



Radiation Damage of the ATLAS Pixel Sensors Using Leakage Current Measurement System

Igor V. Gorelov (on behalf of ATLAS Collaboration) University of New Mexico

22nd RD50 Workshop, June 3-5 2013, Albuquerque, NM



Outline

ATLAS Pixel Detector

- Introduction
- Radiation Damage
- Annealing
- Leakage Current Measurement System
- Technical Solutions
- Current Measurement Board
- Leakage Current Data
- Summary





Introduction (I)

ATLAS Pixel Detector: Geometry

- \bullet Planar n+ -on- n sensors on 256 ± 3µm thick n-bulk wafer
- Innermost layer: r = 50.5 mm w.r.t. beamline
 - Radiation tolerance 500kGy / 1 × 10¹⁵ n_{eq} /cm²
- evaporative cooling system integrated into support structure:
 - Operational average temperature: T = -13°C
 - Scheduled maintenance warm-up periods: T = +20°C
- The max. bias voltage spec: 600 V
 - while detector systems have been tested at bias HV ≤1kV



Introduction (II)

ATLAS Pixel Detector: Geometry

Barrel Region of ATLAS Pixel Detector

End Cap Region of ATLAS Pixel Detector

Layer	Mean	Number of	Number of	Number of	Active	Disk	Mean z	Number of	Number of	Number of	Active
Number	Radius [mm]	Staves	Modules	Channels	Area [m ²]	Number	[mm]	Sectors	Modules	Channels	Area [m ²]
0	50.5	22	286	13 178 880	0.28	0	495	8	48	2,211,840	0.0475
1	50.5 00. 7	20	200	13,170,000	0.20	1	580	8	48	2,211,840	0.0475
l	88.5	38	494	22,763,520	0.49	2	650	8	48	2 211 840	0.0475
2	122.5	52	676	31.150.080	0.67	- Total and	andoon	24	144	6 625 520	0.0175
						Total one enucap		24	144	0,055,520	0.14
Total		112	1456	67,092,480	1.45	Total bot	th endcaps	48	288	13,271,040	0.28

- •Total number of modules: 1456 + 2×144 = 1744
- Each sensor with 46,080 channels ...
- In total: 67,092,480 (barrel) + 2×6,635,520 (disks)
- = 80,363,520 channels
- Total instrumented area: ~1.7m2

Radiation Damage (I)

- Dominant radiation damage
 - Displacement defects in a bulk
 - Due to Non-Ionizing Energy Losses (NIEL)
 - Flow of charged π^{\pm} from ATLAS I.P.
- Three effects:
 - Charge carrier trapping
 - localized trapping centers

• if the time to re-emit the trapped charge carrier is longer than the shaping time then the charge collection efficiency degrades

- loss of induced charge causing reduction of signal
- dominant at $\Phi \ge 1 \times 10^{15} n_{eq} / cm^2$

Leakage current

 electron-hole generation on defect centers increase the leakage current, degrading signal/ noise and requiring more cooling (-13°C)

- Change of $N_{\mbox{\scriptsize eff}}$ concentration and voltage $V_{\mbox{\scriptsize dep}}$

- effectively inversion into "p-type"
- increasing of V_{dep}
- requiring higher bias voltages
- effect should be visible at $\Phi < 1 \times 10^{15} n_{eq} / cm^2$



Annealing (I)

Defects in a crystal bulk can anneal

- Diffusion: defects migrating until gettering at sinks; form new complex defects.
 - examples: interstitials and vacancies mobile at room temperatures
- Dissociation.
- Strongly temperature dependent
- Have different activation energies depending on the defect type
- Trapping: beneficial annealing
 - the electron trapping times increase for realistic annealing times resulting in higher signal yield

Leakage current: beneficial annealing

- leakage current reduced during annealing; typically factor of 2 can be annealed
- then operation at cool temperatures



Annealing (II)

- Depletion voltage U_{dep} and effective doping concentration $|N_{eff}|$
 - the change observed for $|N_{eff}|$ (= U_{dep}) measured immediately after irradiation
 - donor removal and acceptor generation finally result in *n-type to p-type inversion* of the bulk

• in contrast to the damage rate , α , depletion voltage V_{dep} (N_{eff}) time dependence is subject to both beneficial, N_A and reverse annealing, N_Y :

in contrast to the damage rate , α , depletion voltage V_{dep} ($|N_{eff}|$) time dependence is subject to both beneficial, N_A and reverse annealing, N_Y .

Hamburg Model:

$$\Delta N_{eff} (\Phi_{eq}, t(T_a)) = N_{eff,0}$$

$$- N_{eff} (\Phi_{eq}, t(T_a))$$

$$\Delta N_{eff} (\Phi_{eq}, t(T_a)) = N_A((\Phi_{eq}, t(T_a)))$$

$$+ N_C(\Phi_{eq})$$

$$+ N_Y((\Phi_{eq}, t(T_a)))$$



Realistic Annealing Predictions (III)

- Realistic LHC scenario for ATLAS pixel sensors
 - Hamburg model is applied
 - Warm-up Scenarios for maintenance periods:
 - 3 days @ T_A =20°C and 14 days @ T_A = 17°C
 - 30 days @ *T_A=20°C*
 - 60 days @ *T_A*=20°C
 - beams ON : T_{oper} = -13°C
 - to keep depletion voltage for the planned running period
 - $V_{dep} \leq 600V$ (ATLAS Pixel spec.)

the reverse annealing should be suppressed:

• the pixel detector modules must be kept cold when *beams are OFF* except of a short maintenance period



Leakage Current Measurement System

• Monitoring of ATLAS pixel sensors with leakage current measurements done in situ and in real time

• no special runs required

• Leakage current is measured for individual sensors (typically 4 per half-stave) with staves instrumented evenly over every layer

The currents should be monitored over long period

wide dynamical range

• $\Phi_{1MeVeq} \le 1.0 \times 10^{+15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$

• Differential analysis of the radiation damage in various parts of the detector vs integrated lumi ($\propto \Phi_{eq}$)

• Measure the leakage currents against integrated luminosity ($^{\infty}$ $\Phi_{\rm eq})~$ and compare with the model predictions

• calibrate the model parameters with experimental data

 use the adjusted model to project the depletion voltage development in time at various LHC / ATLAS data taking scenarios

Technical Solution: Leakage Current Measurement System

• Main system unit: Current Measurement Board (or CMB)

• Direct measurement of an individual pixel sensor module leakage current via HV lines

• Implemented: within the reconfigurable HV patch panel (or *HVPP4*) between HV cables coming from Pixel detector (*PP1*) and power supply (*Iseg*) HV channels

• Current Measurement Board (CMB) is mounted on a corresponding HV fan-out board of HVPP4

• Power Supply (*Iseg*) current measurements

• the power is delivered per half-stave comprising 6 or 7 modules

• the measurements of a leakage current drawn by ganged 6 or 7 modules are provided

• The measured current values are digitized, transmitted via data (CAN) bus to the DCS by CERN developed digital board (ELMB)

64 digital channel

served by 16 bit ADC

digitizing voltages fed by CMB

current data from lseg power supply channels

• PVSS software is reading out the data from *ELMB* boards and downloading the data to DCS database (large DCS storage)

 Physics analysis on the radiation damage proceeds offline using data accessed from DB

Current Measurement Board (I)

- $(0.05 \,\mu\text{A}, 2 \,\text{mA}),$ dynamical range of $\sim 0.4 \times 10^5$
- CMB output voltage: (0, 5)V_{DC} to comply the digital board ELMB specs
- the circuitry: a current to frequency converter, optically coupled to a frequency to voltage converter
- the board is a multi-layer PCB with
 - 4 current measurement circuits
 - high gain + low gain channels / circuit : 4×2
- the pairs of channels are isolated from each other and from the pixel module readout system

NO in situ calibration system is available.



- realistic voltage range (present setting) for ADC: (0.0, 1.0) V
 - (0, 65535) of 16-bit
 - high gain: $LSB = 15.3 \,\mu V \approx 0.5 \,nA$
 - low gain: $LSB = 15.3 \,\mu V \approx 18 \,\mathrm{nA}$

Current Measurement Board: Calibration (II)

Calibration runs made with a test stand on surface (bldg. SR1)



Fit individual channels with linear form: and store intercept (pedestal) and slope per CMB/channel



Current Measurement Board: Calibration (III)

Calibration runs made with a test stand on surface (bldg. SR1)



Fit individual channels with linear form: and store intercept (pedestal) and slope per CMB/channel



Current Measurement Boards: Status (IV)

• Barrel only

- Select pixel modules to instrument the barrel area in a uniform way along *z* and φ
- Layer 0 (innermost):
 - 21 CMBs installed
 - $21 \times 4 = 84$ modules instrumented
- Layer 1 (intermediate):
 - 16 CMBs installed
 - $16 \times 4 = 64$ modules instrumented
- Layer 2 (outermost):
 - 16 CMBs installed
 - $16 \times 4 = 64$ modules instrumented
- The hardware installation, analog CMB and digital ELMB boards, completed in June 2012

Luminosity Collected: 2011-2012



Temperature and Luminosity Profiles

• Temperature per day 2011-2012 profile used in the analysis





- Actually, use readings from temperature sensors mounted on pixel modules
- $\int \mathcal{L} dt$ per day, 2011-2012 profile



Data from D. Münstermann and A. Schorlemmer (ATLAS/Geneva, Göttingen)

Leakage Current Data

Exp data, (voltage, V) per chann. converted to (current, A) per chann.

- most recent calibrations per channel made on surface (SR1)
- cross-calibration with lseg power supply current data
- Current values renormalized from $t_{\rm oper.} \approx -13^{\circ}C$ to $t = 0^{\circ}C$; per channel corr.
- Mean current value per Layer-0, Layer-1, Layer-2 are presented \Rightarrow
- $\Phi_{1MeV\,n} \propto \int \mathcal{L}\,dt$, using Fluka+Phojet
- Model predictions: O. Krasel,
 D. Münstermann, J. Weber
 A. Schorlemmer (ATLAS/ Dortmund, Geneva, Göttingen)





• A dedicated hardware to measure the leakage current in ATLAS pixel sensors has been implemented

available for the online monitoring

the stored data are used for the radiation damage analysis

• The radiation damage of the pixel sensor modules has been observed and measured for the 2011-2012 data taking period

• the radiation damage data has been obtained in situ for the sensors of the ATLAS Pixel detector at its running conditions

• The measurements are compared with the model predictions made for the corresponding luminosity / fluence profile over 2011-2012

the data to model agreement is quite good within ±1σ



Back up slides ...

Current Measurement Board (I)



extended with:

Current Measurement Boards attached to the Type II boards

Fan-out of the bias-

voltages from ISEG

1744 pixel modules

power supply modules

- Analog-to-digital conversion **ELMB boards**
- 9 Type II boards / VME crate
 - 4 cha. / current meas, board
 - $(< 9) \times 4$ cha. / crate
 - 2 ELMB board to digitize and send data

HVPP4 Total: 16 VME crates

- $16 \times 9 \times 4 = 576$ channels
- Some amount of channels will not be used due to complicated mapping

Current Measurement Board (III)

Current Monitor Scheme



• Circuit is a currentfrequency converter

- Optically coupled to a freqvoltage converter.
- 4 circuits per board
- Isolated in pairs of channels from each other and from the readout system

Leakage Current (I)

• Current Measurements for every pixel module provide the powerful tool to monitor the status of every sensor and hence the quality of ATLAS Pixel Detector data

• Use current measurement data to estimate the fluence Φ [cm⁻²]

• Every pixel module is equipped with temperature probe and the data are readout into PvssDb

 The current measurement data should be corrected by the temperature factors: ⇒

> • Corrections are made to $T_R = (273.15 + 0)^{\circ}K$ $E_g = 1.21 \text{ eV} (\text{ per Chilingarov})$

$$I(T) = I(T_R)/R(T), \text{ where}$$
$$R(T) = (T_R/T)^2 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{E_g}{2k_B}(1/T_R - 1/T)\right)$$



Leakage Current (III)

Fluences at Pixel Detector Area

• Use the fluence calculations in ATLAS Inner Detector area made by ATLAS Radiation Task Force, CERN-ATL-GEN-2005-001

- Latest update by lan Dawson in http://indico.cern.ch/conferenceDisplay.py?confld=52704
- LHC pp events with PHOJET+FLUKA
- The MC data fitted for r∈(2, 20)cm with
- Uncertainties of predictions:
 - pp-generator: ≈30%
 - Calculation of 1MeV n- eq. using damage factors: ≈50%
 - In total: ≈58%

$$\Phi_{1MeV} = (a_1 \cdot r^{-2} + a_2 \cdot r^{-1})/1000 \,\text{fb}^{-1}$$

where $a_1 = 4.93 \cdot 10^{16}, a_2 = 0.25 \cdot 10^{16}$

Use these parameterization to predict the fluences for Layer-0,1,2



W