

$\frac{\text{DCPV in Charmed B Decays}}{\text{and}}$ $\frac{\gamma/\varphi_3 \text{ Average From Belle}}{\gamma/\varphi_3}$



The 7th International Workshop on the CKM Unitarity Triangle Sep 28 - Oct 2, 2012

γ measurements from $B^{\pm} \rightarrow DK^{\pm}$

- \circ Theoretically pristine $B \rightarrow DK$ approach
- ∘ Access γ via interference between $B^- \rightarrow D^0 K^-$ and $B^- \rightarrow \overline{D}^0 K^-$



relative magnitude of suppressed amplitude is $r_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$

$$r_{\rm B} = \frac{|A_{\rm suppressed}|}{|A_{\rm favoured}|} \sim \frac{|V_{\rm ub}V_{\rm cs}^*|}{|V_{\rm cb}V_{\rm us}^*|} \times [\text{color supp}] = 0.1 - 0.2$$

relative weak phase is γ , relative strong phase is δ_{B}

<u>measurements from</u> $B^{\pm} \rightarrow DK^{\pm}$

- $\,\circ\,$ Reconstruct D in final states accessible to both D 0 and $\overline{D}{}^{0}$
 - D = D_{CP}, CP eigenstates as $K^+ K^-$, $\pi^+ \pi^-$, $K_s \pi^0$ **GLW method** (Gronau-London-Wyler)
 - D = D_{sup}, Doubly-Cabbibo suppressed decays as K π **ADS method** (Atwood-Dunietz-Soni)
 - Three-body decays as $D \rightarrow K_s \pi^+ \pi^-$, $K_s K^+ K^-$ **GGSZ** (**Dalitz**) **method** (**Giri-Grossman-Soffer-Zupan**)
 - Largest effects due to Ο

- charm mixing
 - charm CP violation
 - charm CP violation
 - negligible
 Y.Grossman, A.Soffer, J.Zupan [PRD 72, 031501 (2005)]

- **Different B decays** (**DK**, **D**^{*}**K**, ...)
 - different hadronic factors $(\mathbf{r}_{B}, \delta_{B})$ for each

@ CKM 2008 B factories: BaBar and Belle



 $B^- \to D^{(*)}(K_{S}\pi\pi)K^-$ Dalitz , $\Delta\,E$ and $M^{}_{bc}$ projections

 $|\cos\theta_{\rm thr}| < 0.8$ and F > -0.7

PRD 81, 112002 (2010) $657 \times 10^{6} B\overline{B}$ pairs



 $\underline{\gamma \text{ measurement with } B \rightarrow D(K_S \pi \pi) K}_{657 \times 10^6 \text{ } B\overline{B} \text{ pairs}} \xrightarrow{\text{PRD 81, 112002 (2010)}}$



 $\mathbf{x}_{\pm} = \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{B}} \cos(\delta_{\mathrm{B}} \pm \gamma)$, $\mathbf{y}_{\pm} = \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{B}} \sin(\delta_{\mathrm{B}} \pm \gamma)$



$$\begin{split} \gamma &= (80.8 \ ^{+13.1}_{-14.8} \pm 5.0 \pm 8.9)^{\circ} & \gamma &= (73.9 \ ^{+18.9}_{-20.2} \pm 4.2 \pm 8.9)^{\circ} \\ r_{B} &= 0.161 \ ^{+0.040}_{-0.038} \pm 0.011 \ ^{+0.050}_{-0.010} & r_{B} &= 0.196 \ ^{+0.073}_{-0.072} \pm 0.013 \ ^{+0.062}_{-0.012} \\ \delta_{B} &= (137.4 \ ^{+13.0}_{-15.7} \pm 4.0 \pm 22.9)^{\circ} & \delta_{B} &= (341.7 \ ^{+18.6}_{-20.9} \pm 3.2 \pm 22.9)^{\circ} \end{split}$$

combining both B modes (Dalitz): $\gamma = (78.4^{+10.8}_{-11.6} \pm 3.6 \pm 8.9)^{\circ}$ CPV significance is 3.5 standard deviations

(model-dependent error will limit viability of this approach)

Binned Dalitz method: avoid the modeling error by ''optimal'' binning of the Dalitz plot [choice of bins guided by model, but extraction of γ is not biased by this choice]

minimize χ^2 in fit to all bins for each mode

Expected number of $B^{\pm} \rightarrow DK^{\pm}$ events in bin *i* is:

$$N_{i}^{\pm} = h \left\{ K_{i} + r_{B}^{2} K_{-i} + 2\sqrt{K_{i} K_{-i}} (x_{\pm} c_{i} + y_{\pm} s_{i}) \right\}$$







 K_i is the # of events in bin *i* from a flavour-tagged sample $(D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D\pi^{\pm})$

 c_i and s_i contain information about the strong-phase difference in bin i

(use CLEO data for $\psi(3770) \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D}^0$ here; can be measured by BES-III too)



<u>ADS method</u>: γ via the interference in rare $B^- \rightarrow [K^+ \pi^-]_D K^-$ decays rate and asymmetry (relative to the common decay):













Difference of charges in D hemisphere and opposite hemisphere.

10 variables combined

to obtain a single

NN output (NB)

for example,

at 99% bckg rej.

signal eff. = 42%

now becomes 60%





Flavor tagging Info. by MDLH. (NB possible.)



Product of charge of B and sum of charges for K not used in B reconstruction.



Yields for the ADS mode $B^- \rightarrow [K^+\pi^-]_D K^-$ from 772 million $B\overline{B}$ events **PRL 106, 231803 (2011)**

Fit ΔE and NB distributions together to extract signal



56.0^{+15.1}_{-14.2} events

 $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{DK}} = (\mathbf{1.63}_{-0.41}^{+0.44} + \mathbf{0.07}_{-0.13}) \times \mathbf{10}^{-2}$ $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{DK}} = -\mathbf{0.39}_{-0.28}^{+0.26} + \mathbf{0.04}_{-0.03}$

First evidence obtained with a significance of 4.1σ (including syst.)

First evidence for the ADS mode $B^- \rightarrow [K^+ \pi^-]_{D^*} K^-$

Preliminary study both modes: $D^* \rightarrow D \pi^0$, $D \gamma$: [see ''On φ_3 Measurements Using B \rightarrow D^{*}K⁻ Decays'', arXiv:hep-ph/0409281]

Signal seen with a significance of 3.5σ for $D^* \rightarrow D\gamma$ mode

Ratio to favored mode:

$$R_{D_{\pi^0}} = (1.0^{+0.8}_{-0.7}(\text{stat})^{+0.1}_{-0.2}(\text{syst})) \times 10^{-2} \frac{\text{stat}}{\text{stat}}$$
$$R_{D_{\gamma}} = (3.6^{+1.4}_{-1.2}(\text{stat}) \pm 0.2(\text{syst})) \times 10^{-2}$$

asymmetry:

$$A_{D\pi^{0}} = 0.4^{+1.1}_{-0.7}(stat)^{+0.2}_{-0.1}(syst)$$
$$A_{D\gamma} = -0.51^{+0.33}_{-0.29}(stat) \pm 0.08(syst)$$



∆E (GeV)



0.1 ∆E (GeV)

0.2

0.3



LP 2011

 $B \to D \, \pi$

 $B \rightarrow DK$

 $B\overline{B}$



continuum

 $\left[\left[\mathbf{K}^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}\right]_{\mathbf{D} \pi^{0}} \mathbf{K}\right]^{\pm}$

10

10

5

-0.1

0

Comparison of the results obtained for D^(*)K with expectations

where ''expectations'' are derived from the GGSZ observables (W.A.), δ_{D} and γ_{UT}



0.00

0.01

0.02

0.03

 $R_{ADS} (D_{\pi^0} K)$

0.04

0.05

0.06

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_{ADS}(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{K}) &= \mathbf{r}_{B}^{2} + \mathbf{r}_{D}^{2} + 2\mathbf{r}_{B}\mathbf{r}_{D}\mathbf{\cos}\left(\delta_{B} + \delta_{D}\right)\mathbf{\cos}\gamma\\ \mathbf{A}_{ADS}(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{K}) &= 2\mathbf{r}_{B}\mathbf{r}_{D}\mathbf{\sin}\left(\delta_{B} + \delta_{D}\right)\mathbf{\sin}\gamma/\mathbf{R}_{ADS}(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{K}) \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_{ADS}(\mathbf{D}_{\pi^{0}}^{*}\mathbf{K}) &= \mathbf{r}_{B}^{*2} + \mathbf{r}_{D}^{2} + 2\mathbf{r}_{B}^{*}\mathbf{r}_{D}\cos\left(\delta_{B}^{*} + \delta_{D}\right)\cos\gamma\\ \mathbf{A}_{ADS}(\mathbf{D}_{\pi^{0}}^{*}\mathbf{K}) &= 2\mathbf{r}_{B}^{*}\mathbf{r}_{D}\sin\left(\delta_{B}^{*} + \delta_{D}\right)\sin\gamma/\mathbf{R}_{ADS}(\mathbf{D}_{\pi^{0}}^{*}\mathbf{K}) \end{aligned}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{ADS}}(\mathbf{D}_{\gamma}^{*}\mathbf{K}) &= \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{B}}^{*2} + \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{D}}^{2} - 2\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{B}}^{*}\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{D}}\mathbf{\cos}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{B}}^{*} + \delta_{\mathrm{D}}\right)\mathbf{\cos}\gamma\\ \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{ADS}}(\mathbf{D}_{\gamma}^{*}\mathbf{K}) &= -2\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{B}}^{*}\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{D}}\mathbf{\sin}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{B}}^{*} + \delta_{\mathrm{D}}\right)\mathbf{\sin}\gamma/\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{ADS}}(\mathbf{D}_{\gamma}^{*}\mathbf{K}) \end{aligned}$$





Determination of y with GGSZ and ADS

 $[\text{using } D^0 K^-, D^{*0} K^- \text{ Belle results}]$

frequentist construction of 1-dimensional confidence interval:



GLW with $D_{CP}^{(*)}K$

D decays to CP eigenstates



$$\begin{split} & \sqrt{2}A(B^+ \rightarrow D_{CP+}K^+) & A(B^+ \rightarrow D^0K^+) \\ & \sqrt{2}A(B^- \rightarrow D_{CP+}K^-) & A(B^- \rightarrow D^0K^+) \\ & A(B^- \rightarrow D^0K^-) = A(B^+ \rightarrow D^0K^+) \\ & A(B^- \rightarrow D^0K^-) = A(B^+ \rightarrow D^0K^+) \\ & A(B^- \rightarrow D^0K^-) & A(B^- \rightarrow D^0K^-) \\ & Br(B^- \rightarrow D_{CP\pm}K^-) + Br(B^+ \rightarrow D_{CP\pm}K^+) \\ & R_{CP\pm} \equiv \frac{Br(B^- \rightarrow D_{CP\pm}K^-) - Br(B^+ \rightarrow D_{CP\pm}K^+)}{Br(B^- \rightarrow D_{CP\pm}K^-) + Br(B^+ \rightarrow D_{CP\pm}K^+)} \\ & R_{CP\pm} \equiv \frac{Br(B^- \rightarrow D_{CP\pm}K^-) - Br(B^+ \rightarrow D_{CP\pm}K^+)}{Br(B^- \rightarrow D_{CP\pm}K^-) + Br(B^+ \rightarrow D_{CP\pm}K^+)} \\ & Relation between (A_{CP+}, A_{CP-}, R_{CP+}, R_{CP-}) and (\gamma, r_B, \delta_B) \\ & A_{CP+} = \frac{+2r_B sin \delta_B sin \gamma}{1+r_B^2 + 2r_B cos \delta_B cos \gamma} \\ & A_{CP+} = \frac{-2r_B sin \delta_B sin \gamma}{1+r_B^2 - 2r_B cos \delta_B cos \gamma} \\ & R_{CP+} = 1+r_B^2 + 2r_B cos \delta_B cos \gamma \\ & R_{CP+} = 1+r_B^2 + 2r_B cos \delta_B cos \gamma \\ & R_{CP-} = 1+r_B^2 - 2r_B cos \delta_B cos \gamma \\ & \Rightarrow \quad look for R_{CP\pm} \neq 1 and A_{CP\pm} \neq 0 \\ & \Rightarrow \quad \neq CP, \neq sign of asymmetry \end{split}$$



$B \rightarrow Dh$, $D \rightarrow K \pi \ \rightarrow \ R_{D_{\rm fav}}$

$$\begin{split} N_{\eta, KID>0.6}^{DK} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \eta A^{DK} \right) N_{tot}^{D\pi} R_{K/\pi} \epsilon \\ N_{\eta, KID<0.6}^{DK} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \eta A^{DK} \right) N_{tot}^{D\pi} R_{K/\pi} \left(1 - \epsilon \right) \\ N_{\eta, KID>0.6}^{D\pi} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \eta A^{D\pi} \right) N_{tot}^{D\pi} \kappa \\ N_{\eta, KID<0.6}^{D\pi} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \eta A^{D\pi} \right) N_{tot}^{D\pi} \left(1 - \kappa \right) \end{split}$$

	kaon fake	kaon eff	pion eff	pion fake	
	$(1-\epsilon)$	e	$(1-\kappa)$	κ	
MC	14.70 ± 0.06	85.41 ± 0.06	95.42 ± 0.03	4.47 ± 0.03	¢
data	15.86 ± 0.40	84.32 ± 0.39	92.13 ± 0.46	7.94 ± 0.31	

Efficiency and fake rate (in %) for kaon and pion, for data and MC. ϵ will be fixed in the fit but κ will be floated (see text for further explanations). These numbers are obtained after properly weighting the values provided by PID group for SVD1 and SVD2.



large asymmetry !!



opposite asymmetry !!

<u>GLW Results</u>

Preliminary (LP 2011)

$$\begin{split} R_{CP+} &= 1.03 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.03 \\ R_{CP-} &= 1.13 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.05 & \text{CP-odd observables} \\ A_{CP+} &= +0.29 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.02 \\ A_{CP-} &= -0.12 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.01 & \text{CP-odd observables} \end{split}$$

 $(systematics\ dominated\ by\ peaking\ background\ ,\ double\ ratio\ approximation)$



<u>Status and motivation for GLW in B \rightarrow D^{*0}K</u>



[Belle previous result: 1/3 of the full data sample, only $D^* \rightarrow D\pi^0$] ◦ compared to DK, the D^{*0} constraint suppresses the peaking multi-body charmless decays

- ∘ $D^{*0} \rightarrow D\pi^0$, $D\gamma$: effective strong phase shift of π between two cases (sign swap in asymmetries between $D\pi^0$ and $D\gamma$ cases)
- available only (in principle) at B-factories (independent observables)
- $\circ~D^{*0}$ selection is same than ADS case, D selection same than GLW case



 $R_{CP+} = 1.25 \pm 0.16$

 $A_{CP+} = -0.23 \pm 0.11$



 $R_{CP-} = 1.26 \pm 0.18$

 $A_{CP-} = +0.20 \pm 0.13$

$\underline{\mathbf{B}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{*0} \mathbf{K}, \ \mathbf{D}^{*0} \rightarrow \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$



Yields $\mathbf{B} \Rightarrow \mathbf{D}^* \pi$ $\mathbf{B} \Rightarrow \mathbf{D}^* \mathbf{K}$ $\Rightarrow R_{D_{fav}} = (7.36 \pm 0.44)\%$ $\mathbf{D} \Rightarrow \mathbf{K} \pi$ $(13.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^3$ 979 ± 59 $A(D_{fav}^* \mathbf{K}) = (-1.93 \pm 5.8)\%$

 $\mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{+} \mathbf{K}^{-}, \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \rightarrow \mathbf{CP} -$

$D \rightarrow K_{S} \pi^{0}$, $K_{S} \eta \rightarrow CP +$



 $R_{CP-} = 0.77 \pm 0.19$ $A_{CP-} = +0.28 \pm 0.23$

 $R_{CP+} = 1.07 \pm 0.22$ $A_{CP+} = +0.13 \pm 0.19$

<u>Status and motivation for GLW in B \rightarrow D^{*0}K</u>



[Belle previous result: 1/3 of the full data sample, only $D^* \rightarrow D\pi^0$]

Combining the results of
$$D^* \rightarrow D \pi^0$$
, $D \gamma$:

$$\begin{split} R_{CP+} &= 1.19 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.03 \\ R_{CP-} &= 1.03 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.03 \\ A_{CP+} &= -0.14 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.01 \\ A_{CP-} &= +0.22 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.01 \end{split}$$

significant improvement, consistent with expected pattern

Comparison of the results obtained for D^(*)K with expectations

where ''expectations'' are derived from the GGSZ observables (W.A.), δ_D and γ_{UT}



$D^{(*)0}K^{\pm}$ measurements related to γ determination



Determination of γ with Belle D⁰ K, D^{*0} K results [GGSZ+ADS+GLW: 8+6+8=22 observables, 5 parameters]



Summary

 $\circ~$ New $D^{*}K~GLW$ results from Belle presented at CKM2012



- $\circ~$ ADS , GLW observables consistent with expectations (promising D^*K pattern)
- Combining DK, D^*K GGSZ+GLW+ADS:

$$\gamma = (68^{+15}_{-14})^{\circ}$$
 (important $2\sigma = \frac{+28^{\circ}}{-27}!$

 $\circ~$ Coming relevant updates: ADS $D(K\pi\pi^0)K$, GGSZ $D(K_S\,KK)K$...

Backup slides

<u>Search for $B^0 \rightarrow DK^{*0}$, $D \rightarrow K^-\pi^+$ </u>

772M BB arXiv:1205.0422





 $R_{DK^{*0}} = \frac{\Gamma(B^{0} \rightarrow [K^{-} \pi^{+}]_{D} K^{+} \pi^{-})}{\Gamma(B^{0} \rightarrow [K^{+} \pi^{-}]_{D} K^{+} \pi^{-})} = r_{S}^{2} + r_{D}^{2} + 2 k r_{S} r_{D} \cos(\delta_{S} + \delta_{D}) \cos\gamma$



ADS results

	R _{ADS}	Averages	HFAG Moriond 2012 PRELIMINARY		A _{ADS}	Averages	B HFAG Moriond 2012 PRELIMINARY
・ B PF B PF B PF C PF C C A A HF	aBar RD 82 (2010) 072006 elle RL 106 (2011) 231803 DF RD 84 (2011) 091504 HCb Xiv:1203.3662 Verage FAG	•★ 0.01 0.01 ★ 0.02 0.01	$1 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.002$ $6 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.001$ $2 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.003$ $5 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.000$ 0.015 ± 0.002	D_K# K	BaBar PRD 82 (2010) 072006 Belle PRL 106 (2011) 231803 CDF PRD 84 (2011) 091504 LHCb arXiv:1203.3662 Average HFAG	Norion d 2012	$-0.86 \pm 0.47 ^{+0.12}_{-0.16}$ $-0.39 ^{+0.26}_{-0.28} ^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$ $-0.82 \pm 0.44 \pm 0.09$ $-0.52 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.02$ -0.54 ± 0.12
D*_Dπ ⁰ _Кт К Н В В В В	aBar RD 82 (2010) 072006 elle 2 2011 preliminary verage FAG		$8 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.004$ 0.010 ^{+0.008} ^{+0.001} -0.007 ^{-0.002} 0.013 \pm 0.006	D*_Dπ ⁰ _Κπ Κ	BaBar PRD 82 (2010) 072006 Belle LP 2011 preliminary Average HFAG	▶ ─	$\begin{array}{c} 0.77 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.12 \\ 0.40 \pm 0.70 \pm 0.12 \\ 0.40 \pm 0.70 \pm 0.10 \\ 0.70 \pm 0.34 \\ 0.72 \pm 0.34 \end{array}$
ΑπΥ ⁻ γΟ ⁻ *Ο В ^в В Ε Κ	aBar RD 82 (2010) 072006 elle 2011 preliminary verage FAG	0.01 Morioud 2012 100 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120	$3 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.008$ $036_{-0.012}^{+0.014} \pm 0.002$ 0.027 ± 0.010	$D^*_D\gamma_K\pi$ K	BaBar PRD 82 (2010) 072006 Belle LP 2011 preliminary Average HFAG	Moriond 2012	$\frac{0.36 \pm 0.94 + 0.25}{-0.44}$ $-0.51 + 0.33 \pm 0.08$ -0.43 ± 0.31
D_Kππ ⁰ K H B b K H B b K	aBar RD 80 (2009) 092001 Verage FAG aBar RD 84 (2011) 012002 Verage FAG		$ \begin{array}{c} 6 \pm 0.031 \\ \hline 0.066 \\ \hline \hline 0.009 \pm 0.008 \\ \hline 0.009 \pm 0.008 \\ \hline 0.008 \pm 0.008 \end{array} $	-2	BaBar PRD 80 (2009) 092001 Average HFAG -1	0	-0.34 ± 0.43 ± 0.16 -0.34 ± 0.46
-0.08 -0.06	-0.04 -0.02 (0 0.02 0.04 0.06	0.08 0.1				

<u>Combined measurements for γ from all methods</u>

http://ckmfitter.in2p3.fr/





• B-factories have provided (most of) the current picture:



Improved Treatment of γ

■ γ from interferences between B⁻ \rightarrow D⁰ K⁻ and B⁻ $\rightarrow \overline{D}^0$ K⁻. 3 methods with different D final states: GLW (CP eigenstates), ADS (K π , 2 Cabibbo supp.) & GGSZ (3 body, Dalitz).

■ Fit simultaneously γ and hadronic quantities: phases δ_B , suppression ratios, r_B . The accuracy on γ depends critically on rB \subset [0.1;0.2]

⇒ nuisance treated within a full frequentist /conservative scheme.

■ Updated ADS (D(Kπ)K) inputs :

- Belle, PRL 106, 231803 (2011)
- CDF , P@LHC2011
- \Rightarrow better rejection of small $r_{\rm B}$ values

■ Changed from the supremum, p_{sup} , p-Value to the Berger-Boos, p_{β} , p-Value [JASA 89, 427 (1994)] : better control over nuisance parameters from an auxiliary test; nuisances are constrained to a 3.3 σ confidence interval based on their Likelihood.



<u>New inputs (Winter 2012)</u> ← LHCb



$\underbrace{ \gamma \ measurements \ from \ B^{\pm} \rightarrow DK^{\pm} }_{ Dalitz \ B \rightarrow D(K_{s}\pi\pi)K} \ from \ B^{\pm} \rightarrow DK^{\pm} \ r_{\scriptscriptstyle B} \ dependence$

experimental inputs:

uncertainty on γ scales as $1/r_B$!



Sensitivity to y

sensitivity to γ/ϕ_3 varies across the Dalitz plot $\gamma = 75^{\circ}$, $\delta = 180^{\circ}$, $r_{\rm B} = 0.125$ $w=1/(d^2L/d\chi^2)$ GLW like m² (GeV²/c⁺) Interference of BABA R 45 $B^- \rightarrow D^0 K^-$, $D^0 \rightarrow K^0_S \rho^0$ ргейтатагу 40 with $B^- \rightarrow \overline{D}^0 K^-$, $\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow K^0_s \rho^0$ DCS $K^*(1^2 4 30)$ 30 25 1.5 ADS like 20 Interference of 1 15 $B^- \rightarrow D^0 K^-$, $D^0 \rightarrow K^{*+} \pi^-$ DCS K^{*}(892) 10 with 0.5 $B^- \rightarrow \overline{D}^0 K^-$, $\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow K^{*+} \pi^-$ 5 0 ١û $m_{\pm}^{2.5}$ m_{ $\pm}^{2}$ (GeV²/ 0.5 1.5 2 0