Charged Higgs searches in ATLAS: Ratio method and $H^+ \rightarrow t\bar{b}$

update and status report



UPPSALA UNIVERSITET



Alexander Madsen ILCP general meeting September 13, 2012

Introduction

Since last time...

- A Higgs(?) boson has been discovered!
 - Taking this into account, a light charged Higgs boson is now strongly disfavored in our standard benchmark (M_h-max) MSSM scenario.
- The "Ratio method" analysis covered last meeting has been finished.
 - Currently undergoing ATLAS internal review.
- The hunt is on for heavy $(m_{H^+} > m_{top})$ charged Higgs bosons!
 - Will introduce $H^+ \rightarrow t\bar{b}$ today.

Ratio method review



- This is a search for light charged Higgs bosons decaying to $\tau\nu.$
- Can gain sensitivity over previous results, especially in the $m_{H^+} \approx m_W$ region.
- The idea is to test lepton universality in $t \overline{t}$ decays by measuring the ratios

$$\xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}(t\bar{t} \to b\bar{b} + \mu\tau_{had})}_{\mathcal{N}(t\bar{t} \to b\bar{b} + \mu e)} \text{ and } \frac{\mathcal{N}(t\bar{t} \to b\bar{b} + \mu\tau_{had})}{\mathcal{N}(t\bar{t} \to b\bar{b} + \mu e)}.$$

Many systematics cancel in the ratios!

• The amount of fake taus remains as a large systematic uncertainty...

Ratio method: Effects of a charged Higgs boson





Generator level plots: Increase w.r.t. SM of the $t\bar{t}$ branching ratios involving either $e/\mu + \tau_{had}$ or $e + \mu$ (left) and the ratio between these branching ratios (right). X stands for $b\bar{b}$ and ν 's.

Ratio Method event selection

- Single electron trigger ($e\mu$ and $e\tau$ events) or single muon trigger (μe and $\mu\tau$ events) and event quality cuts.
- 3 One trigger matched lepton with $E_T > 25$ GeV (electron) or $p_T > 25$ GeV (muon).
- At least 2 jets with p_T > 20 GeV and vertex fraction > 0.75, including exactly 2 b-tagged jets.
- Sither exactly one τ jet with $p_T > 25$ GeV or an electron (muon triggered events) or a muon (electron triggered events). Veto on any additional electrons or muons.
- $I E_T^{miss} > 40 \, \text{GeV}.$
- A well defined generalized transverse mass, m_{T2}^{H} (arXiv:0907.5367).

How to deal with fake taus?

- In simulated events, $\sim 46\%$ of reconstructed taus come from quark- or gluon-jets. The fake rate is different for each type of jet, and we don't know the correct fraction of jet types...
- True taus are produced with opposite sign charge (OS) compared to the trigger lepton.

 \rightarrow Assign a negative weight to events in which the tau candidate has the same charge sign (SS) as the trigger lepton.

ightarrow This cancels the contribution of gluon and heavyt flavor jets!

- Now use a selection of OS-SS W + >2 jets (0 b-tag) events in data to derive the probability that a fake tau candidate passes tight tau idenfication criteria, and apply to simulation.
 - Bin by p_T , N_{track}^{τ} and N_{track}^{iso} with the latter being the number of tracks within $0.2 < \Delta R < 0.4$ of the τ .
- Also derive scale factors for number of tracks of the fake tau candidates.

Ratio method: Conclusion

Other data driven background estimates:

- $e \rightarrow \tau$ fake rates: Measured using Z→ee events.
- Misidentified leptons: Matrix method.

Limits on $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow bH^+)$:

- Can be calculated using M_{T2}^H distribution alone.
- But more powerfully, using the ratio of event yields. The combined ratio is:

$$R_{e+\mu} = \frac{N(e + \tau_{had}) + N(\mu + \tau_{had})}{[N(e + \mu) + N(\mu + e)] \times [1 - \delta_{e\mu}]}$$

(where $\delta_{e\mu}$ is the fraction of overlapping dilepton events). Outlook:

- Want to extend the ratio method to include heavy charged Higgs.
- Most of the machinery is already in place!

New study: $H^+ \to t \bar{b}$

A search for heavy H^+ :

- Looks like SM ttbar with 3 or 4 b-jets.
- We will use the semileptonic decay channels.
- If a solution for the neutrino can be found, a full event reconstruction is possible, giving the mass of the charged Higgs boson.



Workflow:

- Follow event selection used by the SM semileptonic ttbar studies.
- "TopRootCore" codebase by ATLAS Top WG will be used to produce analysis ntuples with all energy corrections, etc, applied.
- Reconstruct the event topology using
 - Simple $\chi^{\rm 2}$ fit or
 - Kinematic Fitter tool or
 - MVA.
- Enhance signal / background using an MVA discriminator.

Problem: How to assign each object to its proper place in the decay chain? $N_{combinations} = N_b! \times {N_j \choose 2} \times N_\nu \times 2$

Define two classes: The correct combination and all the wrong ones.

- Variables suggested by earlier sensitivity studies ([1], [2]):
 - Invariant masses: m_{jj}, m_{jjb}, m_{lvb}
 - Transverse momentum of b-jets: $p_T(b_{H^+})$, $(p_T(b_0))$
 - Angular separations: $\Delta R(j, j)$, $\Delta R(jj, b)$, $\Delta R(I, \nu)$, $\Delta R(b_{H^+}, t_{H^+})$
- Will keep trying new ideas!
- Variables are typically mass dependent will have to train MVAs separately for each mass point.

Using the W mass constraint, candidate solutions for the longitudinal momentum of the neutrino can be obtained. In 25% of cases no real solution is found: Neglect imaginary part or adopt colinear approximation $p_z(\nu) = p_z(l)$.

Summary

- Ratio method
 - Currently under internal review.
 - To be published fall 2012.
- $\bullet \ H^+ \to t \bar{b}$
 - Work is starting.
 - Aiming for Moriond 2013.

Backup

Moriond 2012 constraints on $H^+ \rightarrow \tau \nu$



