

Effective Higgs Lagrangian

based on arXiv:1303.3876

In collaboration with:

R. Contino, C. Grojean, M. Mühlleitner, M. Spira

Margherita Ghezzi



SAPIENZA
UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA

LHCP 2013, Barcelona, May 13th

Introduction

In absence of a direct observation of new particles, our ignorance of the EWSB sector can be parametrized in terms of an **effective Lagrangian**

Bottom-up approach: the couplings of the operators are free parameters; if new particles are discovered they can be included in the Lagrangian

The detailed form of the Lagrangian depends on which **assumptions** are made

Assumptions:

- $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ is linearly realized at high energies
- $h(x)$ is a scalar and it is part of an $SU(2)_L$ doublet $H(x)$
- $h(x)$ is CP-even
- flavour alignment to avoid FCNC

Introduction

In absence of a direct observation of new particles, our ignorance of the EWSB sector can be parametrized in terms of an **effective Lagrangian**

Bottom-up approach: the couplings of the operators are free parameters; if new particles are discovered they can be included in the Lagrangian

The detailed form of the Lagrangian depends on which **assumptions** are made

Assumptions:

- $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ is linearly realized at high energies
- $h(x)$ is a scalar and it is part of an $SU(2)_L$ doublet $H(x)$
- $h(x)$ is CP-even
- flavour alignment to avoid FCNC

Introduction

In absence of a direct observation of new particles, our ignorance of the EWSB sector can be parametrized in terms of an **effective Lagrangian**

Bottom-up approach: the couplings of the operators are free parameters; if new particles are discovered they can be included in the Lagrangian

The detailed form of the Lagrangian depends on which **assumptions** are made

Assumptions:

- $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ is linearly realized at high energies
- $h(x)$ is a scalar and it is part of an $SU(2)_L$ doublet $H(x)$
- $h(x)$ is CP-even
- flavour alignment to avoid FCNC

Introduction

In absence of a direct observation of new particles, our ignorance of the EWSB sector can be parametrized in terms of an **effective Lagrangian**

Bottom-up approach: the couplings of the operators are free parameters; if new particles are discovered they can be included in the Lagrangian

The detailed form of the Lagrangian depends on which **assumptions** are made

Assumptions:

- $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ is linearly realized at high energies
- $h(x)$ is a scalar and it is part of an $SU(2)_L$ doublet $H(x)$
- $h(x)$ is CP-even
- flavour alignment to avoid FCNC

Effective Higgs Lagrangian

The list of dim-6 operators of Effective Lagrangian has been known since long time:

Buchmüller and Wyler
NPB **268** (1986) 621

A more recent complete and minimal classification:

Grzadkowski, Iskrzynski, Misiak, Rosiek
JHEP **1010** (2010) 085

Here we will follow the parametrization of:

Giudice, Grojean, Pomarol, Rattazzi
JHEP **0706** (2007) 045

Power counting:

- a factor $1/M$ for each extra derivative
- a factor $g_*/M \equiv 1/f$ for each extra power of $H(x)$

Effective Higgs Lagrangian

The list of dim-6 operators of Effective Lagrangian has been known since long time:

Buchmüller and Wyler
NPB **268** (1986) 621

A more recent complete and minimal classification:

Grzadkowski, Iskrzynski, Misiak, Rosiek
JHEP **1010** (2010) 085

Here we will follow the parametrization of:

Giudice, Grojean, Pomarol, Rattazzi
JHEP **0706** (2007) 045

Power counting:

- a factor $1/M$ for each extra derivative
- a factor $g_*/M \equiv 1/f$ for each extra power of $H(x)$

Effective Higgs Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum_i \bar{c}_i O_i \equiv \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \Delta\mathcal{L}_{SILH} + \Delta\mathcal{L}_{F_1} + \Delta\mathcal{L}_{F_2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\mathcal{L}_{SILH} = & \frac{\bar{c}_H}{2v^2} \partial^\mu (H^\dagger H) \partial_\mu (H^\dagger H) + \frac{\bar{c}_T}{2v^2} \left(H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu H \right) \left(H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \right) - \frac{\bar{c}_6 \lambda}{v^2} (H^\dagger H)^3 \\ & + \left(\frac{\bar{c}_u}{v^2} y_u H^\dagger H \bar{q}_L H^c u_R + \frac{\bar{c}_d}{v^2} y_d H^\dagger H \bar{q}_L H d_R + \frac{\bar{c}_l}{v^2} y_l H^\dagger H \bar{l}_L H l_R + h.c. \right) \\ & + \frac{i\bar{c}_W g}{2m_W^2} \left(H^\dagger \sigma^i \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu H \right) (D^\nu W_{\mu\nu})^i + \frac{i\bar{c}_B g'}{2m_W^2} \left(H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu H \right) (\partial^\nu B_{\mu\nu}) \\ & + \frac{i\bar{c}_{HW} g}{m_W^2} (D^\mu H)^\dagger \sigma^i (D^\nu H) W_{\mu\nu}^i + \frac{i\bar{c}_{HB} g'}{m_W^2} (D^\mu H)^\dagger (D^\nu H) B_{\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{\bar{c}_\gamma g'^2}{m_W^2} H^\dagger H B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\bar{c}_g g_S^2}{m_W^2} H^\dagger H G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{a\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

Effective Higgs Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta \mathcal{L}_{F_1} = & \frac{i\bar{c}_{Hq}}{v^2} (\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_L) (H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H) + \frac{i\bar{c}'_{Hq}}{v^2} (\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu \sigma^i q_L) (H^\dagger \sigma^i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H) \\
 & + \frac{i\bar{c}_{Hu}}{v^2} (\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu u_R) (H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H) + \frac{i\bar{c}_{Hd}}{v^2} (\bar{d}_R \gamma^\mu d_R) (H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H) \\
 & + \left(\frac{i\bar{c}_{Hud}}{v^2} (\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu d_R) (H^c \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H) + h.c. \right) \\
 & + \frac{i\bar{c}_{HL}}{v^2} (\bar{L}_L \gamma^\mu L_L) (H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H) + \frac{i\bar{c}'_{HL}}{v^2} (\bar{L}_L \gamma^\mu \sigma^i L_L) (H^\dagger \sigma^i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H) \\
 & + \frac{i\bar{c}_{Hl}}{v^2} (\bar{l}_R \gamma^\mu l_R) (H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H) ,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta \mathcal{L}_{F_2} = & \frac{\bar{c}_{uB} g'}{m_W^2} y_u \bar{q}_L H^c \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_R B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\bar{c}_{uW} g}{m_W^2} y_u \bar{q}_L \sigma^i H^c \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_R W_{\mu\nu}^i + \frac{\bar{c}_{uG} g_S}{m_W^2} y_u \bar{q}_L H^c \sigma^{\mu\nu} \lambda^a u_R G_{\mu\nu}^a \\
 & + \frac{\bar{c}_{dB} g'}{m_W^2} y_d \bar{q}_L H \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_R B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\bar{c}_{dW} g}{m_W^2} y_d \bar{q}_L \sigma^i H \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_R W_{\mu\nu}^i + \frac{\bar{c}_{dG} g_S}{m_W^2} y_d \bar{q}_L H \sigma^{\mu\nu} \lambda^a d_R G_{\mu\nu}^a \\
 & + \frac{\bar{c}_{lB} g'}{m_W^2} y_l \bar{L}_L H \sigma^{\mu\nu} l_R B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\bar{c}_{lW} g}{m_W^2} y_l \bar{L}_L \sigma^i H \sigma^{\mu\nu} l_R W_{\mu\nu}^i + h.c.
 \end{aligned}$$

How many operators?

Effective Higgs Lagrangian:

- $12(\Delta\mathcal{L}_{SILH}) + 8(\Delta\mathcal{L}_{F_1}) + 8(\Delta\mathcal{L}_{F_2}) = 28$
- 2 linear combinations of $\Delta\mathcal{L}_{F_1}$ are equivalent to pure oblique corrections

26 independent operators

Operators that do not affect Higgs physics:

- 5 bosonic operators
- 22 four-fermion baryon-number-conserving operators

27 independent operators

CP-odd operators:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\mathcal{L}_{CP} = & \frac{i\tilde{c}_{HW} g}{m_W^2} (D^\mu H)^\dagger \sigma^i (D^\nu H) \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^i + \frac{i\tilde{c}_{HB} g'}{m_W^2} (D^\mu H)^\dagger (D^\nu H) \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{\tilde{c}_\gamma g'^2}{m_W^2} H^\dagger H B_{\mu\nu} \tilde{B}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\tilde{c}_g g_S^2}{m_W^2} H^\dagger H G_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{G}^{a\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{\tilde{c}_{3W} g^3}{m_W^2} \epsilon^{ijk} W_\mu^{i\nu} W_\nu^{j\rho} \tilde{W}_\rho^{k\mu} + \frac{\tilde{c}_{3G} g_S^3}{m_W^2} f^{abc} G_\mu^{a\nu} G_\nu^{b\rho} \tilde{G}_\rho^{c\mu},\end{aligned}$$

$26 + 27 + 6 = 59$
independent,
6-dimensional
operators

6 independent operators

How many operators?

Effective Higgs Lagrangian:

- $12(\Delta\mathcal{L}_{SILH}) + 8(\Delta\mathcal{L}_{F_1}) + 8(\Delta\mathcal{L}_{F_2}) = 28$
- 2 linear combinations of $\Delta\mathcal{L}_{F_1}$ are equivalent to pure oblique corrections

26 independent operators

Operators that do not affect Higgs physics:

- 5 bosonic operators
- 22 four-fermion baryon-number-conserving operators

27 independent operators

CP-odd operators:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\mathcal{L}_{CP} = & \frac{i\tilde{c}_{HW} g}{m_W^2} (D^\mu H)^\dagger \sigma^i (D^\nu H) \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^i + \frac{i\tilde{c}_{HB} g'}{m_W^2} (D^\mu H)^\dagger (D^\nu H) \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{\tilde{c}_\gamma g'^2}{m_W^2} H^\dagger H B_{\mu\nu} \tilde{B}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\tilde{c}_g g_S^2}{m_W^2} H^\dagger H G_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{G}^{a\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{\tilde{c}_{3W} g^3}{m_W^2} \epsilon^{ijk} W_\mu^{i\nu} W_\nu^{j\rho} \tilde{W}_\rho^{k\mu} + \frac{\tilde{c}_{3G} g_S^3}{m_W^2} f^{abc} G_\mu^{a\nu} G_\nu^{b\rho} \tilde{G}_\rho^{c\mu},\end{aligned}$$

$26 + 27 + 6 = 59$
independent,
6-dimensional
operators

6 independent operators

How many operators?

Effective Higgs Lagrangian:

- $12(\Delta\mathcal{L}_{SILH}) + 8(\Delta\mathcal{L}_{F_1}) + 8(\Delta\mathcal{L}_{F_2}) = 28$
- 2 linear combinations of $\Delta\mathcal{L}_{F_1}$ are equivalent to pure oblique corrections

26 independent operators

Operators that do not affect Higgs physics:

- 5 bosonic operators
- 22 four-fermion baryon-number-conserving operators

27 independent operators

CP-odd operators:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\mathcal{L}_{CP} = & \frac{i\tilde{c}_{HW} g}{m_W^2} (D^\mu H)^\dagger \sigma^i (D^\nu H) \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^i + \frac{i\tilde{c}_{HB} g'}{m_W^2} (D^\mu H)^\dagger (D^\nu H) \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{\tilde{c}_\gamma g'^2}{m_W^2} H^\dagger H B_{\mu\nu} \tilde{B}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\tilde{c}_g g_S^2}{m_W^2} H^\dagger H G_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{G}^{a\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{\tilde{c}_{3W} g^3}{m_W^2} \epsilon^{ijk} W_\mu^{i\nu} W_\nu^{j\rho} \tilde{W}_\rho^{k\mu} + \frac{\tilde{c}_{3G} g_S^3}{m_W^2} f^{abc} G_\mu^{a\nu} G_\nu^{b\rho} \tilde{G}_\rho^{c\mu},\end{aligned}$$

$26 + 27 + 6 = 59$
independent,
6-dimensional
operators

6 independent operators

How many operators?

Effective Higgs Lagrangian:

- $12(\Delta\mathcal{L}_{SILH}) + 8(\Delta\mathcal{L}_{F_1}) + 8(\Delta\mathcal{L}_{F_2}) = 28$
- 2 linear combinations of $\Delta\mathcal{L}_{F_1}$ are equivalent to pure oblique corrections

26 independent operators

Operators that do not affect Higgs physics:

- 5 bosonic operators
- 22 four-fermion baryon-number-conserving operators

27 independent operators

CP-odd operators:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\mathcal{L}_{CP} = & \frac{i\tilde{c}_{HW} g}{m_W^2} (D^\mu H)^\dagger \sigma^i (D^\nu H) \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^i + \frac{i\tilde{c}_{HB} g'}{m_W^2} (D^\mu H)^\dagger (D^\nu H) \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{\tilde{c}_\gamma g'^2}{m_W^2} H^\dagger H B_{\mu\nu} \tilde{B}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\tilde{c}_g g_S^2}{m_W^2} H^\dagger H G_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{G}^{a\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{\tilde{c}_{3W} g^3}{m_W^2} \epsilon^{ijk} W_\mu^{i\nu} W_\nu^{j\rho} \tilde{W}_\rho^{k\mu} + \frac{\tilde{c}_{3G} g_S^3}{m_W^2} f^{abc} G_\mu^{a\nu} G_\nu^{b\rho} \tilde{G}_\rho^{c\mu},\end{aligned}$$

$26 + 27 + 6 = 59$
independent,
6-dimensional
operators

6 independent operators

How many operators?

- 2 linear combinations of $\Delta\mathcal{L}_{F_1}$ are equivalent to pure oblique corrections:

$$O_{H\Psi}^Y \equiv \sum_{\psi} Y_{\psi} O_{H\psi} \sim O_T, O_B \quad \text{and} \quad O'_{Hq} + O'_{HL} \sim O_W$$

By making use of the equations of motion

$$iD^{\mu}W_{\mu\nu}^i = g H^{\dagger} \frac{\sigma^i}{2} \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\nu} H - ig \bar{\psi} \frac{\sigma^i}{2} \gamma_{\nu} \psi \quad i\partial^{\mu}B_{\mu\nu} = \frac{g'}{2} H^{\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\nu} H - ig' \bar{\psi} Y \gamma_{\nu} \psi$$

one can rewrite O_W and O_B as

$$O_W = -2 O_H + \frac{4}{v^2} (H^{\dagger} H) |D_{\mu} H|^2 + O'_{Hq} + O'_{HL}$$

$$O_B = 2 \tan^2 \theta_W \left(-O_T + O_{H\Psi}^Y \right)$$

and upon the field redefinition $H \rightarrow H - 2\bar{c}_W (H^{\dagger} H) H / v^2$, one gets

$$O_W = -6 O_H + 2 (O_u + O_d + O_l) - 8 O_6 + O'_{Hq} + O'_{HL}$$

How many operators?

- 2 linear combinations of $\Delta\mathcal{L}_{F_1}$ are equivalent to pure oblique corrections:

$$O_{H\Psi}^Y \equiv \sum_{\psi} Y_{\psi} O_{H\psi} \sim O_T, O_B \quad \text{and} \quad O'_{Hq} + O'_{HL} \sim O_W$$

By making use of the equations of motion

$$iD^{\mu} W_{\mu\nu}^i = g H^{\dagger} \frac{\sigma^i}{2} \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\nu} H - ig \bar{\psi} \frac{\sigma^i}{2} \gamma_{\nu} \psi \quad i\partial^{\mu} B_{\mu\nu} = \frac{g'}{2} H^{\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\nu} H - ig' \bar{\psi} Y \gamma_{\nu} \psi$$

one can rewrite O_W and O_B as

$$O_W = -2 O_H + \frac{4}{v^2} (H^{\dagger} H) |D_{\mu} H|^2 + O'_{Hq} + O'_{HL}$$

$$O_B = 2 \tan^2 \theta_W \left(-O_T + O_{H\Psi}^Y \right)$$

and upon the field redefinition $H \rightarrow H - 2\bar{c}_W (H^{\dagger} H) H / v^2$, one gets

$$O_W = -6 O_H + 2 (O_u + O_d + O_l) - 8 O_6 + O'_{Hq} + O'_{HL}$$

How many operators?

$$O_W = -6 O_H + 2 (O_u + O_d + O_l) - 8 O_6 + O'_{Hq} + O'_{HL}$$

$$O_B = 2 \tan^2 \theta_W \left(-O_T + O_{H\Psi}^Y \right)$$

It is always possible to remove O_W and O_B



coefficients of other operators are shifted: $\bar{c}_i \rightarrow \bar{c}_i + \Delta \bar{c}_i$

$$\Delta \bar{c}_H = -6 \bar{c}_W \quad \Delta \bar{c}_T = -2 \tan^2 \theta_W \bar{c}_B \quad \Delta \bar{c}_6 = -8 \bar{c}_W \quad \Delta \bar{c}_\psi = 2 \bar{c}_W$$

$$\Delta \bar{c}'_{Hq} = \Delta \bar{c}'_{HL} = \bar{c}_W$$

$$6 \Delta \bar{c}_{Hq} = \frac{3}{2} \Delta \bar{c}_{Hu} = -3 \Delta \bar{c}_{Hd} = -2 \Delta \bar{c}_{HL} = -\Delta \bar{c}_{Hl} = -2 \tan^2 \theta_W \bar{c}_B$$

- $\Delta \bar{c}_T \neq 0$: breaking of the custodial symmetry (unobservable)
- The contribution of O_T to $\Delta \epsilon_1$ is canceled by the vertex correction due to fermionic operators

How many operators?

$$O_W = -6 O_H + 2 (O_u + O_d + O_l) - 8 O_6 + O'_{Hq} + O'_{HL}$$

$$O_B = 2 \tan^2 \theta_W \left(-O_T + O_{H\Psi}^Y \right)$$

It is always possible to remove O_W and O_B

\Downarrow

coefficients of other operators are shifted: $\bar{c}_i \rightarrow \bar{c}_i + \Delta \bar{c}_i$

$$\Delta \bar{c}_H = -6 \bar{c}_W \quad \Delta \bar{c}_T = -2 \tan^2 \theta_W \bar{c}_B \quad \Delta \bar{c}_6 = -8 \bar{c}_W \quad \Delta \bar{c}_\psi = 2 \bar{c}_W$$

$$\Delta \bar{c}'_{Hq} = \Delta \bar{c}'_{HL} = \bar{c}_W$$

$$6 \Delta \bar{c}_{Hq} = \frac{3}{2} \Delta \bar{c}_{Hu} = -3 \Delta \bar{c}_{Hd} = -2 \Delta \bar{c}_{HL} = -\Delta \bar{c}_{Hl} = -2 \tan^2 \theta_W \bar{c}_B$$

- $\Delta \bar{c}_T \neq 0$: breaking of the custodial symmetry (unobservable)
- The contribution of O_T to $\Delta \epsilon_1$ is canceled by the vertex correction due to fermionic operators

How many operators?

$$O_W = -6 O_H + 2 (O_u + O_d + O_l) - 8 O_6 + O'_{Hq} + O'_{HL}$$

$$O_B = 2 \tan^2 \theta_W (-O_T + O_{H\Psi}^Y)$$

It is always possible to remove O_W and O_B

\Downarrow

coefficients of other operators are shifted: $\bar{c}_i \rightarrow \bar{c}_i + \Delta \bar{c}_i$

$$\Delta \bar{c}_H = -6 \bar{c}_W \quad \Delta \bar{c}_T = -2 \tan^2 \theta_W \bar{c}_B \quad \Delta \bar{c}_6 = -8 \bar{c}_W \quad \Delta \bar{c}_\psi = 2 \bar{c}_W$$

$$\Delta \bar{c}'_{Hq} = \Delta \bar{c}'_{HL} = \bar{c}_W$$

$$6 \Delta \bar{c}_{Hq} = \frac{3}{2} \Delta \bar{c}_{Hu} = -3 \Delta \bar{c}_{Hd} = -2 \Delta \bar{c}_{HL} = -\Delta \bar{c}_{Hl} = -2 \tan^2 \theta_W \bar{c}_B$$

- $\Delta \bar{c}_T \neq 0$: breaking of the custodial symmetry (unobservable)
- The contribution of O_T to $\Delta \epsilon_1$ is canceled by the vertex correction due to fermionic operators

Why this basis?

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta\mathcal{L}_{SILH} = & \frac{\bar{c}_H}{2v^2} \partial^\mu (H^\dagger H) \partial_\mu (H^\dagger H) + \frac{\bar{c}_T}{2v^2} \left(H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu H \right) \left(H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \right) - \frac{\bar{c}_6 \lambda}{v^2} (H^\dagger H)^3 \\
 & + \left(\frac{\bar{c}_u}{v^2} y_u H^\dagger H \bar{q}_L H^c u_R + \frac{\bar{c}_d}{v^2} y_d H^\dagger H \bar{q}_L H d_R + \frac{\bar{c}_l}{v^2} y_l H^\dagger H \bar{L}_L H l_R + h.c. \right) \\
 & + \frac{i\bar{c}_W g}{2m_W^2} \left(H^\dagger \sigma^i \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu H \right) (D^\nu W_{\mu\nu})^i + \frac{i\bar{c}_B g'}{2m_W^2} \left(H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu H \right) (\partial^\nu B_{\mu\nu}) \\
 & + \frac{i\bar{c}_{HW} g}{m_W^2} (D^\mu H)^\dagger \sigma^i (D^\nu H) W_{\mu\nu}^i + \frac{i\bar{c}_{HB} g'}{m_W^2} (D^\mu H)^\dagger (D^\nu H) B_{\mu\nu} \\
 & + \frac{\bar{c}_\gamma g'^2}{m_W^2} H^\dagger H B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\bar{c}_g g_S^2}{m_W^2} H^\dagger H G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{a\mu\nu}
 \end{aligned}$$

- one can describe the **oblique corrections** in terms of $\Delta\mathcal{L}_{SILH}$ operators instead of operators with fermionic currents
- it isolates the contribution to the decays $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ (from O_γ) and $h \rightarrow \gamma Z$ (from O_γ and $O_{HW} - O_{HB}$) that occur only at the radiative level in minimally coupled theories
- it is more appropriate to establish the nature of the Higgs boson and determine the **strength** of its interactions

Naive Dimensional Analysis

- a factor $1/M$ for each extra derivative
- a factor $g_*/M \equiv 1/f$ for each extra power of $H(x)$

estimates valid at the UV scale M

$$\bar{c}_H, \bar{c}_T, \bar{c}_6, \bar{c}_\psi \sim O\left(\frac{v^2}{f^2}\right) \quad \bar{c}_W, \bar{c}_B \sim O\left(\frac{m_W^2}{M^2}\right) \quad \bar{c}_{HW}, \bar{c}_{HB}, \bar{c}_\gamma, \bar{c}_g \sim O\left(\frac{m_W^2}{16\pi^2 f^2}\right)$$

$$\bar{c}_{H\psi}, \bar{c}'_{H\psi} \sim O\left(\frac{\lambda_\psi^2}{g_*^2} \frac{v^2}{f^2}\right) \quad \bar{c}_{Hud} \sim O\left(\frac{\lambda_u \lambda_d}{g_*^2} \frac{v^2}{f^2}\right) \quad \bar{c}_{\psi W}, \bar{c}_{\psi B}, \bar{c}_{\psi G} \sim O\left(\frac{m_W^2}{16\pi^2 f^2}\right)$$

- $\bar{c}_{W,B}, \bar{c}_{H\psi}, \bar{c}'_{H\psi}, \bar{c}_T$: valid when generated at tree-level
- $\bar{c}_{HW,HB,g,\gamma}$: suppressed by an additional loop factor ($g_*^2/16\pi^2$)

- strong dynamics: $g_* \gg 1 \Rightarrow f \ll M$
- weak dynamics: $g_* \sim g$
- leading New Physics effects: $\bar{O}_{H,T,6,\psi}$ (and fermionic operators if $\lambda_\psi \sim g_*$)

Naive Dimensional Analysis

- a factor $1/M$ for each extra derivative
- a factor $g_*/M \equiv 1/f$ for each extra power of $H(x)$

estimates valid at the UV scale M

$$\bar{c}_H, \bar{c}_T, \bar{c}_6, \bar{c}_\psi \sim O\left(\frac{v^2}{f^2}\right) \quad \bar{c}_W, \bar{c}_B \sim O\left(\frac{m_W^2}{M^2}\right) \quad \bar{c}_{HW}, \bar{c}_{HB}, \bar{c}_\gamma, \bar{c}_g \sim O\left(\frac{m_W^2}{16\pi^2 f^2}\right)$$

$$\bar{c}_{H\psi}, \bar{c}'_{H\psi} \sim O\left(\frac{\lambda_\psi^2}{g_*^2} \frac{v^2}{f^2}\right) \quad \bar{c}_{Hud} \sim O\left(\frac{\lambda_u \lambda_d}{g_*^2} \frac{v^2}{f^2}\right) \quad \bar{c}_{\psi W}, \bar{c}_{\psi B}, \bar{c}_{\psi G} \sim O\left(\frac{m_W^2}{16\pi^2 f^2}\right)$$

- $\bar{c}_{W,B}, \bar{c}_{H\psi}, \bar{c}'_{H\psi}, \bar{c}_T$: valid when generated at tree-level
- $\bar{c}_{HW,HB,g,\gamma}$: suppressed by an additional loop factor ($g_*^2/16\pi^2$)

- strong dynamics: $g_* \gg 1 \Rightarrow f \ll M$
- weak dynamics: $g_* \sim g$
- leading New Physics effects: $\bar{O}_{H,T,6,\psi}$ (and fermionic operators if $\lambda_\psi \sim g_*$)

Naive Dimensional Analysis

- a factor $1/M$ for each extra derivative
- a factor $g_*/M \equiv 1/f$ for each extra power of $H(x)$

estimates valid at the UV scale M

$$\bar{c}_H, \bar{c}_T, \bar{c}_6, \bar{c}_\psi \sim O\left(\frac{v^2}{f^2}\right) \quad \bar{c}_W, \bar{c}_B \sim O\left(\frac{m_W^2}{M^2}\right) \quad \bar{c}_{HW}, \bar{c}_{HB}, \bar{c}_\gamma, \bar{c}_g \sim O\left(\frac{m_W^2}{16\pi^2 f^2}\right)$$

$$\bar{c}_{H\psi}, \bar{c}'_{H\psi} \sim O\left(\frac{\lambda_\psi^2}{g_*^2} \frac{v^2}{f^2}\right) \quad \bar{c}_{Hud} \sim O\left(\frac{\lambda_u \lambda_d}{g_*^2} \frac{v^2}{f^2}\right) \quad \bar{c}_{\psi W}, \bar{c}_{\psi B}, \bar{c}_{\psi G} \sim O\left(\frac{m_W^2}{16\pi^2 f^2}\right)$$

- $\bar{c}_{W,B}, \bar{c}_{H\psi}, \bar{c}'_{H\psi}, \bar{c}_T$: valid when generated at tree-level
- $\bar{c}_{HW,HB,g,\gamma}$: suppressed by an additional loop factor ($g_*^2/16\pi^2$)

- strong dynamics: $g_* \gg 1 \Rightarrow f \ll M$
- weak dynamics: $g_* \sim g$
- leading New Physics effects: $\bar{O}_{H,T,6,\psi}$ (and fermionic operators if $\lambda_\psi \sim g_*$)

Naive Dimensional Analysis

If the Higgs doublet is a composite Nambu–Goldstone boson of a spontaneously-broken symmetry $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$:

$$\frac{\bar{c}_6}{v^2} \lambda (H^\dagger H)^3$$

$$\frac{\bar{c}_\psi}{v^2} y_\psi H^\dagger H \bar{\psi}_L H \psi_R$$

$$\frac{\bar{c}_\gamma g'^2}{m_W^2} H^\dagger H B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\frac{\bar{c}_g g_S^2}{m_W^2} H^\dagger H G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{a\mu\nu}$$

$$\frac{\bar{c}_{\psi B} g'}{m_W^2} y_\psi \bar{\psi}_L H \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi_R B_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\frac{\bar{c}_{\psi W} g}{m_W^2} y_\psi \bar{\psi}_L \sigma^i H \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi_R W_{\mu\nu}^i$$

$$\frac{\bar{c}_{\psi G} g_S}{m_W^2} y_\psi \bar{\psi}_L H \sigma^{\mu\nu} \lambda^a \psi_R G_{\mu\nu}^a$$

These operators violate the shift symmetry

$$H^i \rightarrow H^i + \zeta^i$$

(part of the \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H} transformation)

\Downarrow

they cannot be generated
in absence of an explicit breaking

\Downarrow

additional suppression factor $\frac{g_G^2}{g_*^2}$:

$$\bar{c}_\gamma, \bar{c}_g \sim O\left(\frac{m_W^2}{16\pi^2 f^2}\right) \times \frac{g_G^2}{g_*^2}$$

Bounds on flavour-preserving operators

95% of probability

$$-1.5 \times 10^{-3} < \bar{c}_T(m_Z) < 2.2 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$-1.4 \times 10^{-3} < \bar{c}_W(m_Z) + \bar{c}_B(m_Z) < 1.9 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$-0.02 < \bar{c}_{Hq1} < 0.03 \quad -0.002 < \bar{c}'_{Hq1} < 0.003$$

$$-0.003 < \bar{c}_{Hq2} < 0.005 \quad -0.003 < \bar{c}'_{Hq2} < 0.005$$

$$-0.008 < \bar{c}_{Hu} < 0.02 \quad -0.03 < \bar{c}_{Hd} < 0.02 \quad -0.03 < \bar{c}_{Hs} < 0.02$$

$$-0.0002 < \bar{c}_{HL} + \bar{c}'_{HL} < 0.003 \quad -0.002 < \bar{c}_{HL} - \bar{c}'_{HL} < 0.004$$

$$-0.005 < \bar{c}_{Hq2} - \bar{c}'_{Hq2} < 0.02 \quad -0.009 < \bar{c}_{Hq3} + \bar{c}'_{Hq3} < 0.003$$

$$-0.02 < \bar{c}_{Hc} < 0.03 \quad -0.07 < \bar{c}_{Hb} < -0.005 \quad -0.0007 < \bar{c}_{Hl} < 0.003$$

$$-0.4 \times 10^{-3} < \bar{c}_{Htb}(m_W) < 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$$

Bounds on flavour-preserving operators

95% of probability

$$-7.01 \times 10^{-6} < \text{Im}(\bar{c}_{uB} + \bar{c}_{uW}) < 7.86 \times 10^{-6}$$

$$-1.62 \times 10^{-6} < \text{Im}(\bar{c}_{uG}) < 2.01 \times 10^{-6}$$

$$-9.42 \times 10^{-7} < \text{Im}(\bar{c}_{dB} - \bar{c}_{dW}) < 8.40 \times 10^{-7}$$

$$-7.71 \times 10^{-7} < \text{Im}(\bar{c}_{dG}) < 5.70 \times 10^{-7}$$

$$-1.39 \times 10^{-4} < \text{Im}(\bar{c}_{tG}) < 1.21 \times 10^{-4}$$

$$-0.057 < \text{Re}(\bar{c}_{tW} + \bar{c}_{tB}) - 2.65 \text{Im}(\bar{c}_{tW} + \bar{c}_{tB}) < 0.20$$

$$-6.12 \times 10^{-3} < \text{Re}(\bar{c}_{tG}) < 1.94 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$-1.2 < \text{Re}(\bar{c}_{bW}) < 1.1, \quad -0.01 < \text{Re}(\bar{c}_{tW}) < 0.02$$

eHDECAY

<http://www-itp.particle.uni-karlsruhe.de/~maggie/eHDECAY/>

- It has been obtained from extending HDECAY 5.10
- It allows for the calculation of the partial decay widths and branching ratios of the Higgs boson according to the effective Higgs Lagrangian
- QCD and EW higher order contributions are consistently included
- The non-linear extension of the effective Lagrangian is included, as well as the specific models MCHM4 and MCHM5.

Conclusions

- We have reviewed the construction of the effective Lagrangian for a light Higgs doublet.
- By means of a naive power counting we have estimated the coefficients of the various operators.
- This analysis allows one to identify which operators can probe the Higgs coupling strength to the new states and which instead are sensitive only to the mass scale M .
- It also gives the possibility to distinguish between weakly- coupled UV completions of the Standard Model (like SUSY) and theories where the EW symmetry is broken by a strongly-interacting dynamics which forms the Higgs boson as a bound state.
- We have shown the most important bounds set on them by present experimental results on electroweak (EW) and flavor observables.
- We have presented the program eHDECAY, an extension of HDECAY which allows for the calculation of the Higgs branching ratios according to the effective Higgs Lagrangian.