to Mass

Neither explained origin of Mass

Where do the masses come from?

Are masses due to Higgs boson? (the physicists' Holy Grail)

Think of a Snowfield



Image: Note of the sectormoves slowThe LHC will look for
the snowflake:
The Higgs BosonHiker
movesBortioSource

Skier moves fast: Like particle without mass e.g., photon = particle of light

Snowshoer sinks into snow, moves slower: Like particle with mass e.g., electron

> Hiker sinks deep, moves very slowly: Particle with large mass_

A Simulated Higgs Event @ LHC



Dark Matter in the Universe

Astronomers say that most of the matter in the Universe is invisible Dark Matter

'Supersymmetric' particles

We shall look for them with the LHC

Classic Dark Matter Signature



Missing transverse energy carried away by dark matter particles

General Interest in Antimatter Physics



Physicists cannot make enough for Star Trek or Dan Brown!

How do Matter and Antimatter Differ?

Dirac predicted the existence of antimatter: same mass opposite internal properties: electric charge, ... Discovered in cosmic rays Studied using accelerators



Why does the Universe mainly contain matter, not antimatter?

Matter and antimatter not quite equal and opposite: WHY?

Experiments at LHC and elsewhere looking for answers

How to Create the Matter in the Universe? Sakharov

Need a difference between matter and antimatter observed in the laboratory Need interactions able to creat matter present in unified theories not yet seen by experiment Must break thermal equilibrium • Possible in the decays of heavy particles

Will we be able to calculate using laboratory data?

300,000 years

minutes

1 microsecond

> 1 picosecond



of atoms **Formation** of nuclei **Formation** of protons & neutrons Appearance

Unify all the Fundamental Interactions: Einstein's Dream ...

\leftarrow ... but he never succeeded

Unification via extra dimensions of space?

The Large Hadron Collider (LHC)

Proton-Proton Collider



1,000,000,000 collisions/second

Also collisions of Lead ions

Primary targets:
Origin of mass
Nature of Dark Matter
Primordial Plasma
Matter vs Antimatter

General View of LHC & its Experiments





E540 - V10/09/97



CMS: Higgs and supersymmetry LHCb: Matter-antimatter difference

The Seminal Papers

BROKEN SYMMETRY AND THE MASS OF GAUGE VECTOR MESONS*

F. Englert and R. Brout

Faculté des Sciences, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium (Received 26 June 1964)

BROKEN SYMMETRIES, MASSLESS PARTICLES AND GAUGE FIELDS

P.W. HIGGS

Tail Institute of Mathematical Physics, University of Edunburgh, Scotland

Received 27 July 1964

VOLUME 13, NUMBER 16

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

19 October 1964

BROKEN SYMMETRIES AND THE MASSES OF GAUGE BOSONS

Peter W. Higgs

Tait Institute of Mathematical Physics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland (Received 31 August 1964)

GLOBAL CONSERVATION LAWS AND MASSLESS PARTICLES*

G. S. Guralnik,[†] C. R. Hagen,[‡] and T. W. B. Kibble Department of Physics, Imperial College, London, England (Received 12 October 1964)

Nambu EB, GHK and Higgs



Spontaneous symmetry breaking: massless Nambu-Goldstone boson **'eaten' by gauge boson**

Accompanied by massive particle

Indirect Constraints on Higgs Mass

- Electroweak observables sensitive via quantum loop corrections: $m_W^2 \sin^2 \theta_W = m_Z^2 \cos^2 \theta_W \sin^2 \theta_W = \frac{\pi \alpha}{\sqrt{2} G_E} (1 + \Delta r)$
 - Sensitivity to top, Higgs masses:

$$\frac{3\mathbf{G}_F}{8\pi^2\sqrt{2}}m_t^2 \qquad \frac{\sqrt{2}\mathbf{G}_F}{16\pi^2}m_W^2(\frac{11}{3}\ln\frac{M_H^2}{m_Z^2}+\ldots), \, M_H >> m_W$$

- Preferred Higgs mass: m_H ~ 80 ± 30 GeV
 Compare with lower limit from direct searches: m_H > 114 GeV
- No conflict!

Precision Tests of the Standard Model

Lepton couplings

Pulls in global fit



Combining the Information from Direct Searches and Indirect Data



Gfitter collaboration

A la recherche du Higgs perdu

-Q.J.Br.A + Kd4+-Girbi - 4F, Jar

Higgs Production at the LHC



Many production modes measurable if $M_h \sim 125 \text{ GeV}$

Higgs Decay Branching Ratios

• Couplings proportional to masses (?)



 $-gluon + gluon \rightarrow Higgs \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

Many decay modes measurable if $M_h \sim 125 \text{ GeV}$

Is the Higgs Boson finally being Revealed?



Mass Higgsteria



Higgsdependence Day!



ATLAS Four-Muon Event



Evidence in the ZZ* Channel



Signals around $M_h = 125 \text{ GeV}$



CMS yy Event

(c) CERN. All rights reserved.

Evidence in the yy Channel



Signals around M_h = 125 Ge

A New Particle has been Discovered

Independent discoveries around $M_h = 125$ to 126



Unofficial Combination of Higgs Search Data from July 4th



The Particle Higgsaw Puzzle

Is LHC finding the missing piece? Is it the right shape? Is it the right size?

Does the 'Higgs' have Spin Zero?

- Decays into $\gamma\gamma$, so cannot have spin 1
- Spin 0 or 2?
- Can diagnose spin via
 - angular distribution of $\gamma\gamma$
 - angular correlations of leptons in WW, ZZ decays
 - Production in association with W or \boldsymbol{Z}
- Do selections of WW and ZZ events already favour spin 0?

Does the 'Higgs' have Spin Zero?



Vector boson + 'Higgs' combined invariant mass very different for spins 0 and 2

JE, Hwang. Sanz & You: arXiv:1208.6002

Does the 'Higgs' have Spin Zero?

 Discrimination spin 2 vs spin 0 via angular distribution of decays into γγ,



JE, Fok, Hwang, Sanz & You: arXiv:1210.5229

It Walks and Quacks like a Higgs

• Do couplings scale ~ mass? With scale = v?

$$\lambda_f = \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{m_f}{M}\right)^{1+\epsilon}, \ g_V = 2 \left(\frac{m_V^{2(1+\epsilon)}}{M^{1+2\epsilon}}\right)$$





• Standard Model Higgs: $\varepsilon = 0$, M = v

It Walks and Quacks like a Higgs

• Do couplings scale ~ mass? With scale = v?



Elementary Higgs or Composite?

- Higgs field: $<0|H|0> \neq 0$
- Quantum loop problems



Cut-off $\Lambda \sim 1$ TeV with Supersymmetry?

- Fermion-antifermion condensate
- Just like QCD, BCS superconductivity
- Top-antitop condensate? needed m_t > 200 GeV
- New technicolour force?
 Heavy scalar resonance?
 Inconsistent with precision electroweak data?

Higgs as a Pseudo-Goldstone Boson

 $10 \text{ TeV} \stackrel{\texttt{A}}{=} \begin{array}{c} \text{UV completion ?} \\ \text{sigma model cut-off} \end{array}$

colored fermion related to top quark new gauge bosons related to SU(2) new scalars related to Higgs

'Little Higgs' models(breakdown of larger symmetry)

 or 2 Higgs doublets, possibly more scalars

Loop cancellation mechanis







1 TeV

200 GeV-





Supersymmetry

Little Higgs

General Analysis of 'unHiggs' Models



Azalov, Colitilio, Galloway. al Alv. 1202.5415

Global Analysis of Higgs-like Models

• Rescale couplings: to bosons by a, to fermions by c



• Standard Model: a = c = 1

JE & Tevong You, arXiv:1207.1693

The Stakes in the Higgs Search

- How is gauge symmetry broken?
- Is there any elementary scalar field?
- Likely portal to new physics
- Would have caused phase transition in the Universe when it was about 10⁻¹² seconds old
- May have generated then the matter in the Universe: electroweak baryogenesis
- A related **inflaton** might have expanded the Universe when it was about 10⁻³⁵ seconds old
- Contributes to today's dark energy: 10⁶⁰ too much!

Theoretical Constraints on Higgs Mass

- Large $M_h \rightarrow$ large self-coupling \rightarrow blow up at low-energy scale Λ due to renormalization
- Small: renormalization due to t quark drives quartic coupling < 0 at some scale Λ
 → vacuum unstable



• Vacuum could be stabilized by **Supersymmetry**

Degrassi, Di Vita, Elias-Miro, Giudice, Isodori & Strumia, arXiv:1205.6497

Supersymmetry?

- Would unify matter particles and force particles
- Related particles spinning at different rates $0 - \frac{1}{2} - 1 - \frac{3}{2} - 2$ Higgs - Electron - Photon - Gravitino - Graviton
- Many phenomenological motivations
 - Would help fix particle masses
 - Would help unify forces
 - Predicts light Higgs boson
 - Could fix discrepancy in g_{μ} 2
- Could provide dark matter for the astrophysicists and cosmologists

Minimal Supersymmetric Extension of Standard Model (MSSM)

• Double up the known particles:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e.g., \begin{pmatrix} \ell (lepton) \\ \tilde{\ell} (slepton) \end{pmatrix} or \begin{pmatrix} q (quark) \\ \tilde{q} (squark) \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} e.g., \begin{pmatrix} \gamma (photon) \\ \tilde{\gamma} (photino) \end{pmatrix} or \begin{pmatrix} g (gluon) \\ \tilde{g} (gluino) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Two Higgs doublets
 - 5 physical Higgs bosons:
 - 3 neutral, 2 charged

• Lightest neutral supersymmetric Higgs looks like the single Higgs in the Standard Model

Loop Corrections to Higgs Mass²

• Consider generic fermion and boson loops:



• Each is quadratically divergent: $\int d^4k/k^2$

$$\Delta m_H^2 = -\frac{y_f^2}{16\pi^2} [2\Lambda^2 + 6m_f^2 \ln(\Lambda/m_f) + ...]$$
$$\Delta m_H^2 = \frac{\lambda_S}{16\pi^2} [\Lambda^2 - 2m_S^2 \ln(\Lambda/m_S) + ...]$$

• Leading divergence cancelled if $\lambda_S = y_f^2 \ge 2$ Supersymmetry!



Data

- Electroweak precision
 observables
- Flavour physics observables
- g_µ 2
- Higgs mass
- Dark matter
- LHC

MasterCode: O.Buchmueller, JE et al.

Observable	Source	Constraint	
	Th./Ex.		
$m_t \; [\text{GeV}]$	[39]	173.2 ± 0.90	
$\Delta \alpha_{\rm had}^{(5)}(m_{\rm Z})$	[38]	0.02749 ± 0.00010	
M_Z [GeV]	[40]	91.1875 ± 0.0021	
Γ_Z [GeV]	[24] / [40]	$2.4952 \pm 0.0023 \pm 0.001_{\rm SUSY}$	
$\sigma_{\rm had}^0$ [nb]	[24] / [40]	41.540 ± 0.037	
R_l	[24] / [40]	20.767 ± 0.025	
$A_{ m fb}(\ell)$	[24] / [40]	0.01714 ± 0.00095	
$A_\ell(P_ au)$	[24] / [40]	0.1465 ± 0.0032	
R _b	[24] / [40]	0.21629 ± 0.00066	
$R_{ m c}$	[24] / [40]	0.1721 ± 0.0030	
$A_{\rm fb}(b)$	[24] / [40]	0.0992 ± 0.0016	
$A_{\rm fb}(c)$	[24] / [40]	0.0707 ± 0.0035	
A_b	[24] / [40]	0.923 ± 0.020	
A_c	[24] / [40]	0.670 ± 0.027	
$A_{\ell}(SLD)$	[24] / [40]	0.1513 ± 0.0021	
$\sin^2 \theta_{\rm w}^{\ell}(Q_{\rm fb})$	[24] / [40]	0.2324 ± 0.0012	
M_W [GeV]	[24] / [40]	$80.399 \pm 0.023 \pm 0.010_{\rm SUSY}$	
$BR_{b \rightarrow s\gamma}^{EXP}/BR_{b \rightarrow s\gamma}^{SM}$	[41] / [42]	$1.117 \pm 0.076_{\rm EXP}$	
		$\pm 0.082_{\rm SM} \pm 0.050_{\rm SUSY}$	
$BR(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$	[27] / [37]	$(< 1.08 \pm 0.02_{\rm SUSY}) \times 10^{-8}$	
$BR_{B\to\tau\nu}^{EXP}/BR_{B\to\tau\nu}^{SM}$	[27] / [42]	$1.43\pm0.43_{\rm EXP+TH}$	
$BR(B_d \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$	[27] / [42]	$< (4.6 \pm 0.01_{ m SUSY}) imes 10^{-9}$	
$\mathrm{BR}^{\mathrm{EXP}}_{B \to X_s \ell \ell} / \mathrm{BR}^{\mathrm{SM}}_{B \to X_s \ell \ell}$	[43]/ [42]	0.99 ± 0.32	
$\mathrm{BR}_{K\to\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{EXP}}/\mathrm{BR}_{K\to\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{SM}}$	[27] / [44]	$1.008\pm0.014_{\rm EXP+TH}$	
$\mathrm{BR}_{K\to\pi\nu\bar{\nu}}^{\mathrm{EXP}}/\mathrm{BR}_{K\to\pi\nu\bar{\nu}}^{\mathrm{SM}}$	[45]/ [46]	< 4.5	
$\Delta M_{B_s}^{\text{EXP}} / \Delta M_{B_s}^{\text{SM}}$	[45] / [47,48]	$0.97 \pm 0.01_{\rm EXP} \pm 0.27_{\rm SM}$	
$\frac{\frac{(\Delta M_{B_g}^{\rm EXP} / \Delta M_{B_g}^{\rm SM})}{(\Delta M_{B_d}^{\rm EXP} / \Delta M_{B_d}^{\rm SM})}$	[27] / [42, 47, 48]	$1.00 \pm 0.01_{\rm EXP} \pm 0.13_{\rm SM}$	
$\Delta \epsilon_K^{\text{EXP}} / \Delta \epsilon_K^{\text{SM}}$	[45] / [47,48]	$1.08\pm0.14_{\rm EXP+TH}$	
$a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{EXP}} - a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{SM}}$	[49] / [38,50]	$(30.2 \pm 8.8 \pm 2.0_{SUSY}) \times 10^{-10}$	
M_h [GeV]	[26] / [51,52]	$> 114.4 \pm 1.5_{\mathrm{SUSY}}$	
$\Omega_{ m CDM} h^2$	[29] / [53]	$0.1109 \pm 0.0056 \pm 0.012_{\rm SUSY}$	
$\sigma_p^{ m SI}$	[23]	$(m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_1}, \sigma_p^{\rm SI})$ plane	
jets $+ E_T$	[16, 18]	$(m_0, m_{1/2})$ plane	
$H/A, H^{\pm}$	[19]	$(M_A, \tan\beta)$ plane	

Searches with ~ 5/fb @ 7 TeV

Jets + missing energy

MasterCode

Combines diverse set of tools

- different codes : all state-of-the-art
 - Electroweak Precision (FeynWZ)
 - Flavour (SuFla, micrOMEGAs)
 - Cold Dark Matter (DarkSUSY, micrOMEGAs)
 - Other low energy (FeynHiggs)
 - Higgs (FeynHiggs)
- different precisions (one-loop, two-loop, etc)
- different languages (Fortran, C++, English, German, Italian, etc)
- different people (theorists, experimentalists)
- Compatibility is crucial! Ensured by
 - close collaboration of tools authors
 - standard interfaces

O. Buchmueller, R. Cavanaugh, *M. Citron*, A. De Roeck, M.J. Dolan, J.E., H. Flacher, S. Heinemeyer, G. Isidori,

J. Marrouche, D. Martinez Santos, S. Nakach, K.A. Olive, S. Rogerson, F.J. Ronga, K.J. de Vries, G.

2011 ATLAS + CMS with 5 fb⁻¹ of LHC Data

2011 ATLAS + CMS with 5 fb⁻¹ of LHC Data

Favoured values of $M_h \sim 117 \pm 5$ GeV: Range consistent with evidence from LHC !

XENON100 & other Experiments

201 2 ATLAS + CMS with 5 fb⁻¹ of LHC Data

cross section significantly below XENON100

Conversation with Mrs Thatcher: 1982

Think of things for the experiments to look for, and hope they find something

ifferent

Then we would not learn

nything

What do you do?

Wouldn' t it be better if they found what you predicted?